



UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ

The scientific journal FACTA UNIVERSITATIS

Series: **Mechanics, Automatic Control and Robotics** Vol.2, No 10, 2000 pp. 1137 - 1148

Editor of series: *Katica (Stevanovič) Hedrih*, e-mail: katica@masfak.masfak.ni.ac.yu

Address: Univerzitetski trg 2, 18000 Niš, YU, Tel: +381 18 547-095, Fax: +381 18 547-950

<http://ni.ac.yu/Facta>

ASYMPTOMATIC BEHAVIOR OF NON-LINEAR DYNAMIC SYSTEMS SUBJECTED TO PARAMETRIC AND RANDOM EXCITATIONS

UDC 519.218.7:531.36:629.7.058.6

Svetlana Janković, Miljana Jovanović

Faculty of science, Department of Mathematics, University of Niš
Ćirila i Metodija 2, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia
E-mail: svjank@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu
mima@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu

Abstract. *In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behavior, in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense, of the non-linear oscillator amplitude subjected to small parametric perturbations and random excitations of a Gaussian white noise type. Since this problem is essentially connected with the stochastic differential equation of the Itô type, the present paper deals with the asymptotic behavior of the solution of the Itô's differential equation with small perturbations, by comparing it with the solution of the corresponding unperturbed equation. Precisely, we give conditions under which these solutions are close in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense on intervals whose length tends to infinity as small perturbations tend to zero.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Non-linear differential equations subjected to deterministic and random excitations have been extensively investigated both theoretically and experimentally over a long period of time. In mechanics, and much more in engineering practice, an important role is played by non-linear differential equation of the form

$$\ddot{y} + g(y)\dot{y} + h(t, y)y = 0,$$

Received August 1, 2000

The research was supported by Ministry of Science of Republic Serbia, Yugoslavia (Project N^o 04M03 through Math. Institute SANU)

which represents mathematical models of elastic systems motion with one degree of freedom, or discredization of the dynamic model of an elastic body in the basic form of the dynamic equilibrium. The researcher's interest is concentrated on exploring the bifurcational behavior of the solution and on conditions of stability or instability of various elastic equilibrium forms under deterministic and stochastic influences. Specially, during the last years stimulating research have been undertaken in the field of descriptions of amplitudes of non-linear oscillators subjected to random excitations of a Gaussian white noise type. Many authors, Ariaratnam [1, 2], Caughey [6], Ibrahim [8], Kozin [19], Wu and Lin [25], for instance, have studied various problems of the motion of elastic oscillator systems under random excitations of a Gaussian white noise type, which is mathematically described as a Gaussian stationary wide-band random process of small intensity and correlation time, with mathematical expectation equal to zero. Note that white noise is, at least, a tolerable abstraction and is never a completely faithful representation of a physical noise source.

The stochastic averaging principle, introduced by Khas'minskii, encouraged several rechearchers to investigate the random behavior of dynamic systems under random and parametric excitations. However, they have used a number of techniques, basically connected with the stochastic averaging principle, for instance, the Markovian method based on the Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equation, the Gaussian moment function methods, the spatial correlation method, and others. Having in view that a Gaussian white noise is an abstraction and not a physical process, at least mathematically described as a formal derivative of a Brownian motion process, all the previously cited methods are essentially based on stochastic differential equations of the Itô type [11].

In the present paper we consider the stochastic differential equation of the Itô type with small perturbations, by comparing its solution, in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense, with the solution of a simpler unperturbed equation of the equal type. Note that the form of perturbations is motivated by the one from paper [22]. We generalize the result of paper [22], which could be treated here as illustrative examples. The similar problems are also studied in [15, 16]. Remember that the problems treating stochastic perturbed equations have been studied by several authors in the past years, for example, in papers and books [3, 5, 9, 12, 13, 17, 21, 23] and, clearly, in the previously cited works.

