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Abstract. An extensive collective habitation in Jagodina started in the middle of 1950s by planned construction of settlements 'Pivara' and 'Kablovi' by architect Dragiša Brašovan. Along with the further demographic and economic development of Jagodina, construction of numerous settlements of collective buildings on the periphery of the town was continued during the first fifteen years of the 21st century when the settlements were built, mostly contrary to the provisions of valid planning documents. An urban development of Jagodina was regulated by general urban plans from 1956, 1976 and 2015. Planning documents were not being carried out completely, and a legalization of unplanned residential and other construction represented a reason for changes in existing planning documents. Since 2000 the residential and another construction has been realized by individual decisions of local government, and a good base for complex treatment of urban town development was not made by GUP 2015. According to GUP 2015, five residential zones cover the largest part of central building area. According to architectural-urban values, settlements 'Pivara' and 'Kablovi' are particularly noteworthy, followed by settlements 'Kajsijar', 'Strelište', 'Sarina meda' and other ones.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The town of Jagodina originates from the medieval village Jagodna, first mentioned as a temporary royal residence in 1399. During the Turks reign, the settlement called Jagodina palanka (small town) (noted by foreign travelers as Jadunum, Eperis or Jasince) arose on the ruins of the village. In the middle of 16th century, a settlement mostly consisted of Islamic population on Carigrad (Constantinople) road had four caravan stations, two mosques and a Turkish school, and in 1660, a traveler named Evlija
Čelebija stated that there were 1500 houses in Jagodina. After Second Serbian Uprising, Jagodina was rapidly developing as a bordering place at the border of Miloš’s Serbia, and finally became a part of it in 1883 as a formed town. Afterward, Jagodina was recording a continuous demographic development, conditioned by longitudinal migrations along the river Morava towards the north and transverse migrations from Šumadija and Eastern Serbia. In 1946 regarding the centenary of the birth of Svetozar Marković, the town was renamed Svetozarevo, and in 1992, it brought back its name Jagodina by citizens referendum. Today, Jagodina is a center of the Pomoravlje District within the regions of Šumadija and Western Serbia.

According to the 2011 census, Jagodina had a population of 37,282 inhabitants, 13,844 households, and 17,488 apartments. In regards to previous censuses, the number of inhabitants is mildly increasing whereas the number of households is increasing noticeably (with less number of members). But, in comparison to censuses before 1991, when a number of apartments was lower or approximately equal to a number of households, in the period after 1991, the number of apartments was increasingly exceeding the number of households. It is estimated that in the end of 2015, there were about 4,000 uninhabited apartments in Jagodina, mostly in newly built collective apartment buildings which customers were not interested in (of average price about 500€/m²), with about 2,100 apartments more, inhabited by persons who are not apartments owners. (Momčilović, 2014)

During the 1950s after World War II, Jagodina transformed mainly from service, agricultural and poorly industrialized small town into an industrial town, particularly after 1955 when the construction of a cable factory started. This industrial giant and other town enterprises attracted numerous residents from surrounding and farther places. Apartments in new settlements, which were realized predominantly by the plan, were rapidly built and given to the use of new residents.

During the 1990s, in well-known social-political circumstances, apartments building in Jagodina almost died down (except sporadic building for refugees and displaced persons’ needs). After 2000, in the spirit of favoring private sector and complete privatization, market-oriented collective apartment building revived, but due to residents’ low purchasing power and low demand, selling was poor. A part of apartments was of low quality (due to unprofessional construction, savings in material etc.), and lower number of customers was deceived by apartment sellers who did not fulfil their obligations.

In a campaign of providing new working places and economic development, a local government easily granted investors with locations at public urban land. The green areas in town core were mostly taken for new collective residential buildings locations, which purpose was changed by single decisions, often contrary to the provisions of valid planning documents. Then, by changing these or making new planning documents, the building was legalized by single decisions as acquired obligation. By decisions on new locations of collective residential buildings, a parking lot was not planned (instead, the investor pays tax for the construction of shared public parking lot, which was realized far from a residential building, or was not realized at all, due to lack of space and means).

