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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new type of coupled fixed point theorem with C-class functions in partially ordered complete metric space.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theory plays an important role in various applications of many branches of mathematics. During the last several years, there have been many generalizations of the concept of metric spaces to study metrical fixed point theory. The first result in metric spaces endowed with a partial ordering was obtained by Ran and Reurings [9]. They presented some applications in solving matrix equations. In 2005, Nieto and Lopez [7] extended the result of Ran and Reurings [8], for non-decreasing mappings and applied their result to get a unique solution for a first order differential equation. Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [5] obtained some coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators using certain contractive-type condition defined on a partially ordered metric space. Later, Berinde [4] extended the work of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [5] by significantly weakening the involved contractive condition.

In order to state the main result in this paper, we need the following notions.

Definition 1.1. Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set such that the product space \(X \times X\) is endowed with the following partial order:

\[
\text{for } (x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X, \quad (u, v) \leq (x, y) \iff x \geq u, y \leq v.
\]
We say that a mapping $F : X \times X \to X$ has the mixed monotone property if $F(x, y)$ is monotone non-decreasing in $x$ and is monotone non-increasing in $y$, that is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \ x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y)$$

and

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, \ y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2).$$

**Definition 1.2.** An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F : X \times X \to X$ if

$$F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$
Continuous function such that $h$ is continuous and satisfies the following axioms:

For all $x,y,u,v \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0,y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0,x_0),$$

then

a) $F$ has at least a coupled fixed point $(x,y) \in X \times X$ such that

$$x = F(x,y) \text{ and } y = F(y,x).$$

b) if $(x,y),(u,v)$ are two distinct coupled fixed points of $F$, then $d(x,u) + d(y,v) \geq \frac{1}{4}$.

Definition 1.3. [2] A mapping $f : [0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a $C$-class function if it is continuous and satisfies the following axioms:

1. $f(s,t) \leq s$;
2. $f(s,t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$; for all $s,t \in [0, \infty)$.

The letter $\mathcal{C}$ will denote the class of all $C$-class functions.

Example 1.1. [2] The following functions $f : [0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are elements of $\mathcal{C}$, for all $s,t \in [0, \infty)$:

1. $f(s,t) = s - t$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
2. $f(s,t) = ms$, $0 < m < 1$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
3. $f(s,t) = \frac{s}{(1 + rt)^2}$; $r \in (0, \infty)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
4. $f(s,t) = \log(t + a^s)/(1 + t)$, $a > 1$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
5. $f(s,t) = \ln(1 + a^s)/2$, $a > e$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
6. $f(s,t) = (s + l)^{(1/(1+l))} - l$, $l > 1$, $r \in (0, \infty)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
7. $f(s,t) = s \log_{e+a} a$, $a > 1$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
8. $f(s,t) = s - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha^s}\right)f(s,t)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
9. $f(s,t) = s\beta(s)$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$, and is continuous, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
10. $f(s,t) = s - \frac{a}{1 + a^s}$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
11. $f(s,t) = s - \varphi(s)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
12. $f(s,t) = sh(s,t)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $h(t,s) < 1$ for all $t,s > 0$;
13. $f(s,t) = s - \left(\frac{2h}{1 + 2h}\right)t$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
14. $f(s,t) = \sqrt{\ln(1 + s^a)}$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
15. $f(s,t) = \phi(s)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$;
16. $f(s,t) = \frac{s}{(1 + s)^2}$, $r \in (0, \infty)$, $f(s,t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$. 
Definition 1.4. [[6]] A function \( \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

(i) \( \psi \) is non-decreasing and continuous,
(ii) \( \psi(t) = 0 \) if and only if \( t = 0 \),
(iii) \( \psi(s + t) < \psi(s) + \psi(t) \) for \( s, t > 0 \).

Remark 1.1. We denote the set of altering distance functions by \( \Psi \).

Definition 1.5. An ultra altering distance function is a continuous, non-decreasing mapping \( \phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) such that \( \phi(t) > 0 \), \( t > 0 \) and \( \phi(0) \geq 0 \).

Remark 1.2. We denote the set of ultra altering distance functions by \( \Phi_u \).