Our paper is organized as follows: In the next section, starting from the main result of paper [22], from the global estimation for the $(2k)$ -th moment closeness of the solution of the perturbed and unperturbed equation on finite fixed time-interval, we give conditions ensuring the closeness in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense on intervals whose length tends to infinity as small perturbations tend to zero. In Section 3 we illustrate the preceding results on the example of the non-linear oscillator subjected to parametric and random excitations of a Gaussian white noise type. We give some useful conclusions and we point to possible applications of the preceding considerations.

Finally, let us suppose that all random variables and processes considered here are given on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . We should mention that we shall restrict ourselves to scalar-valued processes in this paper. For applications, extension to vector-valued process is of great importance and it is not difficult in itself, but is

rather complicated in details and involves complex notations.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND MAIN RESULTS

We shall consider the following scalar stochastic differential equation of the Itô type

$$dx_t = a(t, x_t) dt + b(t, x_t) dw_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x_0 = \eta,$$

or, in equivalent integral form,

$$x_t = \eta + \int_0^t a(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t b(s, x_s) dw_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.1)$$

where $w = (w_t, t \geq 0)$ is a scalar-valued normalized Brownian motion with a natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ (i.e. \mathcal{F}_t is a smallest σ -field on which all random variables $w_s, s \leq t$ are \mathcal{F}_t -measurable), the initial condition η is a random variable independent of w , $a(t, x)$ and $b(t, x)$ are given scalar real functions satisfying $\int_0^T |a(t, x)| dt < \infty$, $\int_0^T |b(t, x)|^2 dt < \infty$ (under these conditions Lebesgue and Itô integrals in (2.1) are well defined), and $x = (x_t, t \in [0, T])$ is a scalar stochastic process adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$.

It is well known that there is a number of papers and books in which various, essentially different sufficient conditions of the existence and uniqueness of a solution of Eq. (2.1) are given (see [3, 7, 9, 14, 20, 24], for example). In fact, on the basis of classical theory of stochastic differential equations of the Itô type one can prove that if the functions $a(t, x)$ and $b(t, x)$ satisfy the global Lipschitz condition and the usual linear growth condition on the last argument, i.e. if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |a(t, x) - a(t, y)| + |b(t, x) - b(t, y)| &\leq L|x - y| \\ |a(t, x)|^2 + |b(t, x)|^2 &\leq L(1 + |x|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$, $t \in [0, T]$ and if $E|\eta|^2 < \infty$ ($E|\eta|^2$ is a mathematical expectation of $|\eta|^2$), then there exists a unique solution $x = (x_t, t \in [0, T])$ of Eq. (2.1), continuous with probability one, satisfying $E\{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x_t|^2\} < \infty$. Moreover, if $E|\eta|^{2k} < \infty$ for any fixed integer $k \in \mathcal{N}$, then $E\{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x_t|^{2k}\} < \infty$.

Together with Eq. (2.1) we consider the following equation of the equal type, depending on parameters,

$$\begin{aligned} x_t^\varepsilon &= \eta^{\varepsilon_0} + \int_0^t [a(s, x_s^\varepsilon) + \alpha(s, x_s^\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1)] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t [b(s, x_s^\varepsilon) + \beta(s, x_s^\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2)] dw_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

in which $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are *small parameters* from the interval $(0, 1)$. Because the solution depends on them, we adopt the shorter notational convention, introducing the

superscript ε in x_t^ε and emphasizing that ε also depends on them. The initial value η^{ε_0} , satisfying $E|\eta^{\varepsilon_0}|^{2k} < \infty$, is independent on the same Brownian motion w , and $\alpha(t, x, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\beta(t, x, \varepsilon_2)$ are given scalar real functions. In accordance with paper [22], the functions $\alpha(t, x, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\beta(t, x, \varepsilon_2)$ are called *the perturbations*, while Eq. (2.3) is naturally called *the perturbed equation* with respect to *the unperturbed equation* (2.1).