In the period after World War II, several general urban plans and a greater number of detailed urban plans were made for Svetozarevo/Jagodina. The provisions of these planning documents on the residential building as the massive one were respected more than the provisions on the nonresidential building. General plans were carried out (or broken) by the realization of urban elaboration plans, and every new GUP or its change was made more for the legalization of deviation from the previous plan and less for
shortcomings of its program and plan. In that sense, general urban planning had a partial influence on the urban town development, which was managed by detailed plans and projects (nowadays by immediate decisions of local government) for solving daily political problems. The relation between urban development and urban planning of the town and its habitation is discussed in the first part of this work.

Main residential zones of the town with individual and collective habitation, also valorized in the current GUP 2015 of Jagodina, are located in parts of the town to the west and east of the river Belica. In the zones to the west of Belica, habitation distinctly dominates whereas in the zones to the east of Belica it is mixed with central public functions. In most of the zones, there are micro-urban units of collective habitation, distinguished by the quality of its solution and realization. Development and characteristics of residential zones in the current GUP, as well as the choice of the highest quality urban-architectural achievements of collective habitation, are discussed in the second part of this work.

2. URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND URBAN PLANNING OF JAGODINA

There are more significant factors that greatly contributed to the development of the present-day urban structure of Jagodina. Despite spatial interventions by which the original image of settlements has been changed, remains of the old agglomeration are visible and valued in urban planning of Jagodina, so that the town general perspective has not been significantly changed.

Besides the Belica which flows in southeast-northwest direction and represents an important factor in town urban development, forming new route of Carigrad’s road (known among Jagodina residents as ‘Duga čaršija’) and crossroads of general east-west direction, Jagodina is getting final outlines of the future development in ‘cruciform shape’ (Macura, 1984). The cruciform shape consisted of the old and the new route of Carigrad’s road, Levač road route towards Kragujevac over Stone bridge, Rakitovo’s road route towards Ribare (see Fig. 1). With railway construction, the importance of ‘Duga čaršija’ has been emphasized. As a highway direction northwest-southeast, it has a crucial role in Jagodina’s longitudinal structure development.

One of the first plans which were supposed to regulate the town urban development was ‘Project of Jagodina’s Regulation’ which was adopted by town authorities in 1942 (Đedić, 2005). Regarding statistical data on population growth, social and economic occasions and composition of the population, this project was rather advanced for that time, but in war conditions, it was not carried out. Partly on this basis after World War II, the directions of the future town spatial development were set.

The first indications of the contemporary way of planning collective residential blocks emerged in the preliminary draft of the regulation plan of Svetozarevo town in 1949 in part of today Ružica Milanović Street and along the whole Levačka Street (today 7th July Street). Due to the unplanned individual building, by which the provided space was occupied, this residential group has never been realized.

Regarding a development of Jagodina, the attempts of adjustment have been made with a development of other surrounding settlements. In Big Pomoravlje, a three-town agglomeration Jagodina-Ćuprija-Paraćin has been spontaneously formed for a long time. In modest urban literature, this agglomeration together with all suburban settlements is called Moravian conurbation. A group of authors from the Institute for Advancement of
Communal Housing, which was working on program basis for the development of Svetozarevo in 1968, saw a general economic town development perspective within broader spatial-functional units of three neighboring towns. There were ideas that ‘apart from functional connection towns should be directed towards territorial connection as well through a system of inter-settlement units with differentiated purposes…’ (Federal Bureau of Urban Planning and Communal Housing MA Svetozarevo and YUGINUS, 1976) In that case, new residential settlements on the outskirts of the town would be planned as a part of Moravian conurbation. However, there were no documented spatial researches on triple Moravian conurbation. It was mainly unplanned developing, depending on the individual needs of each municipality.

