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#### Abstract

This research paper deals with some radius problems, the basic geometric properties, general coefficient and inclusion relations that are established for functions in a general subfamily of analytic functions subordinate to $k$-Jacobsthal numbers. Keywords: Fractional $q$-calculus operators, Starlike functions, subordination $\cdot k$ Jacobsthal numbers, Generating functions


## 1. Background

The summation of analytic functions $f$ in the open unit $\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{D}=\{z: z \in \mathcal{C},|z|<1\}$ given with the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been shown by $\mathcal{A}$. Also, $\mathcal{S}$ is the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ comprising of functions be univalent in $\mathcal{D}$.

The $p$ functions which are analytic in $\mathcal{D}$ fulfilling $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0$ and $p(0)=1$ construct the Carathéodory class which is represented by $\mathcal{P}^{*}$. Indeed, $p \in \mathcal{P}^{*}$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+x_{1} z+x_{2} z^{2}+x_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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with coefficients which satisfy $\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 2$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}[20]$.
If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are analytic in $\mathcal{D}$, then we call that $f_{1}$ is subordinate to $f_{2}$, showed by $f_{1} \prec f_{2}$, for the Schwarz function
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{c}_{n} z^{n} \quad(\varpi(0)=0,|\varpi(z)|<1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

analytic in $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z)=f_{2}(\varpi(z)) \quad(z \in \mathcal{D}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $\left|\mathbf{c}_{n}\right|<1$ (see [5]) for $\varpi(z)$.
Among the first important papers which discuss topics from this area are Koebe [15], Alexander [1] and Bieberbach [2]. Koebe initiated in 1907 the study about univalent functions, while Bieberbach presented in 1916 would soon become a famous conjecture. Bieberbach [2] assumed that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n \quad(n \geq 2) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

He proved only for the case when $n=2$. For many years this estimate has remained as a open problem for the mathematicians and has inspired the development of several remarkable techniques in the field. In 1985, Branges [3] demonstrated the Bieberbach's conjecture for all the coefficients $n$. After the proof of the conjecture, the searches of different subclasses of analytic and univalent functions have began to take shape, still remaining an interesting subject.

The $q$-calculus present various tools which have been studied in the fields of special functions and many other areas. While Jackson was one of the leading authors among mathematicians working on the $q$-calculus theory [9, 10], the use of $q$-calculus within the context of Geometric Function Theory was partially provided by Srivastava [29]. Afterwards, such $q$-calculus operators as the fractional $q$-integral and fractional $q$-derivative operators were utilized for defining many subfamilies of univalent functions and their geometric properties in a compact disc were given [19, $21,22]$. In the most recent study by Srivastava [30], the concept of $q$-calculus were summarized again, a detailed literature was provided and finally, a new approach was given.

Now, we provide several elementary notations of the $q$-calculus due to [29] and [6]. In this paper, we assumed that $q \in(0,1)$ and the definitions of fractional $q$-calculus operators deal with the complex-valued function $f$.

Definition 1.1. $[6,29]$ The $q$-number $[\Upsilon]_{q}$ is expressed by

$$
[\Upsilon]_{q}= \begin{cases}\frac{1-q^{\Upsilon}}{1-q}, & \Upsilon \in \mathcal{C}  \tag{1.6}\\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{k}=1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{n-1}, & \Upsilon=n \in \mathcal{N}\end{cases}
$$

Definition 1.2. $[6,9,29]$ The $q$-derivative of $f$, represented with $D_{q} f$, is defined in a given subset of $\mathcal{C}$ by

$$
D_{q} f(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{f(z)-f(q z)}{(1-q) z}, & z \neq 0  \tag{1.7}\\ f^{\prime}(0), & z=0\end{cases}
$$

as long as $f^{\prime}(0)$ exists.
We would like to point out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}} D_{q} f(z)=\lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{f(z)-f(q z)}{(1-q) z}=f^{\prime}(z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with the help of (1.1) and (1.7), it can be deduced that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q} f(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q} a_{n} z^{n-1} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we recall the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator $D_{q}^{\lambda}$ by [12]

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{q}^{0} f(z)= & f(z) \\
D_{q}^{1} f(z)= & z D_{q} f(z) \\
& \vdots \\
D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)= & z D_{q}\left(D_{q}^{\lambda-1} f(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we have the well known Sălăgean differential operator $D^{\lambda}$ (see [24]).