Definition 1.6. A tripled \((\psi, \phi, f)\), where \( \psi \in \Psi \), \( \phi \in \Phi_u \) and \( f \in C \) is said to be monotone if for any \( x, y \in [0, \infty) \), we have

\[
x \leq y \implies f(\psi(x), \phi(x)) \leq f(\psi(y), \phi(y)).
\]

Example 1.2. Let \( f(s, t) = s - t \) and \( \phi(x) = \sqrt{x} \)

\[
\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
x^2 & \text{if } x > 1
\end{cases}
\]

Then \((\psi, \phi, f)\) is monotone.

Example 1.3. Let \( f(s, t) = s - t \), \( \phi(x) = x^2 \)

\[
\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
x^2 & \text{if } x > 1
\end{cases}
\]

Then \((\psi, \phi, f)\) is not monotone.

We will use the following lemma in order to prove our main result.

Lemma 1.1. [[3]] Suppose that \((X, d)\) is a metric space and \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that \( d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). If \( \{x_n\} \) is not a Cauchy sequence then there exist an \( \epsilon > 0 \) and sequences of positive integers \( \{m(k)\} \) and \( \{n(k)\} \) with \( m(k) > n(k) > k \) such that \( d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon \), \( d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) < \epsilon \) and

(i) \( \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \);
(ii) \( \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \);
(iii) \( \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \epsilon \).

Inspired by the work of earlier mathematician, in this paper we study coupled fixed point theorems for continuous mapping \( F : X \times X \to X \) having the mixed monotone property on \( X \) with \( C \)-class functions in partially ordered complete metric space.
2. Main Results

Now we are in a position to state and prove the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric \(d\) on \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(F : X \times X \to X\) be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on \(X\). Assume that \(F\) satisfies the following condition:

\[
\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \frac{1}{2} f(\psi(\delta(x, y, u, v)[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]),
\]

\[
\varphi(\delta(x, y, u, v)[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]))
\]

where \(\psi \in \Psi, \varphi \in \Phi, f \in C\), such that \((\psi, \varphi, f)\) is monotone and a constant \(k \in [1, 2]\) with

\[
\delta(x, y, u, v) = 1 + k [d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))]
\]

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\) with \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\).

If there exist \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) such that

\[
x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0),
\]

then, \(F\) has at least one coupled fixed point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) such that

\[
x = F(x, y) \text{ and } y = F(y, x).
\]

**Proof.**

a) Suppose that the two sequences \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{y_n\}\) in \(X\) such that,

\[
x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \text{ and } y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)
\]

for all \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\)

Now, we claim that \(\{x_n\}\) is non-decreasing and \(\{y_n\}\) is non-increasing i.e.,

\[
x_n \leq x_{n+1} \text{ and } y_n \geq y_{n+1}
\]

for all \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\) From the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, we know that \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) with

\[
x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)
\]

From the mixed monotone property of \(F\), we have

\[
x_1 = F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_1 = F(y_0, x_0).
\]

This implies that \(x_0 \leq x_1\) and \(y_0 \geq y_1\). That is, the inequalities (2.3) are true for \(n = 0\). We suppose that \(x_n \leq x_{n+1}\) and \(y_n \geq y_{n+1}\) for some \(n\). Now we will prove
that (2.3) is true for \( n + 1 \). Indeed, from (2.3) and the mixed monotone property
of \( F \), we have

\[
x_{n+2} = F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \geq F(x_n, y_{n+1}) \geq F(x_n, y_n) = x_{n+1}
\]

and

\[
y_{n+2} = F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq F(y_n, x_{n+1}) \leq F(y_n, x_n) = y_{n+1}.
\]

From induction, \( x_n \leq x_{n+1} \) and \( y_n \geq y_{n+1} \) for all \( n \). Since (2.1), \( x_{n-1} \leq x_n \) and
\( y_{n-1} \geq y_n \), we obtain

\[
\psi(d(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))) \leq \frac{1}{2} f(\psi(\frac{1 + k}{1 + 2} \left[ d(x_n, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) + d(y_n, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) + d(x_{n-1}, F(x, y_n)) + d(y_n, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \right] [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1})])
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} f(\psi(\frac{k}{1 + 2} \left[ d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \right] [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1})])
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} f(\psi(\frac{1 + k}{1 + 2} \left[ d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \right] [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1})])
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} f(\psi(\frac{1 + k}{1 + 2} \left[ d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \right] [d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(y_n, y_{n-1})])
\]
Similarly, from (2.1),

From these inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

This implies

Similarly, from (2.1), \( y_{n-1} \geq y_n \) and \( x_{n-1} \leq x_n \), we have