Relying only on its own strength and territory, economic and infrastructural objects were being formed in Jagodina, which represented a powerful attraction for potential migrants. Built industrial capacities attracted inhabitants from closer and wider area, which caused new challenges for the town’s planned urbanization. In these social and economic circumstances, residential building, particularly the collective one was becoming a key factor of urbanization.

As the town was developing, the problem of urban regulation, set in the second part of 19th century, was imposing more and more. Conflict situations needed an urgent solution, so that a more appropriate policy of urban development was introduced, which ensured a more balanced distribution of the population and their housing care. That meant the transformation of the present residential area and forming the new one.
In the period of post-war reconstruction, the most common form of residential fund renewal was so-called marginal construction. ‘Every free space in the built part of the town area (locations for a new building without or with a minimal destruction, most often were made by bombing during the war) was filled with high residential and other buildings.’ (Vujnović, 1972). First planning of spatial regulation of urban area was established through a series of partial conceptual images of the town regulation plan, which were done, as a rule, in scale 1:2500 for broader construction area and 1:5000 for the narrower one. These planning documents were, actually, representing only a framework for building, whereas every permit for construction of public and collective residential buildings was granted by Urban Institute at the Planning Commission of the People Republic of Serbia. With the help of this planning commission, urban development for medium-term realization planning was carried out in stages.

At the request of National Board of Svetozarevo Municipality, with the aim of making urban planning, Geodetic Authority carried out a reambulation in 1954 and harmonized the state on old plans with the state on the field. On this basis, only the newly carried out parceling was showed whereas there was no vertical presentation, neither the newly constructed buildings were recorded.

Only with the first General urban planning, a comprehensive assessment of habitation within a wider town area began. The first General urban plan of Svetozarevo was adopted at the session of National Board of Svetozarevo Municipality on 22nd March 1956 and it included the area of 250 hectares (Federal Bureau of Urban Planning and Communal Housing MA Svetozarevo and YUGINUS, 1976). The decision on the first General urban plan coincided with the changes in housing policy. The decisions on rational designing and building initiated the policy of ‘the concentration of residential construction on blocks and larger units’ (Karamata, 1972). The more contemporary way of the town planning was approached, starting with the analysis of numerous effects, to determining needs of habitation development, economic activities, infrastructure, and other activities, with overcoming past conflict situations in the construction area. Thus, the reconstruction of the narrow town center was carried out, through the change of the use of town blocks and the construction of public use facilities.

Due to massive, particularly residential construction, in the period from the first to next GUP there were numerous changes. Experts from the Institute for Advancement of Communal Housing, Social Republic of Serbia, during 1967 and 1968, on the basis of the analysis and critic of present General plan from 1956, pointed out the need for making a new plan (Federal Bureau of Urban Planning and Communal Housing MA Svetozarevo and YUGINUS, 1976). Conclusions of these analyzes initiated the new General urban plan of Svetozarevo which making started in June 1973 and finished in May 1976. In this plan, a residential development in Jagodina’s postwar period could be best seen. All collected and processed documentations of this plan considerably facilitate the insight into the process of the emergence of residential areas in Jagodina.

In comparison to previous GUP 1956, the new General urban plan 1976 was made in changed socio-economic and political conditions as well as in circumstances of newer, more modern scientific and professional approach to urban planning. The previous plan was made more than 20 years ago when the town had the population of about 14,000 inhabitants, twice less than in the time of making the new plan. The new plan was made for an area of about 1.100 hectares, on which 47 urban zones were formed. The greatest number of the zones (25), was intended mostly for habitation.
A noticeable growth of population made problems in more densely built central parts of the town. That is why the new areas for the planned number of inhabitants were mainly provided in the peripheral free areas, following logical directions of the town’s spreading. The process of urban renewal was equally present in old parts of the town as well, where the ambient of ground buildings and gardens of pre-war Jagodina was partly replaced by modern buildings, skyscrapers, and highrises.