The relation of subordination is used to establish many classes of functions encountered in the context of Geometric Functions Theory and to investigate some properties of these classes. Let us recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{*}[\psi]:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \psi(z), z \in \mathcal{D}\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is an analytic function in $\mathcal{D}$ with $\psi(0)=1$. For $\psi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, one can get the notable class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ of starlike. Many researchers defined different classes of functions by using other functions instead of the $\psi$ in (1.11). When the figure of the unit circle under these functions is calculated, very interesting results are obtained. In [23], Robertson showed that the figure of the unit circle is $\operatorname{Re}(w)>\gamma$
using $\psi(z)=(1+(1-2 \gamma) z) /(1-z)$. For this case, the set (1.11) becomes the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma)$ of starlike functions of order $\gamma$. Janowski obtained that $\psi(\mathcal{D})$ is a disc in [11]. By taking $\psi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}(0<\beta \leq 1)$, the class of strongly starlike functions having order $\beta$ is presented by Stankiewicz in [28] and demonstrated that the figure of unit circle under this class is an angle. With different choices of $\psi$, it is obtained that $\psi(\mathcal{D})$ is parabola in [7, 16], is ellipse and hyperbola in [13, 14]. If $\psi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ is chosen in order that $\sqrt{1}=1$, then the right loop of the Lemniscate is obtained as the figure of $\psi(\mathcal{D})$. Obtained class is considered in [26, 27]. The $\psi(z)$ function discussed in the aforementioned studies is a convex univalent function. In [17], the authors gave some general results assuming $\psi$ is univalent, $\psi(\mathcal{D})$ is symmetric about the real axis and starlike w.r.t. $\psi(0)=1$ for (1.11). In cases where $\psi$ is not univalent, the investigations are made for the class defined with (1.11) become quite difficult. Further, Masih and Kanas [18] introduced and studied the classes $S_{L}[\psi]$ and $K_{L}[\psi]\left(\psi(z)=(1+\chi z)^{2}, 0<\chi \leq 1 / \sqrt{2}\right)$ related to a limaçon. Sharma et al. [25] studied the class $S_{C}[\psi] \quad\left(\psi(z)=1+4 z / 3+z^{2} / 3\right)$ related to a cardioid. The classes $S_{N}[\psi]$ and $K_{N}[\psi]\left(\psi(z)=1+z-z^{3} / 3\right)$ associated with a nephroid domain were considered by Wani and Swaminathan [33].

In addition to these papers, the study of special functions, whose coefficients are composed of positive integer sequences such as Fibonacci, Pell, Lucas, Jacobsthal and their various generalizations, starts to gain attention in various fields of mathematics such as number theory, geometry, trigonometry, graph theory, linear algebra and combinatorics, and to link between these fields and analysis $[28,31,32,34]$.

Horadam [8] introduced the sequence of Jacobsthal numbers $J_{n}$ by means of the recurrence relation

$$
J_{n+1}=J_{n}+2 J_{n-1} \quad\left(n \geq 1, J_{0}=0, J_{1}=1\right)
$$

A generalization of Jacobsthal numbers named $k$-Jacobsthal numbers is introduced in [4]. The sequence of $k$-Jacobsthal numbers, $J_{k, n}$, is represented recurrently by

$$
J_{k, n+1}=k J_{k, n}+2 J_{k, n-1} \quad\left(n \geq 1, J_{k, 0}=0, J_{k, 1}=1\right)
$$

The $n$-th $k$-Jacobsthal number is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k, n}=\frac{\left(k-\delta_{k}\right)^{n}-\delta_{k}^{n}}{\sqrt{k^{2}+8}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{k^{2}+8}}{2}$. Notice that $\delta_{k}\left(k-\delta_{k}\right)=-2$ and $2 \delta_{k}-k=-\sqrt{k^{2}+8}$. For unknown terminology and notations for $k$-Jacobsthal numbers, see [4, 8].