We suppose that there exist a non-random value $\delta_0(\varepsilon_0)$ and bounded continuous functions $\delta_1(t, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\delta_2(t, \varepsilon_2)$, such that

$$E|\eta^{\varepsilon_0} - \eta|^{2k} \leq \delta_0(\varepsilon_0), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sup_{x \in R} |\alpha(t, x, \varepsilon_1)| \leq \delta_1(t, \varepsilon_1), \quad \sup_{x \in R} |\beta_1(t, x, \varepsilon_2)| \leq \delta_2(t, \varepsilon_2). \quad (2.5)$$

In view of our previous discussion, if the conditions (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfied, then both equations (2.1) and (2.3) have unique solutions x_t and x_t^ε , respectively, continuous with probability one and satisfying $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E|x_t|^{2k} < \infty$, $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E|x_t^\varepsilon|^{2k} < \infty$. Moreover, if the values $\delta_0(\varepsilon_0), \delta_1(t, \varepsilon_1), \delta_2(t, \varepsilon_2)$ are small for small $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$, then we could expect that the solutions x_t and x_t^ε are close in $(2k)$ -th moment sense. In connection with these requirements, the name *small perturbations* is logically kept for the perturbations $\alpha(t, x, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\beta(t, x, \varepsilon_2)$.

In paper [22] the following estimation of the $(2k)$ -th moment closeness for the solutions x_t and x_t^ε is obtained: for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq \left(\delta_0^{1/k}(\varepsilon_0) \cdot \exp\left\{Mt + 2 \int_0^t \delta_1(s, \varepsilon_1) ds\right\} + \int_0^t [2\delta_1(s, \varepsilon_1) + (2k-1)\delta_2^2(s, \varepsilon_2)] \cdot \exp\left\{Mt + 2 \int_s^t \delta_1(u, \varepsilon_1) du\right\} ds \right)^k, \quad (2.6)$$

where $M = 2L + 2(2k-1)L^2$. Starting from this estimation, some special types of perturbations are considered in paper [22]. In the present paper we shall observe a general case of perturbations and we shall give conditions under which these solutions are close on fixed finite intervals or on intervals whose length tends to infinity when the small parameters tend to zero.

First, following partially the ideas of papers [15, 16], taking into consideration that the size of the perturbations is limited in the sense (2.4) and (2.5), we shall require that $\delta_0(\varepsilon_0), \delta_1(t, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\delta_2(t, \varepsilon_2)$ monotonously tend to zero as small parameters tend to zero, uniformly in $[0, T]$.

Let us denote

$$\bar{\delta}_1(\varepsilon_1) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \delta_1(t, \varepsilon_1), \quad \bar{\delta}_2(\varepsilon_2) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \delta_2(t, \varepsilon_2)$$

and let us define

$$\phi(\varepsilon) = \max\{\delta_0^{1/k}(\varepsilon), \bar{\delta}_1(\varepsilon), \bar{\delta}_2^2(\varepsilon)\},$$

where $\varepsilon = \max\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$. Obviously, $\phi(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Now, from (2.6) it is easy to obtain

$$E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq \phi^k(\varepsilon) \left(e^{(M+2\rho)t} + \frac{2k+1}{M+2\rho} (e^{(M+2\rho)t} - 1) \right)^k, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

where ρ is a constant such that $\bar{\delta}_1(\varepsilon) < \rho$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If we take $C = \left(1 + \frac{2k+1}{M+2\rho}\right)^k$, we find

$$E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot \phi^k(\varepsilon) \cdot e^{k(M+2\rho)t}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

If $T > 0$ is a fixed finite number, it follows immediately that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot \phi^k(\varepsilon) \cdot e^{k(M+2\rho)T} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small parameters $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ and ε_2 , the solutions x_t^ε and x_t are close in $(2k)$ -th moment sense on a fixed finite time-interval $[0, T]$. But, if the time-interval is infinite, i.e. $T = \infty$, then the previous assertion is generally not valid. Because of that, similarly to papers [15, 16], our intention is to construct finite time-intervals which depend on ε and whose length go to infinity as ε goes to zero, such that the solutions x_t^ε and x_t are close in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense on these intervals. Remember that in [22] the construction of such intervals is given only for special classes of perturbations.

