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#### Abstract

We introduce the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ in the four dimensional Euclidean geometry $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. We obtain the $i$-th curvatures of the hypersurface. Moreover, we consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the bi-rotational hypersurface satisfying $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}$ for some $4 \times 4$ matrix $\mathcal{A}$. Key words: bi-rotational hypersurface, Eucledian geometry, curvative formulas.


## 1. Introduction

With the works of Chen $[13,14,15,16]$, the studies of the submanifolds of the finite type whose immersion into $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ (or $\mathbb{E}_{\nu}^{m}$ ) by using a finite number of eigenfunctions of their Laplacian have been studied for almost a half century.

Takahashi [46] gave that a connected Euclidean submanifold is of 1-type, iff it is either minimal in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ or minimal in some hypersphere of $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. Submanifolds of the finite type closest in simplicity to the minimal ones are the 2-type spherical submanifolds (where spherical means into a sphere). Some results of the 2-type spherical closed submanifolds were given by $[9,10,14]$. Garay studied [28] an extension of Takahashi's theorem in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. Cheng and Yau introduced the hypersurfaces

[^0]with constant scalar curvature; Chen and Piccinni [17] focused on the submanifolds with finite type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. Dursun [23] considered the hypersurfaces with pointwise 1 -type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$.

In $\mathbb{E}^{3}$; Levi-Civita [40] worked the isoparametric surface family; Takahashi [46] proved the minimal surfaces and the spheres are the only surfaces satisfying the condition $\Delta r=\lambda r, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$; Ferrandez et al. [25] found the surfaces satisfying $\Delta H=A H, A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3,3)$ are either minimal, or an open piece of sphere or of a right circular cylinder; Choi and Kim [20] classified the minimal helicoid in terms of the pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the first kind; Garay [27] studied the certain class of the finite type surfaces of revolution; Dillen et al. [21] obtained that the only surfaces satisfying $\Delta r=A r+B, A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3,3), B \in \operatorname{Mat}(3,1)$ are the minimal surfaces, the spheres and the circular cylinders; Stamatakis and Zoubi [45] focused the surfaces of revolution satisfying $\Delta^{I I I} x=A x$; Senoussi and Bekkar [44] gave the helicoidal surfaces $M^{2}$ which are of the finite type with respect to the fundamental forms $I, I I$ and $I I I$, i.e., their position vector field $r(u, v)$ satisfies the condition $\Delta^{J} r=A r, J=I, I I, I I I$, where $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3,3)$; Kim et al. [37] introduced the Cheng-Yau's operator and the Gauss map of the surfaces of revolution.

In $\mathbb{E}^{4}$; Moore [41, 42] considered the general rotational surfaces; Hasanis and Vlachos [34] studied the hypersurfaces with the harmonic mean curvature vector field; Cheng and Wan [18] gave the complete hypersurfaces with CMC; Kim and Turgay [38] worked the surfaces with $L_{1}$-pointwise 1-type Gauss map; Arslan et al. [3] introduced the Vranceanu surface with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map; Arslan et al. [4] worked the generalized rotational surfaces; Arslan et al. [5] considered the tensor product surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map; Kahraman Aksoyak and Yayll [35] studied the rotational surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map; Güler et al. [32] introduced the helicoidal hypersurfaces; Güler et al. [31] worked the Gauss map and the third Laplace-Beltrami operator of the rotational hypersurface; Güler and Turgay [33] studied the Cheng-Yau's operator and the Gauss map of the rotational hypersurfaces; Güler [30] obtained the rotational hypersurfaces satisfying $\Delta^{I} R=A R$, where $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(4,4)$. He [29] also worked the fundamental form $I V$ and the curvature formulas of the hypersphere.