From these inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain
ψ(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n) 
\leq \psi\left( \frac{1 + k_d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + 2d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right) 
\leq \psi\left( \frac{1 + k_d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + 2d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right) 
\leq \psi\left( \frac{1 + k_d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + 2d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right) 
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = 0.

\begin{align*}
\psi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n) &\leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \\
n &\geq 0. \quad \text{Hence } \lambda = 0. \quad \text{Then}
\end{align*}

Now, we have to prove that \{x_n\} and \{y_n\} are Cauchy sequences. Suppose that at least one of \{x_n\} and \{y_n\} is not a Cauchy sequence. By Lemma 1.1, there exists an \epsilon > 0 and two subsequences \{x_{2k(j)+1}\}, \{x_{2l(j)+1}\} of \{x_n\}; \{y_{2k(j)+1}\}, \{y_{2l(j)+1}\} of \{y_n\} with \lambda < \lambda(k, j) \leq \lambda(j) for all \lambda(k, j) \leq \lambda(j) \leq \lambda(j) + 1 \in \mathbb{N} such that

\begin{align*}
\alpha_j = d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1}) &\geq \epsilon.
\end{align*}

We may also assume that

\begin{align*}
d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1}) &< \epsilon,
\end{align*}

by choosing \lambda(j) to be the smallest number exceeding \lambda(j) for which above holds. From the definition of the function \psi, we have

\begin{align*}
\psi(\alpha_j) = \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1})) &\geq \psi(\epsilon)
\end{align*}

and

\begin{align*}
\psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)})) &< \psi(\epsilon).
\end{align*}
From (2.12) and (2.13), and triangle inequality, we obtain

\[
\psi(\epsilon) \leq \psi(\alpha_j) = \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1})) \\
\leq \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)}) + d(x_{2l(j)}, x_{2l(j)+1}) \\
+ d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)}) + d(y_{2l(j)}, y_{2l(j)+1})) \\
< \psi(\epsilon) + \psi(d(x_{2l(j)}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2l(j)}, y_{2l(j)+1})).
\]

Letting \( j \to \infty \) in the above inequality and using (2.9), (2.12), we get

\[
\psi(\alpha_j) = \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1})) \to \psi(\epsilon).
\]

Again,

\[
(2.15) \psi(\alpha_j) = \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+1}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+1})) \\
\leq \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+2}) + d(x_{2l(j)+2}, x_{2l(j)+1}) \\
+ d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+2}) + d(y_{2l(j)+2}, y_{2l(j)+1})) \\
= \gamma_{2l(j)+1} + d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2l(j)+2}) + d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2l(j)+2}) \\
\leq \psi(\gamma_{2l(j)+1}) + \psi(d(x_{2k(j)+1}, x_{2k(j)+2}) + d(x_{2k(j)+2}, x_{2l(j)+2}) \\
+ d(y_{2k(j)+1}, y_{2k(j)+2}) + d(y_{2k(j)+2}, y_{2l(j)+2})) \\
= \psi(\gamma_{2l(j)+1}) + \psi(\gamma_{2k(j)+1}) + \psi(d(x_{2l(j)+2}, x_{2k(j)+2}) \\
+ d(y_{2l(j)+2}, y_{2k(j)+2})).
\]

Using (2.1) and (2.15), the above inequality becomes
Taking limit both sides in (2.2) and using continuity of \( \psi \) which is a contradiction. Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(\gamma_{2j+1}) + \psi(\gamma_{2k+1}) &= \psi(d(x_{2j+1}, x_{2k+1})) + \psi(d(y_{2j+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&+ \psi(F(x_{2j+1}, x_{2k+1}), F(x_{2j+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&+ \psi(F(y_{2j+1}, x_{2k+1}), F(y_{2j+1}, y_{2k+1})) \\
&\leq \psi(\gamma_{2j+1}) + \psi(\gamma_{2k+1}) + \\
&\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + k \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{d(x_{2j+1}, x_{2k+1})}{d(y_{2j+1}, y_{2k+1})} + d(y_{2j+1}, y_{2k+1}) \end{array} \right]
\right)
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that

\[
\psi(\alpha_j) - \psi(\gamma_{2j+1}) - \psi(\gamma_{2k+1}) \leq f(\psi\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{2}\right), \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{2}\right)).
\]