## 2. The class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{J}_{k}$

Definition 2.1. The function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{J}_{k}$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left[D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)} \prec \tilde{j}_{k}(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{S}, k$ is any positive real number and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{j}_{k}(z)=\frac{1+\delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \delta_{k} z-2 \delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}, \quad \delta_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{8+k^{2}}}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The figure of unit circle of $\tilde{j}_{k}(z)$ which is defined in (2.2) is the curve $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ with equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{-1+\delta_{k} k \cos (t)+2 \delta_{k}^{2}(\cos (t))^{2}-\delta_{k}^{2}+\delta_{k}^{3} k \cos (t)+2 \delta_{k}^{4}}{-1+8 \delta_{k}^{2}(\cos (t))^{2}+2 \delta_{k} k \cos (t)-4 \delta_{k}^{3} k \cos (t)-4 \delta_{k}^{2}-\delta_{k}^{2} k^{2}-4 \delta_{k}^{4}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=-\frac{\delta_{k} \sin (t)\left(6 \delta_{k} \cos (t)-\delta_{k}^{2} k+k\right)}{-1-4 \delta_{k}^{4}-4 \delta_{k}^{3} k \cos (t)+\left(8(\cos (t))^{2}-4-k^{2}\right) \delta_{k}^{2}+2 \delta_{k} k \cos (t)}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ and $k$ in $H=\left\{k \neq \pm \sqrt{-4+5 \cos (t) \pm 3 \sqrt{-1+(\cos (t))^{2}}}, t \in[0,2 \pi), k>0\right\}$.
Proof. The proof can be obtained with some calculations by the help of Maple. For graphical demonstration see Figs. 2.1 and 2.2.

Example 2.1. The conchoid of Sluze is a family of plane curves given with the following well-known formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x+\alpha)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=\beta^{2} x^{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful here to use (2.3) and (2.4) to get the corresponding Cartesian equation of $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ for $k=1$. Using basic trigonometric identities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{25 x^{2}}{(4 x-1)^{2}}+\frac{9 x^{2}(x+1)^{2}}{y^{2}(4 x-1)^{2}}=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we rewrite (2.6) in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=-\frac{x^{2}(x+1)}{x-\frac{1}{9}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obtained from (2.5) for $\alpha=-1 / 9$ and $\beta=\sqrt{10} / 9$, see Fig. 2.3.
Remark 2.1. Observe that $\tilde{j}_{k}(0)=\tilde{j}_{k}\left(\frac{-k}{3 \delta_{k}}\right)=1$ and $\tilde{j}_{k}(1)=\tilde{j}_{k}\left(\frac{1+3 \delta_{k}}{\delta_{k}\left(k \delta_{k}-3\right)}\right)=\frac{1+\delta_{k}^{2}}{1-k \delta_{k}-2 \delta_{k}^{2}}$. Hence, $\tilde{j}_{k}$ is not univalent in $\mathcal{D}$.
Theorem 2.1. The function $\tilde{j}_{k}$ is univalent in $\mathcal{D}_{R_{k}}=\left\{z:|z|<R_{k}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=\frac{3-\sqrt{k^{2}+9}}{k \delta_{k}}, \quad(k>0) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

but it is not univalent in $\mathcal{D}_{R_{k}}$ for $r \geq R_{k}$.


Fig. 2.1: The curve $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ for different values of $k$.


FIg. 2.2: The 2D curve and space curve $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ for $k=0.5263157895$, respectively.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{j}_{k}(z)=\tilde{j}_{k}(w)(z, w \in \mathcal{D})$. This enables us to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}(z-w)\left[w-\frac{k+3 \delta_{k} z}{k \delta_{k}^{2} z-3 \delta_{k}}\right]=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2.3: The curve $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ for $k=1$.