In Minkowski 4-space $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{4}$; Ganchev and Milousheva [26] studied the analogue of surfaces of [41, 42]; Arvanitoyeorgos et al. [8] indicated if the mean curvature vector field of $M_{1}^{3}$ satisfies the equation $\Delta H=\alpha H$ ( $\alpha$ a constant), then $M_{1}^{3}$ has CMC; Arslan and Milousheva introduced the meridian surfaces of elliptic or hyperbolic type with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map; Turgay considered some classifications of a Lorentzian surfaces with the finite type Gauss map; Dursun and Turgay worked the space-like surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Kahraman Aksoyak and Yayll [36] gave the general rotational surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}$. Bektaş, Canfes, and Dursun [11] obtained surfaces in a pseudo-sphere with 2-type pseudo-spherical Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. They [12] also considered pseudospherical submanifolds with the 1-type pseudo-spherical Gauss map. Arslan et al. [7] introduced the rotational $\lambda$-hypersurfaces in the Euclidean spaces.

We consider the bi-rotational hypersurface in the four dimensional Euclidean
geometry $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. In Section 2 , we give some basic notions of the four dimensional Euclidean geometry. We consider the curvature formulas of the hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$, in Section 3. In Section 4, we define the bi-rotational hypersurface. We study the bi-rotational hypersurface satisfying $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}$ for some $4 \times 4$ matrix $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$ in Section 5. Finally, we give some results in the last section.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, giving some of basic facts and definitions, we describe the notations used the whole paper. Let $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ denote the Euclidean $m$-space with the canonical Euclidean metric tensor given by $\widetilde{g}=\langle\rangle=,\sum_{i=1}^{m} d x_{i}^{2}$, where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ is a rectangular coordinate system in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. Consider an $m$-dimensional Riemannian submanifold of the space $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. We denote the Levi-Civita connections [40] of the manifold $\widetilde{M}$, and its submanifold $M$ of $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ by $\widetilde{\nabla}, \nabla$, respectively. We shall use letters $X, Y, Z, W$ (resp., $\xi, \eta$ ) to denote vectors fields tangent (resp., normal) to $M$. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y & =\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y), \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi & =-A_{\xi}(X)+D_{X} \xi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h, D$ and $A$ are the second fundamental form, the normal connection and the shape operator of $M$, respectively.

For each $\xi \in T_{p}^{\perp} M$, the shape operator $A_{\xi}$ is a symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space $T_{p} M$ at $p \in M$. The shape operator and the second fundamental form are related by

$$
\langle h(X, Y), \xi\rangle=\left\langle A_{\xi} X, Y\right\rangle .
$$

The Gauss and Codazzi equations are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle R(X, Y,) Z, W\rangle & =\langle h(Y, Z), h(X, W)\rangle-\langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W)\rangle \\
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z) & =\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} h\right)(X, Z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R, R^{D}$ are the curvature tensors associated with connections $\nabla$ and $D$, respectively, and $\bar{\nabla} h$ is defined by

$$
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)=D_{X} h(Y, Z)-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)
$$

### 2.1. Hypersurfaces of Euclidean space

Now, let $M$ be an oriented hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}, \mathbf{S}$ its shape operator (i.e., the Weingarten map) and $x$ its position vector. We consider a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of consisting of principal directions of $M$ corresponding from the principal curvature $k_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots n$. Let the dual basis
of this frame field be $\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$. Then, the first structural equation of Cartan is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{j} \wedge \omega_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{i j}$ denotes the connection forms corresponding to the chosen frame field. We denote the Levi-Civita connection of $M$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ by $\nabla$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$, respectively. Then, from the Codazzi equation (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i}\left(k_{j}\right) & =\omega_{i j}\left(e_{j}\right)\left(k_{i}-k_{j}\right), \\
\omega_{i j}\left(e_{l}\right)\left(k_{i}-k_{j}\right) & =\omega_{i l}\left(e_{j}\right)\left(k_{i}-k_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for distinct $i, j, l=1,2, \ldots, n$.
We put $s_{j}=\sigma_{j}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$, where $\sigma_{j}$ is the $j$-th elementary symmetric function given by

$$
\sigma_{j}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{j} \leq n} a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{j}} .
$$

We use the following notation

$$
r_{i}^{j}=\sigma_{j}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) .
$$

By the definition, we have $r_{i}^{0}=1$ and $s_{n+1}=s_{n+2}=\cdots=0$. We call the function $s_{k}$ as the $k$-th mean curvature of $M$. We would like to note that functions $H=\frac{1}{n} s_{1}$ and $K=s_{n}$ are called the mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of $M$, respectively. In particular, $M$ is said to be $j$-minimal if $s_{j} \equiv 0$ on $M$.