If we take the limit as \( j \to \infty \), we obtain

\[
\psi(\epsilon) \leq f(\psi\left(\frac{1 + 2k\epsilon}{1 + 4\epsilon}\right), \varphi\left(\frac{1 + 2k\epsilon}{1 + 4\epsilon}\right)) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1 + 2k\epsilon}{1 + 4\epsilon}\right) \leq \psi(\epsilon).
\]

So, \( \psi(\frac{1 + 2k\epsilon}{1 + 4\epsilon}) = 0 \), or \( \varphi(\frac{1 + 2k\epsilon}{1 + 4\epsilon}) = 0 \). Therefore, \( \epsilon = 0 \), giving thereby \( \alpha_j \to 0 \), which is a contradiction. Thus \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) are indeed Cauchy sequences in the complete metric space \( X \). So there exist \( x, y \in X \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y.
\]

Taking limit both sides in (2.2) and using continuity of \( F \), we get

\[
x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) = F\left( \lim_{n \to \infty} (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \right) = F(x, y)
\]
and
\[ y = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = F \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} (y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \right) = F(y, x). \]

Therefore,
\[ x = F(x, y) \text{ and } y = F(y, x). \]

So \((x, y)\) is a coupled fixed point of \(F\).

By choosing \(f(s, t) = ms, 0 < m < 1\) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric \(d\) on \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(F : X \times X \to X\) be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on \(X\). Assume that \(F\) satisfies the following condition:
\[ \psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \frac{m}{2} \psi(\delta(x, y, u, v)[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]) \]
where \(\psi \in \Psi, m \in (0, 1)\) and a constant \(k \in [1, 2]\) with
\[ \delta(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1 + k[d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))]}{1 + 2[d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x)) + d(u, F(u, v)) + d(v, F(v, u))]} \]
for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\) with \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\). If there exist \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) such that
\[ x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0), \]
then, \(F\) has at least a coupled fixed point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) such that
\[ x = F(x, y) \text{ and } y = F(y, x). \]

By putting \(\psi(t) = t\) in Corollary 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric \(d\) on \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(F : X \times X \to X\) be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on \(X\). Assume that \(F\) satisfies the following condition:
\[ d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{m}{2} \delta(x, y, u, v)[d(x, u) + d(y, v)] \]
where \(m \in (0, 1)\) and a constant \(k \in [1, 2]\) with
\[ \delta(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1 + k[d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))]}{1 + 2[d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x)) + d(u, F(u, v)) + d(v, F(v, u))]} \]
for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\) with \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\).

If there exist \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) such that
\[ x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0), \]
then, \(F\) has at least a coupled fixed point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) such that
\[ x = F(x, y) \text{ and } y = F(y, x). \]
Corollary 2.3. Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric \(d\) on \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(F : X \times X \to X\) be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on \(X\). Assume that \(F\) satisfies the following condition:

\[
\delta(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1 + k[d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))]}
{1 + 2[d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x)) + d(u, F(u, v)) + d(v, F(v, u))]},
\]

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\) with \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\).

If there exist \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) such that \(x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)\) and \(y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)\),
then, \(F\) has at least a coupled fixed point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) such that

\[x = F(x, y)\] and \(y = F(y, x)\).

Theorem 2.2. Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set. Suppose there is a metric \(d\) on \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(F : X \times X \to X\) be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on \(X\). Assume that \(F\) satisfies the following condition:

\[
d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{m}{2} \delta(x, y, u, v)[d(x, u) + d(y, v)],
\]

where \(m \in (0, 1)\) and a constant \(k \in [1, 2]\) with

\[
\delta(x, y, u, v) = 1 + k[d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))]
{1 + 2[d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x)) + d(u, F(u, v)) + d(v, F(v, u))]},
\]

for all \(x, y, u, v \in X\) with \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\).