It can be obtained that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(z)=\frac{k+3 \delta_{k} z}{k \delta_{k}^{2} z-3 \delta_{k}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms a circle $|z|=r<3 /\left(k \delta_{k}\right)$ into a circle centered at $m=\frac{3 r^{2} k \delta_{k}^{3}+k+3 \delta_{k}}{r^{2} \delta_{k}^{4} k^{2}-1}$ and of radius $\sigma=\frac{\delta_{k}{ }^{2} r^{2}\left(3+\delta_{k} k^{2}+3 \delta_{k}{ }^{2} k\right)^{2}}{\left(r^{2} \delta_{k}{ }^{4} k^{2}-1\right)^{2}}$ with the diameter from $h_{k}(-r)$ to $h_{k}(r)$. Therefore, $h_{k}$ transforms the circle $|z|=R_{k}$ into a circle with the diameter from $h_{k}\left(R_{k}\right)=R_{k}$ to the point $h_{k}\left(-R_{k}\right)$. Since $h_{k}^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{9+k^{2}}{\left(-3+\delta_{k} x k\right)^{2}}<0(x \in \mathcal{R})$, $h_{k}\left(-R_{k}\right)>h_{k}\left(R_{k}\right)=R_{k}$ for each $k$. Hence, if $|w| \leq R_{k}$ and $|z| \leq R_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w-\frac{k+3 \delta_{k} z}{k \delta_{k}^{2} z-3 \delta_{k}}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w=z-R_{k}$ only. In this way, we conclude that (2.9) is not fulfilled when $|w|<R_{k}$ and $|z|<R_{k}$, which shows that $\tilde{j}_{k}(z)$ is univalent in the disc (2.8).