In $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$, to find the $i$-th curvature formulas $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$ (The curvature formulas sometimes are represented as the mean curvature $H_{i}$, and sometimes as the Gaussian curvature $K_{i}$ by different writers, such as [1] and [39]. We will call it just the $i$-th curvature $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$ in this paper.), where $i=0, \ldots, n$, firstly, we use the characteristic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}$ :

$$
P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)=0=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{S}-\lambda \mathcal{I}_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} s_{k} \lambda^{n-k}
$$

where $i=0, \ldots, n, \mathcal{I}_{n}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $n$. Then, we get the curvature formulas $\binom{n}{i} \mathfrak{C}_{i}=s_{i}$. Clearly, $\binom{n}{0} \mathfrak{C}_{0}=s_{0}=1$ (by definition), $\binom{n}{1} \mathfrak{C}_{1}=$ $s_{1}, \ldots,\binom{n}{n} \mathfrak{C}_{n}=s_{n}=K$.

For a Euclidean submanifold $x: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{m}$, the immersion $(M, x)$ is called the finite type, if $x$ can be expressed as a finite sum of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian $\Delta$ of $(M, x)$, i.e., $x=x_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}$, where $x_{0}$ is a constant map, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ nonconstant maps, and $\Delta x_{i}=\lambda_{i} x_{i}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1, \ldots, k$. If $\lambda_{i}$ are different, $M$ is called $k$-type. See [14] for details.

Let $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ be an isometric immersion from $M^{3} \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ to $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. The triple vector product of $\vec{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), \vec{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ of $\mathbb{E}^{4}$ is defined by

$$
\vec{x} \times \vec{y} \times \vec{z}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} & e_{4} \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4} \\
z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ in 4-space, $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(h_{i j}\right)_{3 \times 3}$, are the first, and the second fundamental form matrices, respectively, $g_{11}=\mathbf{x}_{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{u}, g_{12}=\mathbf{x}_{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{v}, g_{22}=\mathbf{x}_{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{v}$, $g_{13}=\mathbf{x}_{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{v}, g_{23}=\mathbf{x}_{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{w}, g_{33}=\mathbf{x}_{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{w}, h_{11}=\mathbf{x}_{u u} \cdot G, h_{12}=\mathbf{x}_{u v} \cdot G, h_{22}=\mathbf{x}_{v v} \cdot G$, $h_{13}=\mathbf{x}_{u w} \cdot G, h_{23}=\mathbf{x}_{v w} \cdot G, h_{33}=\mathbf{x}_{w w} \cdot G$. Here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \times \mathbf{x}_{v} \times \mathbf{x}_{w}}{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{u} \times \mathbf{x}_{v} \times \mathbf{x}_{w}\right\|} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the unit normal (i.e. the Gauss map) of the hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$. The product matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(h_{i j}\right)$ gives the matrix of the shape operator $\mathbf{S}$ of the hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ in 4 -space. See [31, 32, 33] for details.

## 3. $i$-th Curvatures

In $\mathbb{E}^{4}$, to compute the $i$-th mean curvature formula $\mathfrak{C}_{i}$, where $i=0,1,2,3$, we use the characteristic polynomial $P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)=a \lambda^{3}+b \lambda^{2}+c \lambda+d=0$, and $P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{S}-\lambda \mathcal{I}_{3}\right)=0$.

Then, we obtain $\mathfrak{C}_{0}=1$ (by definition), $\binom{3}{1} H=-\frac{b}{a},\binom{3}{2} \mathfrak{C}_{2}=\frac{c}{a},\binom{3}{3} \mathfrak{C}_{3}=K=$ $-\frac{d}{a}$. Therefore, we find the following $i$-th curvature folmulas in 4 -space:

Theorem 3.1. Any hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$ has the following curvature formulas, $\mathfrak{C}_{0}=1$ (by definition),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{C}_{1}= & \frac{\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(g_{11} h_{22}+g_{22} h_{11}-2 g_{12} h_{12}\right) g_{33}+\left(g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12}^{2}\right) h_{33} \\
-g_{23}^{2} h_{11}-g_{13}^{2} h_{22}-2\left(g_{13} h_{13} g_{22}-g_{23} h_{13} g_{12}\right. \\
\left.-g_{13} h_{23} g_{12}+g_{11} g_{23} h_{23}-g_{13} g_{23} h_{12}\right)
\end{array}\right\}}{3\left[\left(g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12}^{2}\right) g_{33}-g_{11} g_{23}^{2}+2 g_{12} g_{13} g_{23}-g_{22} g_{13}^{2}\right]},  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathfrak{C}_{2}= & \frac{\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(g_{11} h_{22}+g_{22} h_{11}-2 g_{12} h_{12}\right) h_{33}+\left(h_{11} h_{22}-g_{12}^{2}\right) g_{33} \\
-g_{11} h_{23}^{2}-g_{22} h_{13}^{2}-2\left(g_{13} h_{13} h_{22}-g_{23} h_{13} h_{12}\right. \\
\left.-g_{13} h_{23} h_{12}+g_{23} h_{23} h_{11}-h_{13} h_{23} g_{12}\right)
\end{array}\right\}}{3\left[\left(g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12}^{2}\right) g_{33}-g_{11} g_{23}^{2}+2 g_{12} g_{13} g_{23}-g_{22} g_{13}^{2}\right]},  \tag{3.2}\\
\mathfrak{C}_{3}= & \frac{\left(h_{11} h_{22}-h_{12}^{2}\right) h_{33}-h_{11} h_{23}^{2}+2 h_{12} h_{13} h_{23}-h_{22} h_{13}^{2}}{\left(g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12}^{2}\right) g_{33}-g_{11} g_{23}^{2}+2 g_{12} g_{13} g_{23}-g_{22} g_{13}^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

See [29] for details.

## 4. Bi-Rotational Hypersurface

In this section, we define the rotational hypersurface, then find its differential geometric properties in the Euclidean 4 -space $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. We would like to note that the definition of the rotational hypersurfaces in the Riemannian space forms were defined in [22]. A rotational hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ generated by a curve $\gamma$ around an axis that does not meet $\gamma$ is obtained by taking the orbit of $\gamma$ under those orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ that leaves $\mathfrak{r}$ pointwise fixed (See [22, Remark 2.3]).

By using the curve $\gamma(u)=(\mathbf{f}(u), 0, \mathbf{g}(u), 0)$ with the rotation matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos v & -\sin v & 0 & 0 \\
\sin v & \cos v & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos w & -\sin w \\
0 & 0 & \sin w & \cos w
\end{array}\right)
$$

we give the following definition.
Definition 4.1. A bi-rotational hypersurface in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)=(\mathbf{f}(u) \cos v, \mathbf{f}(u) \sin v, \mathbf{g}(u) \cos w, \mathbf{g}(u) \sin w) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ are the differentiable functions, and $0 \leq v, w \leq 2 \pi$.

Remark 4.1. While $\mathbf{f}(u)=\mathbf{g}(u)=1$ in (4.1), we obtain the Clifford torus in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. See [2, 48] for details. Moreover, when $v=w$ in (4.1), we get the tensor product surface in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. See $[5,43]$ for details.

Considering the first derivatives of (4.1) with respect to $u, v, w$, respectively,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \cos v \\
\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \sin v \\
\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \cos w \\
\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \sin w
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{x}_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\mathbf{f} \sin v \\
\mathbf{f} \cos v \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{x}_{w}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-\mathbf{g} \sin w \\
\mathbf{g} \cos w
\end{array}\right)
$$

we find the following first quantities of (4.1):

$$
\left(g_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}, \mathbf{f}^{2}, \mathbf{g}^{2}\right)
$$

Here,

$$
g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)=\mathbf{f}^{2} \mathbf{g}^{2}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right) .
$$

Using the (2.2), we get the following Gauss map of the bi-rotational hypersurface (4.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{1}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left(-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \cos v,-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \sin v, \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \cos w, \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \sin w\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of the second derivatives with respect to $u, v, w$ of the (4.1), and the Gauss map (4.2) of the bi-rotational hypersurface (4.1), we have the following second quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{\mathbf{f g}^{\prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}},-\frac{\mathbf{g f}^{\prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we get

$$
h=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i j}\right)=-\frac{\mathbf{f g f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}\right)}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