Let us take $T = T(\varepsilon)$ and determine $T(\varepsilon)$ such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. From (2.7) we find

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot \phi^k(\varepsilon) \cdot e^{k(M+2\rho)T(\varepsilon)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously, we shall claim that the right hand side of this inequality tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $\phi(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\phi(\varepsilon) < 1$ for $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Now, we easily find $T(\varepsilon)$ by taking $(M+2\rho)T(\varepsilon) = -r \ln \phi(\varepsilon)$ for any number $r \in (0, 1)$ and for $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Thus,

$$T(\varepsilon) = -\frac{r}{M+2\rho} \ln \phi(\varepsilon) \quad (2.9)$$

and, clearly, $T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. It is easy now to conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot (\phi(\varepsilon))^{k(1-r)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that the preceding relation gives us an important result, the rate of closeness of the solutions x_t^ε and x_t for a fixed ε . Namely, for a given small value $\theta > 0$, from the relation $C(\phi(\varepsilon))^{k(1-r)} < \theta$ we can determine a limit for $\phi(\varepsilon)$ as the size of the small perturbations,

$$\phi(\varepsilon) < (\theta/C)^{1/k(1-r)}$$

and, after that, $T(\varepsilon)$ from (2.9), such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k} < \theta$.

Remember, also, that we have estimated only even moments of $|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|$, while odd ones could be estimated by using the elementary property of mathematical expectation: $(E|X|)^2 \leq E|X|^2$ for any random variable X . From that,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^k \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T(\varepsilon)]} (E|x_t^\varepsilon - x_t|^{2k})^{1/2} \leq C^{1/2} \cdot (\phi(\varepsilon))^{k(1-r)/2}.$$

In connection with previous discussion, it is clear that the method exposed here could be used to study stability properties in (k) -th moment sense for the solution of the perturbed equation, by studying stability properties in the same sense for the solution of the corresponding unperturbed equation, which will be illustrated in the next section.

3. (2k)-TH MEAN BEHAVIOR OF NON-LINEAR OSCILLATOR AMPLITUDE SUBJECTED TO SMALL PARAMETRIC PERTURBATIONS

In this section we shall apply the previous results to describe the behavior of any non-linear oscillator under parametric and random excitations, by comparing its amplitude, in $(2k)$ -th moment sense, with the one of the corresponding linear oscillator. Precisely, we consider the non-linear oscillator motion, which is mathematically modeled with the following random differential equation

$$\ddot{y} + (\alpha + \beta y^2)\dot{y} + (\omega_0^2 + \gamma y^2 + f(t, \omega))y = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

earlier studied in [2]. Here $f(t, \omega)$ is a Gaussian stationary wide-band random process of small intensity and correlation time, with mathematical expectation equal to zero, which is treated as a Gaussian white noise excitation in mechanics and in engineering practice; α, β and γ are linear and non-linear damping factors, i.e. positive constants small comparing to one and of the same intensity order as the spectral density $S(2\omega_0)$ of the Gaussian random process; ω_0 is a natural frequency of the unperturbed system oscillation. Obviously, the special problems mainly arise in the specification of the infinitesimal character of the parameters involved in (3.1).

It is well known that Eq. (3.1) can be transformed in the following way (see, for instance, [2, 8, 21]: First, we introduce the coordinate transformation $y_1 = y, y_2 = \dot{y}$, such that Eq. (3.1) may be rewritten into the system of the random differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= y_2, \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= -(\alpha + \beta y_1^2)y_2 - (\omega_0^2 + \gamma y_1^2 + f(t, \omega))y_1. \end{aligned}$$

By the representation of the variables $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ in the standard form