According to established social and urban model of local communities, completely new settlements were made in Jagodina with a favored multi-families habitation. The building of a settlement called ‘Kablovi’ beside railway station and a settlement called ‘Pivara’ across the factory began in 1955, in the spirit of modernized Serbian traditional architecture of Moravian region by architect Dragiša Brašovan, within a design studio ‘Contemporary architecture’ which Brašovan set up in 1959 (Kadijević, 1990). It was followed by settlements that considerably changed looks and identity of the town, like ‘Tabana’ i ‘Tavrića obora’, and in 1970s settlements ‘Učiteljsko imanje’ and ‘Kajsijer’ were built, as well as a residential settlement ‘Strelište’ with one-story buildings in a row. Within the reconstruction of the existing town area, a central zone was a subject to architectural-urban competition. That is how a block 10 was formed with 10-story residential buildings. A settlement on the location ‘Sarina Medja’ was built from the funds for directed residential construction.

As in earlier years, also in newer social circumstances in transitional Serbia, Jagodina still does not have a long-term strategy for spatial development. There were numerous changes and additions to previous general urban plans of the town, in which there were many partial solutions. Mainly programs and locations for specific current facilities were being changed, without perceiving the urban town unit. Such approach of individual construction caused numerous conflict situations in the town urban policy implementation.

According to the new General urban plan of Jagodina (GUP of Jagodina, 2015) attempts were made to change that. However, without opening public space for critics and under the pressure of municipal administration to complete the plan, with detailed elaboration for the town building area in only five months this document did not fulfil its mission. A great number of shortcomings was noticed in its content, due to the fact that the data from General urban plan 1976 were used, to a great extent, for making the plan, which was wrongly interpreted, or they were not valid anymore due to the changes in the field. The consequence was that, due to lack of elementary plan’s provisions, practically there was no planned residential construction in Jagodina based on a detailed analysis of state and long-term projection of spatial development. How to provide further town spreading? Which direction? Which areas… were only some of the question that the new GUP should have given the answers to.

3. MAIN RESIDENTIAL ZONES IN THE TOWN

Jagodina’s main residential zones were formed within the town central building area along the western and the eastern bank of the river Belica. According to GUP 2015, residential zones 2 and 5 were established on the western bank whereas the zones 1, 3 and 4 on the eastern bank (see Fig. 2).
Urban zones to the west of the river Belica represent a mainly residential area with the mixed type of residential construction-collective buildings and relatively densely built family buildings. The specificity of these zones’ territory is the importance of non-residential buildings of historical heritage made in the period of intensive town’s expansion in the 19th century. According to GUP of Jagodina 2015, these zones with the most dominant residential intention include an urban unit ‘Across Belica’ (zone 2) in the southern part and an urban unit ‘Tabane’ (zone 5) in the northern part. A western entrance to the town from today Milana Mijalkovića Street makes a borderline between these two zones. For these zones, the largest number of projects of planned residential construction has been made. Realization of those projects enabled Jagodina to get an appearance of the modern town. In zone 2, a micro-settlement ‘Kajsijer’ with collective residential buildings ‘L’ and ‘P’ could be considered the most successful urban and architectural achievement (see Fig. 3).
This settlement was built in accordance with the policy of directed construction, as a sequel of building an urban complex which had been started (‘Učiteljsko naselje’) in neighboring zone 5. Based on changed urban-technical conditions, an office building, as a connecting part was added to the residential ones, by which the unique unit with the green area inside was accomplished in terms of urban architecture.