By using (4.2) and (4.3), we calculate the following shape operator matrix of the bi-rotational hypersurface (4.1):

$$
\mathbf{S}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \frac{\mathbf{g}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}},-\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

Finally, by using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), with (4.2), (4.3), respectively, we find the following curvatures of the bi-rotational hypersurface (4.1):

Corollary 4.1. Let $\mathbf{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). The $\mathbf{x}$ has the following curvatures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{C}_{1}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathbf{f g}-\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\mathbf{f f}^{\prime}-\mathbf{g g}^{\prime}\right)}{3 \mathbf{f g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& \mathfrak{C}_{2}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{f f}^{\prime}-\mathbf{g g}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right) \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}}{3 \mathbf{f g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \mathfrak{C}_{3}=-\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\mathbf{f g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{5 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.1. Let $\mathrm{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). When the curve $\gamma$ of $\mathbf{x}$ is parametrized by the arc length, and $\mathbf{f}(u)=\cos u, \mathbf{g}(u)=\sin u$, the bi-rotational hypersurface has the following curvatures

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{i}=1,
$$

where $i=1,2,3$.
Example 4.2. Let $\mathrm{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). When the curve $\gamma$ of $\mathbf{x}$ is parametrized with $\mathbf{f}(u)=\mathbf{g}(u)=\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}$, then bi-rotational hypersurface has the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{C}_{1} & =0 \\
\mathfrak{C}_{2} & =-\frac{1}{3 u^{2}}, \\
\mathfrak{C}_{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Bi-Rotational Hypersurface Satisfying $\Delta \mathrm{x}=\mathcal{A} \mathrm{x}$

In this section, we give the Laplace-Beltrami operator of a smooth function. Then, calculate the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the bi-rotational hypersurface.

The inverse of the matrix

$$
\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\
g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\
g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is given by

$$
\frac{1}{g}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{22} g_{33}-g_{23} g_{32} & -\left(g_{12} g_{33}-g_{13} g_{32}\right) & g_{12} g_{23}-g_{13} g_{22} \\
-\left(g_{21} g_{33}-g_{31} g_{23}\right) & g_{11} g_{33}-g_{13} g_{31} & -\left(g_{11} g_{23}-g_{21} g_{13}\right) \\
g_{21} g_{32}-g_{22} g_{31} & -\left(g_{11} g_{32}-g_{12} g_{31}\right) & g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12} g_{21}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right) \\
& =g_{11} g_{22} g_{33}-g_{11} g_{23} g_{32}+g_{12} g_{31} g_{23}-g_{12} g_{21} g_{33}+g_{21} g_{13} g_{32}-g_{13} g_{22} g_{31}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 5.1. On $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator of a smooth function $\phi=\left.\phi\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)\right|_{\mathbf{D}}$ of class $C^{3}$ with respect to the first fundamental form is the operator $\Delta$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi=\frac{1}{g^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(g^{1 / 2} g^{i j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{j}}\right), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(g^{i j}\right)=\left(g_{k l}\right)^{-1}$, and $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)$.
Clearly, we can write (5.1) as follows
$\Delta \phi=\frac{1}{|g|^{1 / 2}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{11} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{12} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{13} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{3}}\right) \\ +\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{21} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{23} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{3}}\right) \\ +\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{31} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{32} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{33} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{3}}\right)\end{array}\right\}$.
When $i \neq j, g_{i j}=0$ for any rotational hypersurface. Hence, we can re-write $\Delta \phi$ as follows
$\Delta \phi=\frac{1}{|g|^{1 / 2}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{11} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\left(|g|^{1 / 2} g^{33} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{3}}\right)\right\}$.
Therefore, more clear form of the Laplace-Beltrami operator of any rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ is given by
(5.2) $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{|g|^{1 / 2}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{g_{22} g_{33}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{u}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{g_{11} g_{33}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left(\frac{g_{11} g_{22}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{w}\right)\right\}$.