If there exist \(x_0, y_0 \in X\) such that \(x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)\) and \(y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)\),
then, \(F\) has at least a coupled fixed point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) such that

\[x = F(x, y)\] and \(y = F(y, x)\).

b) if \((x, y), (u, v)\) are two distinct coupled fixed points of \(F\), then \(d(x, u) + d(y, v) \geq \frac{1}{4}\).
Proof. a) Taking \( \psi = I \) in the proof of the first section of Theorem 2.1, then the proof is complete.

b) If there exist two distinct coupled fixed points \((x, y), (u, v)\) of \(F\), then

\[
d(x, u) + d(y, v) = d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} f((\delta(x, y, u, v) [d(x, u) + d(y, v)]), \phi(\delta(x, y, u, v)) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} f((\delta(y, x, v, u) [d(y, v) + d(x, u)]), \phi(\delta(y, x, v, u)) \\
\leq [d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) + d(u, F(x, y)) + d(v, F(y, x))] \\
\leq [d(x, u) + d(y, v)] + [d(y, F(v, u)) + d(x, F(x, y)) + d(u, F(x, y))] \\
\leq [d(x, u) + d(y, v)][4d(x, u) + 4d(y, v)] \\
= 4 [d(x, u) + d(y, v)]^2.
\]

Therefore, we obtain that \(d(x, u) + d(y, v) \geq \frac{1}{4}\). \qed

Now, we will give the following example for the type of mappings which satisfies (2.1).

**Example 2.1.** Let \(X = \{0, 2\}\) and \(x \leq y \iff x, y \in \{0, 2\}\) and \(x \leq y\) where "\(\leq\)" be usual ordering then \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set. Define \(d : X \times X \to [0, \infty)\) as follows:

\[
d(0, 2) = 4, d(0, 0) = d(2, 2) = 0, \quad d(a, b) = d(b, a), \forall a, b \in X.
\]

Obviously, \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Consider now the mapping \(F : X \times X \to X\) given as

\[
F(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x = 0 \\
2, & x = 2 
\end{cases}
\]

Then \(F\) is continuous and has the mixed monotone property. It is obvious that \((0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 2)\) and \((2, 2)\) are the coupled fixed points of \(F\). In order to show that the contractive condition (2.1) is fulfilled with \(\psi(t) = \sqrt{t}, \phi(t) = \frac{1}{t}\) and \(\delta(s, t) = \frac{1}{s + t}\). Now for \(x = 2, y = 0, u = 0, v = 0\) or \(x = 2, y = 0, u = 2, v = 2\), we have the following possibilities for values of \((x, y)\) and \((u, v)\) such that \(x \geq u\) and \(y \leq v\).

Case 1: If we take \((x, y) = (u, v) = r\) where \(r = (0, 0)\) or \((2, 2)\) or \((2, 0)\) or \((0, 2)\), then \(d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = 0\). Thus, the inequality (2.1) holds.

Case 2: If we take \((x, y) = (0, 0)\) and \((u, v) = (0, 2)\), then \(d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = 0\). Thus, the inequality (2.1) holds.

Case 3: If we take \((x, y) = (2, 2)\) and \((u, v) = (0, 2)\), then

\[
d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d(F(2, 2), F(0, 2)) = d(2, 0) = 4,
\]
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\[ \delta(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1 + k \left[ d(x, F(u, v)) + d(y, F(v, u)) \right]}{1 + 2 \left[ d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x)) \right]} = 1 + \frac{k \left[ d(2, 0) + d(0, 2) + d(2, 2) \right]}{1 + 2 \left[ d(2, 2) + d(0, 0) + d(2, 2) \right]} = 1 + 8k. \]

Therefore, the inequality (2.1) holds.

Case 4: If we take \((x, y) = (2, 0)\) and \((u, v) = (0, 0)\), then

\[ d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d(2, 0, F(0, 0)) = d(2, 0) = 4, \]

and

\[ \delta(x, y, u, v) = 1 + \frac{k \left[ d(2, 0) + d(0, 0) + d(0, 0) \right]}{1 + 2 \left[ d(2, 2) + d(0, 0) + d(2, 2) \right]} = 1 + 8k. \]

Or, taking \((x, y) = (2, 0), (u, v) = (0, 2)\), we have

\[ d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d(2, 0, F(0, 2)) = d(2, 0) = 4, \]

and

\[ \delta(x, y, u, v) = 1 + \frac{k \left[ d(2, 0) + d(0, 0) + d(0, 2) + d(0, 0) \right]}{1 + 2 \left[ d(2, 2) + d(0, 0) + d(2, 2) \right]} = 1 + 8k. \]

Therefore, the inequality (2.1) holds.

Case 5: If we take \((x, y) = (1, 0)\) and \((u, v) = (2, 2)\), then

\[ d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d(1, 0, F(2, 2)) = d(1, 0) = 0, \]

that is, the inequality (2.1) holds.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. And \(F\) has four distinct coupled fixed points \((0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 2)\) and \((2, 2)\) in \(X \times X\).
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