A zone 5 ‘Tabane’ was formed at the former rarely built and free land. This zone is leaning against a spontaneously formed group of the northern part of zone 2 and it covers an area of 85 hectares, more than 2/3 of the zone is intended for habitation, mostly habitation in lower buildings. The exceptions are two settlements ‘Učiteljsko imanje’ and ‘Strelište’ with up to six-story buildings. The main characteristics of the zone 5 are typical for areas formed as a result of careful planning and realization of residential needs of new inhabitants. In a spatial organization, even construction density, and clearly defined transport network are planned. A special quality of space in this zone is a group of residential buildings in a row, together with multi-story residential buildings characterized by proper regulation and a parcel structure. Because of that, this zone is distinguished as the most arranged in the urban area of Jagodina. The settlement ‘Strelište’ (see Fig. 4) with story residential buildings in a row and the settlement ‘Učiteljsko imanje’ with a residential block of ‘D’ buildings are standing out as representative examples of urbanism and architecture, realized within the zone 5.
A settlement ‘Strelište’ within the zone 5 was built in the early 1970s in the far north of this zone. In only a few years, several capital projects that changed the looks of this part of the town were realized. The new modern buildings were built, among which, groups of multi-families residential buildings in a row are dominating, which, despite certain monotony, provide decent housing conditions. All buildings in a row were built according to the standard project which was implemented in other parts of the town as a model.

Residential zones to the east of the river Belica are larger in total than the zones to the west of the Belica and they are characterized by considerably more intensive construction changes. In terms of space, they cover a central part of the town area in which other significant constructions and communal facilities are as well, built in the period of intensive town’s spreading. Partial urban reconstruction with a combination of modern multi-story residential buildings and buildings of historical heritage is the characteristic of these zones. There is a clear distinction between old and new architecture, which would be even more drastic if those zones had not been constantly protected from daring, more or less successful, modernistic projects.

According to GUP of Jagodina 2015, the main residential zones to the east of the river Belica are 1 (Central), 3 (Southern) and 4 (Northern). The most important factor in this part of the town construction area is the town’s main street, that is, the old direction of Carigrad’s road, so-called ‘Duga ĉaršija’ which divides these zones in the area between the river Belica and the main street and the space between the main street and railways.

In terms of development, the most distinguished unit of these zones is the space between the river Belica and the main street, with design variety of stylistic trends. It consists of the central part of representative character (within the zone 1), which belonged to Main ĉaršija, southern part (within the zone 3), which belonged to Lower main ĉaršija, with the almost chaotic individual construction and northern part (within the zone 4), which belonged to Upper main ĉaršija, with a series of almost joint individual buildings. The oldest buildings originate from 1870, whereas those from the previous periods were destroyed long ago. By urban reconstruction that has been done until now, a steadiness of individual buildings, mainly the residential ones, is emphasized. Space for building new series of residential and mixed blocks has been provided by destroying the old dilapidated buildings. In new, more contemporary way of building it has been tried to achieve physical continuity, as well as the impression of fitting new things into the existing ones. Space does not have some outstanding buildings, except a part of the so-called administrative complex in zone 1. Since 1955, for needs of Jagodina’s brewery, in a part of zone 3 in the 29th November Street, one of the first residential workers’ colonies ‘Pivara’ was made. In all urbanistic and architectural suggestions within this part of zone 3, that were made up to now, attention was paid to the embedding of new buildings and spaces in the buildings in this settlement.

On the other hand, the space between the main street and railway is characterized by regular orthogonal raster, with the dominance of two types of residential construction – a series of individual residential buildings (as significantly common, or the single typology of residential construction) and residential groups of blocks intended for collective habitation. Thus in the zone 3 (which is one of rare zones where the large industrial complex is kept – Jagodina’s brewery), residential rows are spreading in the direction of the town’s spreading (northwest-southeast), along Kneza Miloša Street (former Mariborska), whereas the blocks are formed in the surroundings of Jagodina’s brewery. In the southernmost part of this zone, on the east side of the main street, blocks of residential
settlement ‘Sarina Medja’, which represent a positive example regarding the program, urbanistic and design concept, have been formed.