Finally, by taking the derivatives of the $\frac{g_{22} g_{33}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{u}, \frac{g_{11} g_{33}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \frac{g_{11} g_{22}}{|g|^{1 / 2}} \mathbf{x}_{w}$, with respect to $u, v, w$, respectively, and substituting them into (5.2), we have the following.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathbf{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). The LaplaceBeltrami operator of the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ is given by

$$
\Delta \mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{1} \\
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{2} \\
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{3} \\
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathfrak{f}(u) \cos v \\
\mathfrak{f}(u) \sin v \\
\mathfrak{g}(u) \cos w \\
\mathfrak{g}(u) \sin w
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{f}(u) & =\frac{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}+\mathbf{f g}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{f}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{f g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathbf{f}^{\prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}}-\frac{1}{\mathbf{f}} \\
\mathfrak{g}(u) & =\frac{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}+\mathbf{f g}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{g}^{\prime}}{\mathbf{f g}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathbf{g}^{\prime}}{\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathbf{f}^{\prime 2}+\mathbf{g}^{\prime 2}}-\frac{1}{\mathbf{g}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Conclusion

Taking into account all findings, we serve the following.
Corollary 6.1. Let $\mathbf{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). The birotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ satisfies $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}$, where

$$
\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{f}}{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{I}_{2}, \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathbf{g}} \mathcal{I}_{2}\right)
$$

and $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Mat}(4,4), \mathcal{I}_{2}$ is the identity matrix.
Corollary 6.2. Let $\mathbf{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). When the curve $\gamma$ of the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ is parametrized by the arc length, the $\mathbf{x}$ holds $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{B} \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{p I}_{2}, \mathfrak{q} \mathcal{I}_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{p}(u) & =\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathbf{f}}+\frac{\mathbf{f}^{\prime}(\log (\mathbf{f g}))^{\prime}}{\mathbf{f}}-\frac{1}{\mathbf{f}^{2}} \\
\mathfrak{q}(u) & =\frac{\mathbf{g}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathbf{g}}+\frac{\mathbf{g}^{\prime}(\log (\mathbf{f g}))^{\prime}}{\mathbf{g}}-\frac{1}{\mathbf{g}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{Mat}(4,4), \mathcal{I}_{2}$ is the identity matrix.
Example 6.1. Let $\mathbf{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). When the curve $\gamma$ of the $\mathbf{x}$ is parametrized by $\mathbf{f}(u)=\cos u, \mathbf{g}(u)=\sin u$, the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ supplies $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{C} \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{a} \mathcal{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{b} \mathcal{I}_{2}\right), \mathfrak{a}(u)=-3, \mathfrak{b}(u)=-3$, and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ is the identity matrix. Briefly, $\mathcal{C}=-3 \mathcal{I}_{4}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{4}$ is the identity matrix.

Example 6.2. Let $\mathrm{x}: M^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{4}$ be an immersion given by (4.1). When the curve $\gamma$ of the $\mathbf{x}$ is parametrized by $\mathbf{f}(u)=\mathbf{g}(u)=\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}$, the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}$ has $\Delta \mathbf{x}=\mathcal{D} \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{c}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=O_{4}$, and $\mathfrak{c}(u)=0, \mathfrak{d}(u)=0$. Hence, the birotational hypersurface is the 1-minimal harmonic hypersurface.

Example 6.3. Taking the hypersphere $S^{3}(r):=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{E}^{4} \mid\langle\xi, \xi\rangle=r^{2}\right\}$ (for radius $r>0$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(u, v, w)=(r \cos u \cos v, r \cos u \sin v, r \sin u \cos w, r \sin u \sin w), \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get $\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{I}_{3}$, and we obtain the following curvatures

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{0}=1, \mathfrak{C}_{1}=H=\frac{1}{r}, \mathfrak{C}_{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}, \mathfrak{C}_{3}=K=\frac{1}{r^{3}} .
$$

Here, $\left(\mathfrak{C}_{1}\right)^{2}=\mathfrak{C}_{2}, \mathfrak{C}_{1} \mathfrak{C}_{2}=\mathfrak{C}_{3},\left(\mathfrak{C}_{1}\right)^{3}=\mathfrak{C}_{3}$, i.e., $H^{3}=K$. Therefore, the hypersurface (6.1) is the bi-rotational umbilical hypersphere.
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