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &= a(t) \cos \phi(t), & \phi(t) &= \omega_0 t + \theta(t), \\ y_2(t) &= -a(t) \omega_0 \sin \phi(t), \end{aligned}$$

in which $a(t)$ is a solution amplitude for elongation, whereas $\theta(t)$ is a phase and $\phi(t)$ is a phase angle, the preceding system is transformed into the form convenient to the well-known Khas'minskii averaging principle (see [2, 8, 21] and first of all [18]), which is based upon the ideas of Krilov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolsky. Without going into details, as a final result of an application of the averaging principle, one can obtain stochastic differential equations of the Itô type with respect to the averaged amplitude $\bar{a}(t)$ and the averaged phase $\bar{\theta}(t)$. The stochastic differential equation with respect to the averaged amplitude will be of interest to us,

$$d\bar{a}_t = \left[\frac{3}{16} \frac{S(2\omega_0)}{\omega_0^2} \bar{a}_t dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{4} \bar{a}_t^2 \right) \bar{a}_t \right] dt + \sqrt{\frac{S(2\omega_0)}{8\omega_0^2}} \bar{a}_t dw_t, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\bar{a}_0 = \eta.$$

Here $w = (w_t, t \geq 0)$ is a normalized Brownian motion, which is the outcome of the effect of the random forces process. Since this equation cannot be effectively solvable (see [7, 12, 13]), in order to describe its solution different methods are used. For example, since the solution is a homogeneous Markovian process (see [3, 7, 9, 14, 20, 24]), the corresponding Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equation for a conditional probability density is used for determining the stationary probability density of the averaged amplitude (see [2, 8]). Likewise, a comparison method is presented in [12, 13] to estimate the mean square expectation of this averaged amplitude.

For the sake of simpler writing, we are introducing the following notations:

$$\mu = \frac{3}{16} \frac{S(2\omega_0)}{\omega_0^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad \nu = \sqrt{\frac{S(2\omega_0)}{8\omega_0^2}}.$$

Thus Eq. (3.2) becomes

$$d\bar{a}_t = \left(\mu \bar{a}_t - \frac{\beta}{8} \bar{a}_t^3 \right) dt + \nu \bar{a}_t dw_t, \quad t > 0, \quad \bar{a}_0 = \eta. \quad (3.3)$$

In connection with the discussion in Section 2, together with the non-linear oscillator motion mathematically described with Eq. (3.1), we consider the corresponding linear one, mathematically described with the following equation

$$\ddot{y} + \alpha \dot{y} + (\omega_0^2 + f(t, \omega)) y = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

In fact, the quadratic terms in (3.1) could be treated as perturbations with respect to the linear equation (3.4).

By applying the stochastic averaging principle to Eq. (3.4), the corresponding equation with respect to the averaged amplitude $\bar{b}(t)$ is that linear homogeneous stochastic differential equation of the Itô type

$$d\bar{b}_t = \mu \bar{b}_t dt + \nu \bar{b}_t dw_t, \quad t > 0, \quad \bar{b}_0 = \eta. \quad (3.5)$$

So, Eq. (3.3) could be treated as the perturbed equation with the small perturbation $-\frac{\beta}{8} a^3$, while (3.5) is the corresponding unperturbed equation.

Let us note the following important fact: By applying the comparison method exposed in papers [12, 13], we conclude that \bar{a}_t^2 can be compared with the solution z_t of the linear stochastic differential equation

$$dz_t = (2\mu + \nu^2) z_t dt + 2\nu z_t dw_t, \quad t > 0, \quad z_0 = \eta^2,$$

in the sense that

$$\bar{a}_t^2 \leq z_t, \quad t \geq 0 \quad \text{with probability one.}$$

Since the solution of this equation may be written in the form ([3, 7, 8, 14, 20])

$$z_t = \eta^2 e^{(2\mu - \nu^2)t + 2\nu w_t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and according to the law of iterated logarithm, from which it follows that the sample function of the Brownian motion approaches the limiting value $\sqrt{2t \log(\log t)}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with probability one, it follows that $2\mu - \nu^2 < 0$, or in terms of the original damping ratio,

$$\alpha > \frac{S(2\omega_0)}{4\omega_0^2} \quad (3.6)$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the equilibrium solution to be asymptotically stable with probability one. Therefore, it is reasonable to think, under the condition (3.6), that the averaged amplitude $\bar{a}(t)$ is bounded with some constant, with probability one. This conclusion will be important later on.