As representative examples of built spaces within the whole south zone 3, the following residential settlements and multi families residential buildings are standing out: 1. a settlement of ground and multi-story buildings in a row in Kneza Miloša Street, 2. a settlement ‘Pivara’, 3. a settlement ‘Sarina Medja’ and 4. a settlement of Solidarity Fund.

![Fig. 5 A settlement ‘Sarina Medja’](image)

A suburban settlement ‘Sarina Medja’ (see Fig. 5) has been planned as a residential beginning of the future larger center in the southeast part of the town. This center along the road Jagodina-Ćuprija was conceived as one of the hubs of Moravian conurbation. The building with moderate horizontal and vertical dimensions enable a solid comfort of collective habitation.

Central residential zone 1 includes the area of the town center of Jagodina with the narrow surrounding. That is a zone of the total area of about 78 hectares where public functions representative buildings are located as well as habitation with higher density. While preparing detailed urban plans and other urban documentations, for each part of zone, suggestions from the competition material of survey urban competition for central part of Švetozarevo from 1971 and from the Detailed urban plan of Švetozarevo central zone from 1974 were being used, elaborators of Yugoslav Institute for Town Planning and Housing from Belgrade (Federal Bureau of Urban Planning and Communal Housing MA Švetozarevo and YUGINUS, 1976) as well as from GUP of Švetozarevo 1976.

According to mentioned suggestions, aspirations in GUP of Jagodina 2015 were that territory of zone 1 would be evenly covered by residential activities and that newly constructed buildings make functional and a shaped unit with already constructed buildings. Some of the recommendations were that unplastered red blocks or bricks should be used for façade, to build sloping roofs and to achieve primary plastics. Physical structures of other activities - trade, social institutions and institutions for children etc., are planned to be embedded into residential tissue and designed only as annexes to residential buildings. It is determined that residential buildings, except those in block 10, may be 6-story high. By rules of construction and spatial planning, it is planned to avoid closed forms when grouping buildings, which enables making the greater free areas and quiet zones on the inside of building groups. As the most significant examples of urbanism and architecture
realized within zone 1, the following residential objects are standing out: 1. a settlement ‘Kablovi’, 2. residential-business block ‘NA-MA’ and 3. a residential block of ‘B’ buildings.

**Fig. 6 A settlement ‘Kablovi’**

In the settlement ‘Kablovi’ (see Fig. 6) made by architect Dragiša Brašovan, a construction concept based on the idea of national building tradition in the spirit of modernized Serbian folklore architecture of the Moravian region. Within a harmonious architectural-urbanistic unit that refines a center of the town, folklore architecture has been interpreted as the architecture ‘national by character’. The settlement has been realized progressively, and the new collective residential buildings by other authors were subsequently built within its complex by the same models. Besides a settlement ‘Pivara’ in zone 3, this settlement represents the first achievement of the collective residential architecture in Jagodina after World War II. (Avramović, 2011).

In the north zone 4 within the Upper main čaršija, a spontaneous individual building of considerable density for this type of construction is dominating. There are no particularly significant new urbanistic and architectural achievements within the zone.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Jagodina’s urban matrix was being made spontaneously as a heritage result of the previous time and largely preserved in the town’s contemporary changes. This has been made possible due to the fact that preserved buildings and settlements from previous periods were being included in the town contemporary development trends, and the new buildings and settlements were being built largely in the historical spirit of the town. Thus, the continuity of Jagodina’s urban development has been mainly achieved until the end of 20th century with more or less help of urban planning. But, this continuity has been disrupted by individual construction of collective residential and other buildings in the first fifteen years of the 21st century due to the fact that individual decisions on construction locations were being made beyond spatial units context in the valid urban plans. In this way, a function of urban planning, as a mechanism for management of the town spatial development, was depreciated and replaced by pragmatic administrative procedures of local government authority.
Jagodina’s residential architecture and urbanism, as of ‘the most towns in Serbia, are conditioned by socio-economic and political environment, tradition and territory characteristics, regarding form and function. It is expressed in all aspects of urban development, particularly in spaces with the largest presence of urban architectural heritage. Among the mentioned conditions, those of economic-political sphere are the most actual, which influences result in orchestrated planning and designing, legalization of illegal construction (directly or by plan changings) etc.