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}_{k}^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{k \delta_{k}+6 \delta_{k}^{2} z-k \delta_{k}^{3} z^{2}}{\left(1-k \delta_{k} z-2 \delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(z-R_{k}\right)\left(z-\frac{3+\sqrt{k^{2}+9}}{k \delta_{k}}\right)}{\left(1-k \delta_{k} z-2 \delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{j}_{k}^{\prime}\left(R_{k}\right)=0, \tilde{j}_{k}$ is not univalent for $|z| \geq R_{k}$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $J_{k, n}$ is the sequence of the $k$-Jacobsthal numbers. If $\tilde{j_{k}}(z)=\frac{1+\delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \delta_{k} z-2 \delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_{n} z^{n}$, then $j_{n}=\left(J_{k, n-1}+J_{k, n+1}\right) \delta_{k}^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume $\vartheta=\delta_{k} z,|\vartheta|<\left|\delta_{k}\right|$. Note that $\delta_{k}\left(k-\delta_{k}\right)=-2$ and $2 \delta_{k}-k=$ $-\sqrt{k^{2}+8}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{j_{k}}(z)=\frac{1+\delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}{1-k \delta_{k} z-2 \delta_{k}^{2} z^{2}}=\frac{1+\vartheta^{2}}{1-k \vartheta-2 \vartheta^{2}} \\
& =\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) \frac{\vartheta}{1-k \vartheta-2 \vartheta^{2}} \\
& =\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2}+8}}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{2 \vartheta}{\delta_{k}}}-\frac{1}{1+\frac{2 \vartheta}{k-\delta_{k}}}\right) \\
& =\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2}+8}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}\left[\left(\frac{2 \vartheta}{\delta_{k}}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{2 \vartheta}{k-\delta_{k}}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(k-\delta_{k}\right)^{n}-\delta_{k}^{n}}{\sqrt{k^{2}+8}} \vartheta^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (1.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{j_{k}}(z)=\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{k, n} \vartheta^{n} \\
& =1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(J_{k, n-1}+J_{k, n+1}\right) \delta_{k}^{n} \vartheta^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proof is completed.
Theorem 2.3. Assume $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{J}_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq{\frac{\left|\delta_{k}\right|}{[n]_{q}^{\lambda}}}^{n-1} J_{k, n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{k, n}$ is the sequence of $k$-Jacobsthal number, $\delta_{k}=\frac{k-\sqrt{8+k^{2}}}{2}$.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{J}_{k}, f(z)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}$ with $a_{0}=0, a_{1}=1$. By using a relation of subordination, for a function $\varpi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left[D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)}=\tilde{j}_{k}(\varpi(z)) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left[D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\prime}-D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)=\delta_{k}^{2} \varpi^{2}(z)\left[2 z\left[D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]+\delta_{k} k \varpi(z) z\left[D_{q}^{\lambda} f(z)\right]^{\prime} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}(\ell-1)[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}+\sum_{\ell=n+1}^{\infty} C_{\ell} z^{\ell}=\delta_{k}^{2} \varpi^{2}(z) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2}(2 \ell+1)[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}  \tag{2.15}\\
& +k \delta_{k} \varpi(z) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \ell[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $n \geq 2$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}(\ell-1)[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}+\sum_{\ell=n+1}^{\infty} C_{\ell} z^{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\delta_{k}^{2} \varpi^{2}(z) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-2}(2 \ell+1)[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}+k \delta_{k} \varpi(z) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \ell[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left|\delta_{k}^{2} \varpi(z) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell-1} z^{\ell-1}+k \delta_{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \ell[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}\left|\delta_{k}^{2}(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell-1} z^{\ell-1}+k \delta_{k} \ell[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}\left(\left|\delta_{k}^{2}(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell-1} z^{\ell-1}\right|^{2}+\left|k \delta_{k} \ell[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell} z^{\ell}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left|k \delta_{k}^{3} \ell(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda} a_{\ell-1} a_{\ell} z^{2 \ell-1}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z=r e^{i \theta}$, as $r$ approaches $1^{-}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(\ell-1)^{2}[\ell]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\ell=n+1}^{\infty}\left|C_{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & \delta_{k}^{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}(2 \ell-1)^{2}[\ell-1]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell-1}\right|^{2}+k^{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \ell^{2}[\ell]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
& +2 k\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \ell(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|\left|a_{\ell-1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
(n-1)^{2}[n]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \delta_{k}^{4}(2 \ell-1)^{2}[\ell-1]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell-1}\right|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1}\left[k^{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ell^{2}-(\ell-1)^{2}\right][\ell]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|^{2}  \tag{2.17}\\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} 2 k\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{3} \ell(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|\left|a_{\ell-1}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (2.12) is provided for $n=1$. Then, suppose that the estimation (2.12) satisfies $l \leq n$ for all $l \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus from (2.12) and (2.17), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{2}[n+1]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{n+1}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \delta_{k}^{4}(2 \ell-1)^{2}[\ell-1]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell-1}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left[k^{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ell^{2}-(\ell-1)^{2}\right][\ell]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} 2 k\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{3} \ell(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}\left|a_{\ell}\right|\left|a_{\ell-1}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \delta_{k}^{4}(2 \ell-1)^{2}[\ell-1]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{\ell-2} J_{k, \ell-1}}{[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left[k^{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ell^{2}-(\ell-1)^{2}\right][\ell]_{q}^{2 \lambda}\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{\ell-1} J_{k, \ell}}{[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} 2 k\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{3} \ell(2 \ell-1)[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{\ell-2} J_{k, \ell-1}}{[\ell-1]_{q}^{\lambda}}\right)\left(\frac{\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{\ell-1} J_{k, \ell}}{[\ell]_{q}^{\lambda}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \delta_{k}^{2 \ell}(2 \ell-1)^{2} J_{k, \ell-1}^{2}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left[k^{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ell^{2}-(\ell-1)^{2}\right]\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{2 \ell-2} J_{k, \ell}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} 2 k \delta_{k}^{2 \ell} \ell(2 \ell-1) J_{k, \ell-1} J_{k, \ell} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{2 \ell}\left[(2 \ell-1) J_{k, \ell-1}+k \ell J_{k, \ell}\right]^{2}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left[(\ell-1)\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{\ell-1} J_{k, \ell}\right]^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{2 \ell} \ell^{2} J_{k, \ell+1}^{2}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(\ell-1)^{2}\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{2 \ell-2} J_{k, \ell}^{2} \\
& =n^{2}\left|\delta_{k}\right|^{2 n} J_{k, n+1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows us that the inequality (2.12) holds for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Theorem 2.3 is proved.

## 3. Concluding remarks

In the current research, utilizing the relation of subordination, we have established a new family of $q$-starlike functions by using $k$-Jacobsthal numbers. We have investigated radius problems, which are necessary to establish the geometry of the image domain. We have derived general coefficient related to $k$-Jacobsthal numbers. Some basic properties are also obtained.

Finally, many other problems like coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegö and Hankel determinant inequalities can be determined for the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{J}_{k}$ as a future work. Also, the approach presented here has been extended to establish new subfamilies of univalent functions with the other special number sequences.