Remember that, strictly mathematically, the usual procedure for determining the stationary probability density for the averaged amplitude from the corresponding Kolmogorov–Fokker–Planck equation, requires that the drift and diffusion coefficients of Eq. (3.3) be bounded, which is a strong assumption. In practice there is an abundance of examples (see [1, 2, 6, 8, 19, 24]) to show that this boundedness condition is not essential. However, the situation remains unclear and the previous commentary could be an acceptable argument.

Since it is reasonable to think that the averaged amplitude is bounded with a constant $q > 0$ with probability one, determined in advance with the physical characteristics of the oscillator, then $\frac{\beta}{8} |a|^3 \leq \frac{\beta}{8} q^3$, and therefore,

$$\phi(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{8} q^3.$$

Now, we could estimate the $(2k)$ -th moment closeness of \bar{a}_t and \bar{b}_t by using (2.10), but because of the linearity of Eq. (3.5) we shall obtain one better estimation.

We need the following Itô's differential formula, so-called *the Itô's differentiation rule* (see [3, 7, 9, 14, 20, 24], and first of all [10]): If the stochastic process $(x_t, t \in [0, T])$ has the stochastic differential $dx_t = a_t dt + b_t dw_t$ and if the non-random

function $f(t, x)$ is continuous together with its derivatives f'_t, f'_x, f''_{xx} , then the process $f(t, x_t)$ has the stochastic differential

$$df(t, x_t) = \left(f'_t(t, x_t) + a_t f'_x(t, x_t) + \frac{1}{2} b_t^2 f''_{xx}(t, x_t) \right) dt + b_t f'_x(t, x_t) dw_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let us subtract the equations (3.3) and (3.5) in integral form,

$$\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t = \int_0^t \left(\mu(\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s) - \frac{\beta}{8} \bar{a}_s^3 \right) ds + \int_0^t \nu(\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s) dw_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and then apply the Itô's differentiation rule to $f(x) = x^{2k}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t)^{2k} &= 2k \int_0^t \left(\mu(\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s) - \frac{\beta}{8} \bar{a}_s^3 \right) (\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s)^{2k-1} ds \\ &\quad + k(2k-1)\nu^2 \int_0^t (\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s)^{2k} ds + 2k\nu \int_0^t (\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s)^{2k} dw_s, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the basic property of the Itô's integral it follows that $E \int_0^t (\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s)^{2k} dw_s = 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k} &\leq [2k|\mu| + k(2k-1)\nu^2] \int_0^t E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{4} q^3 k \int_0^t E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k-1} ds, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mathematical expectation satisfies the well-known Hölder's inequality $E|X| \leq (E|X|^p)^{1/p}$ for any real number $p > 1$, by taking $p = \frac{2k}{2k-1}$ we obtain $E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k-1} \leq (E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k})^{(2k-1)/(2k)}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k} &\leq [2k|\mu| + k(2k-1)\nu^2] \int_0^t E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{4} q^3 k \int_0^t (E|\bar{a}_s - \bar{b}_s|^{2k})^{(2k-1)/(2k)} ds, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k}$ from this integral inequality, we shall apply the following version of the well-known Gronwall-Bellman's lemma [4, pp. 39]: Let $u(t)$, $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions in $[0, T]$ and let $c > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ be constants. If

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_0^t a(s)u(s) ds + \int_0^t b(s)u^\gamma(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

then

$$u(t) \leq \left(c^{1-\gamma} e^{(1-\gamma) \int_0^t a(s) ds} + (1-\gamma) \int_0^t b(s) e^{(1-\gamma) \int_0^s a(r) dr} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Because \bar{a}_t and \bar{b}_t are continuous with probability one, the expectation $E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k}$ is also continuous. By taking $u(t) = E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k}$, $\gamma = (2k - 1)/(2k)$ and by applying the cited Gronwall–Bellman’s lemma, we find

$$E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot \beta^{2k} e^{2k[|\mu| + (2k-1)/2 \cdot \nu^2]t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $C = \left(\frac{q^3 k}{8[|\mu| + (2k-1)/2 \cdot \nu^2]} \right)^{2k}$. In accordance with the considerations in Section 2, for any number $r \in (0, 1)$ let us determine $T(\beta)$ from the relation $[|\mu| + (2k - 1)/2 \cdot \nu^2]T(\beta) = -r \ln \beta$, i.e.