Urban development of collective habitation in Jagodina in the second part of 20th century had the following three characteristic aspects:

- **Partial reconstruction and maintenance of buildings from previous epochs.** A construction of multi families residential buildings in the very urban core was done by embedding new buildings in the existing urban structure. Respecting time stratification of urban heritage, new buildings were being constructed in accordance with the new aspects of life. In this way precisely, a future development character of a central town zone has been determined.

- **Construction on insufficiently built or free building land, in immediate proximity to town centre.** A more radical urban reconstruction aimed at integrating new blocks of collective inhabitation with inherited town structure. That was an attempt to integrate contemporary urban morphology in traditional, inherited town matrix. With the inevitable conflicts, above all concerning elementary urban matrix, completely new town spaces were made through the construction of numerous multi families residential buildings.

- **Construction of new settlements in peripheral parts of the town.** Later, due to needs for new residential space, builders’ interest was directed towards the town periphery. Construction of new collective and individual residential buildings – planned and unplanned construction, represented the largest physical change in town’s morphology. In that way, the tradition was broken and a creating of town’s new urban identity began.

Since the beginning of 21st century, a construction in Jagodina has been less based on urban planning and more on investors’ interest in constructing collective buildings on specific attractive locations in the town tissue, as well as on the unplanned construction of individual buildings, which further changes the town identity in a negative way. Because of disrespect of valid planning documents while making single decisions on residential and other construction, an urban planning has been excluded as the instrument for spatial development management and replaced by pragmatic voluntarism of local authorities.

General urban plan of the town from 2015, which has been done for a short time and without necessary input data, does not give answers to strategic questions concerning residential construction, neither in terms of program nor in terms of space, which is why conditions and needs for partial decisions on building, in accordance with daily town development politics, have been made. For real answers to these questions, it is necessary to make a new, complex planning document, based on current data of state on locations, but also a consistent planning elaboration and implementation of this document.

Zones of construction town area dominating in residential intentions cover its central space. According to GUP 2015, five residential zones have been marked out, in four of which habitation is dominant, and one (central) zone is of mixed intention with substantial participation of public services buildings.
Individual habitation, with old and newer buildings, covers the largest areas of residential zones. All settlements of collective residential buildings were built after World War II, mainly in peripheral parts of the town, emphasizing the traditional northwest-southeast direction of town’s spreading along ‘Duga čaršija’. The exception is only the collective residential construction in town’s mixed central zone with multi stories buildings.

The oldest workers’ settlements ‘Pivara’ and ‘Kablovi’ by architect Dragiša Brašovan which were built in the middle of 1950s, then the settlements and buildings on the periphery of zones – ‘Kajsijer’, ‘Strelište’, ‘Sarina medja’ and others represent the most important architectural-urbanistic achievements of collective habitation. An urban ambient of these settlements and architecture of their buildings with not so many stories ensure a humane and healthy environment of multi families habitation.
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KOLEKTIVNO STANOVANJE U URBANISTIČKOM PLANIRANJU JAGODINE, SRBIJA

Obimnije kolektivno stanovanje u Jagodini počinje sredinom 50-tih godina prošlog veka planskom izgradnjom naselja "Pivara" i "Kablovi" arhitekta Dragiša Brašovana. Sa daljim demografskim i privrednim razvojem Jagodine nastavlja se sa izgradnjom više naselja kolektivnih zgrada na periferijnim delovima grada sve do petnaestih godina XXI veka kada nastaju naselja pretežno mimo određiv važnih planskih dokumenata.


Ključne reči: kolektivno stanovanje, stambene zone, urbani razvoj, urbanističko planiranje, Jagodina