Disclosure statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.
Availability of data and material: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

## REFERENCES

1. J. W. Alexander: Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions. Annals of Mathematics. 17 (1915), 12-22.
2. L. Bieberbach: Uber die Koe zienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vernitteln. Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys-Math. (1916) 940-955.
3. L. De Branges: A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. Acta Math. 154 (1985) 137-152.
4. J. Deepika, K. Sisodiya and G.P.S. Rathore: On some identities for $k$ Jacobsthal numbers. Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse). 7 (2013) 551-556.
5. P. L. Duren: Univalent Functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, USA 259, 1983.
6. G. Gasper and M. Rahman: Basic Hypergeometric Series (with a Foreword by Richard Askey), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications. Vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Port Chester, Melbourne and Sydney, 1990; Second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 2004.
7. A. W. Goodman: Univalent Functions, vols. I, II. Polygonal Publishing House, New Jersey, 1983.
8. A. F. Horadam: Jacobsthal and pell curves. The Fibonacci Quarterly. 26 (1988) 79-83.
9. F. H. Jackson: On q-functions and a certain difference operator. Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. 46 (1908) 253-281.
10. F. H. Jackson: On q-definite integrals. Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910) 193203.
11. W. Janowski: Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and some related families. Ann. Pol. Math. 23 (1970) 159-177.
12. P. N. Kamble and M. G. Shrigan: Initial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions. Far East J. Math. 105 (2018) 271-282.
13. S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska: Conic regions and $k$-uniform convexity II. Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis. 170 (1998) 65-78.
14. S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska: Conic regions and $k$-uniform convexity. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 105 (1999) 327-336.
15. P. Koebe: Uber die uniformisierung beliebeger analytischer kurven. Nach. Ges. Wiss. Gottingen. (1907) 191-210.
16. W. Ma and D. Minda: Uniformly convex functions. Ann. Pol. Math. 57 (1992) 165-175.
17. W. Ma and D. Minda: A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In Proceeding of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang and S. Zhang (Eds). Int. Press. (1994) 157-169.
18. V. S. Masih and S. Kanas: Subclasses of Starlike and Convex Functions Associated with the Limaçon Domain. Symmetry. 12 (2020) 1-11.
19. A. Mohammed and M. Darus: A generalized operator involving the $q$ hypergeometric function. Mat. Vesnik. 65 (2013) 454-465.
20. C. Pommerenke: Univalent Functions. Vandenhoeck \& Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
21. S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina: Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with fractional $q$-calculus operators. Math. Scand. 109 (2011) 55-70.
22. S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina: Fractional $q$-calculus and certain subclass of univalent analytic functions. Mathematica (Cluj) 55 (2013) 62-74.
23. M. S. Robertson: Certain classes of starlike functions. Michigan Math. J. 76 (1954) 755-758.
24. G. S. SĂLĂGEAN: Subclasses of univalent functions. in: Complex Analysis - Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest. 1 (1983) 362-372.
25. K. Sharma, N. K. Jain and V. Ravichandran: Starlike functions associated with a cardioid. Afrika Matematika. 27 (2016) 923-939.
26. J. Sokol: On some subclass of strongly starlike functions, Demonstratio Math. XXXI (1998) 81-86
27. J. Sokol and J. Stankiewicz: Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike function. Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis. 147 (1996), 101-105
28. J. Stankiewicz: Quelques problemes extremaux dans les classes des fonctions $\alpha$ angulairement etoilees. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Sklodowska Sect. A. 20 (1966) 59-75.
29. H. M. Srivastava: Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions, in Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors). Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
30. H. M. Srivastava: Operators of basic (or $q$-) calculus and fractional $q$-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis. Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science. 44 (2020) 327-344.
31. P. Vellucci and A. M. Bersani: The class of Lucas-Lehmer polynomials. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste. 37 (2016) 43-62.
32. T. Wang and W. Zhang: Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. 55 (2012) 95-103.
33. L. A. Wani and A. Swaminathan: Starlike and convex functions associated with a nephroid domain. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society. 44 (2021) 79-104.
34. N. Yilmaz Özgür and J. Sokóe : On starlike functions connected with $k$ Fibonacci numbers. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 38 (2015) 249-258.

[^0]:    Received December 01, 2021. accepted April 02, 2022.
    Communicated by Dragana Cvetković-Ilić
    Corresponding Author: Yeşim Sağlam Özkan, Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludag University, 16059-Bursa, Turkey | E-mail: ysaglam@uludag.edu.tr
    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B39; Secondary 30C45, 33D15