$$T(\beta) = -\frac{r}{|\mu| + (2k - 1)/2 \cdot \nu^2} \ln \beta.$$

Therefore, $T(\beta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T(\beta)]} E|\bar{a}_t - \bar{b}_t|^{2k} \leq C \cdot \beta^{2k(1-r)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, this relation gives us the rate of closeness of the solutions \bar{a}_t and \bar{b}_t on the interval $[0, T(\beta)]$.

Let us give some concluding remarks: Since the $(2k)$ -th moment of the solution \bar{b}_t of the linear stochastic differential equation (3.5) may be written in the form (see [3, 7, 8, 14, 20], for example)

$$E|\bar{b}_t|^{2k} = \eta^{2k} e^{2k[\mu + (2k-1)/2 \cdot \nu^2]t}, \quad t > 0,$$

this averaged amplitude is exponentially stable in the $(2k)$ -th mean if and only if $\mu + (2k - 1)/2 \cdot \nu^2 < 0$, or in terms of the original damping ratio,

$$\alpha > (k + 1) \frac{S(2\omega_0)}{4\omega_0^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that then the condition (3.6) is also satisfied. Thus, for an arbitrarily small value $\theta > 0$, from (3.7) we find

$$\beta < (\theta/C)^{1/(2k(1-r))}. \quad (3.9)$$

However, if the damping factors of Eq. (3.1) satisfy (3.8) and (3.9) for a given small θ , then \bar{a}_t is different from \bar{b}_t , in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense, for the greatest θ on the time-interval $[0, T(\beta)]$. In accordance with this fact, it is reasonable to believe that this non-linear system behaves, asymptotically in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense, analogously to the corresponding linear one. Because of that, the conditions (3.8) and (3.9) could be acceptable as the one under which the non-linear dynamic system is stable, approximately in the same sense.

The method exposed in this paper for the description of the behavior of the non-linear oscillator amplitude is illustrated on a simple example, comparable with the linear one. Likewise, it could be applied to some other situations, when mostly classical estimations did not give suitable results. Of course, it could be necessary to know the conditions under which the corresponding unperturbed equations are asymptotically stable in the $(2k)$ -th moment sense. Moreover, this method could be applied to describe the behavior of nonlinear dynamic systems subjected to more independent random excitations of a Gaussian white noise type, which will be a subject of our forthcoming work.

REFERENCES

1. Ariaratnam, S.T., (1972), *Stability of Mechanical Systems under Stochastic Parametric Excitation*, In Proceedings IUTAM Symposium on Stochastic Dynamic Systems (R.V. Curtain Editor), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 294, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 291–302.
2. Ariaratnam, S.T., (1980), *Bifurcation in Non-linear Stochastic Systems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, pp. 470–474.
3. Arnold, L., (1974), *Stochastic Differential Equations, Theory and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
4. Bainov, D. and Simeonov, P., (1992), *Integral Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
5. Baxter, G.K., (1971), *The Non-linear Response of Mechanical Systems to Parametric Random Excitation*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Siracuse.
6. Caughey, T.K., (1971), *Non-linear Theory of Random Vibration*, Academic Press, New York, Vol. 11, pp. 209–243.
7. Gihman, I.I. and Skorohod, A.V., (1968), *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Naukova Dumka, (in Russian).
8. Ibrahim, A.R., (1985), *Parametric Random Vibration*, John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York.
9. Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S., (1981), *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North Holland, Amsterdam.
10. Itô, K., (1951), *On a Formula Concerning Stochastic Differentials*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, N^o 3, pp. 55–65.
11. Itô, K., (1951), *On Stochastic Differential Equations*, Memorial Mathematical Society, N^o 4, pp. 1–51.
12. Janković, Sv. and (Stevanović) Hedrih, K., (1991), *Some Estimations of the Nonlinear Oscillator Amplitude Subjected to Random Parametric Excitation*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Yugoslavia Society of Mechanics, Belgrade, N^o 17, pp. 89–96.
13. Janković, Sv., (1993), *A General Method for a Mean Square Estimation of Nonlinear Oscillator Amplitude Subjected to Random Excitations*, Facta Universitatis, Series Mechanics, Automatic Control and Robotics, University of Niš, Vol. 1, N^o 3, pp. 293–304.
14. Janković, Sv., (1998), *Introduction to the Theory of the Itô Type Stochastic Integrals and Stochastic Differential Equations*, Topics from Mathematics and Mechanics, Mathematical Institute SANU, Belgrade, Vol. 8, N^o 16, pp. 105–139.

15. Janković Sv. and Jovanović M., (2000), *Convergence in $(2m)$ -th Mean for Perturbed Stochastic Integrodifferential Equations*, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, Belgrade, (accepted for publication).
16. Jovanović, M. and Janković, Sv., (2000), *On Perturbed Nonlinear Itô Type Stochastic Integrodifferential Equations*, (sent for publication).
17. Khas'minskii, R.Z., (1966), *On Stochastic Processes Defined by Differential Equations with Small Parameters*, Theory of Probability and Applications, N^o 11, pp. 211–228.
18. Khas'minskii, R.Z., (1967), *The Averaging Principle for Stochastic Differential Equations of the Itô type*, Kybernetika, Moscow, Vol. 4, N^o 3, pp. 260–279, (In Russian).
19. Kozin, F., (1972), *Stability of the Linear Stochastic Systems*, In Proceedings IUTAM Symposium on Stability of Stochastic Dynamical Systems, (Curtain, R.F. Editor), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 186–229.
20. Liptser, R. and Shiryaev A., (1977), *Statistics of Random Processes I*, Springer, New York.
21. (Stevanović) Hedrih, K. (supervizor) and Kozić, P. and Pavlović, R. and Mitić, S. and Nikolić, V., (1989), *Stochastic Processes in Dynamical Systems with Applications on Mechanical Engineering Systems*, Studia – Scientific Project, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Niš.
22. Stoyanov, J. and Botev, D., (1996), *Quantitative Results for Perturbed Stochastic Differential Equations*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, Vol. 9, N^o 3, pp. 255–261.
23. Stoyanov, J., (1996), *Regularly Perturbed Stochastic Differential Systems with an Internal Random Noise*, In Proceedings on 2nd World Congress on Non-linear Analysis, Athens, July '96.
24. Wong, E., (1971), *Stochastic Processes in Information and Dynamical Systems*, McGraw–Hill, New York.
25. Wu, W.F. and Lin, Y.K., (1984), *Cumulant–neglect Closure for Non-linear Oscillator under Parametric and External Excitations*, International Journal on Non-linear Mechanics, Vol. 19, N^o 4, pp. 349–362.

ASIMPTOTSKO PONAŠANJE NELINEARNIH DINAMIČKIH SISTEMA POD UTICAJEM PARAMETARSKIH I SLUČAJNIH POBUDA

Svetlana Janković, Miljana Jovanović

U radu se ispituje asimptotsko ponašanje, u smislu momenata $(2k)$ -tog reda, amplitude nelinearnog oscilatora pod uticajem malih parametarskih perturbacija i slučajnih pobuda tipa Gaussovog šuma. Pošto je ovaj problem esencijalno povezan sa stohastičkom diferencijalnom jednačinom tipa Itôa, ovaj rad razmatra asimptotsko ponašanje rešenja Itôove diferencijalne jednačine sa malim perturbacijama, upoređujući ga sa rešenjima odgovarajuće neperturbovane jednačine. Preciznije, dati su uslovi pri kojima su ova rešenja bliska u smislu momenata $(2k)$ -tog reda na intervalima čija dužina teži beskonačnosti kada male perturbacije teže ka nuli.