α_β -BOUNDED SETS AND <math>α_β -TOPOLOGICALLY NILPOTENT ELEMENTS

Hariwan Z. Ibrahim and Alias B. Khalaf

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to define and discuss the properties of α_{β} -boundedness, α_{β} -topological divisor of zero and α_{β} -topologically nilpotent elements.

Keywords: operations, α_{β} -open set, rings, α_{β} -boundedness, α_{β} -topologically nilpotent

1. Introduction

Given a topological space (G, τ) , Njastad [16] was the first one to talk about α open sets and proved that they form a topology finer than τ . Ibrahim [6] introduced a strong form of α -open sets called α_{β} -open sets, where β is an operation on the family of all α -open sets of G. Later Khalaf and Ibrahim [10, 11, 12, 13, 14] continued studying the properties of such open sets and also introduced $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological abelian groups, $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological rings and $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological modules. In recent years, topological algebra was applied in both harmonic analysis and complex fractional calculus [7, 8, 4]. One of the central definitions of the present paper is that one of α_{β} -bounded set for an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring and for an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological module. Several properties are proven, like hereditariness, stability by topological closure, stability by taking unions and sums. Hereditariness and stability by unions lead me to think to the definition of boundedness proposed by S. T. Hu, [5]. We recall some of the well known definitions and results which can be found in most of text books of abstract algebra we refer to [1], [2], [3], [9], [15] and [17].

2. Preliminaries

Let A be a subset of a topological space (G, τ) . We denote the interior and the closure of a set A by Int(A) and Cl(A), respectively. A subset A of a topological space (G, τ) is called α -open [16] if $A \subseteq Int(Cl(Int(A)))$. By $\alpha O(G, \tau)$, we denote

Received April 04, 2017; accepted July 07, 2017

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 54A05, 54A10; Secondary: 54C05

the family of all α -open sets of G. An operation $\beta : \alpha O(G, \tau) \to P(G)$ [6] is a mapping from $\alpha O(G, \tau)$ in to power set P(G) of G satisfying the condition, $V \subseteq V^{\beta}$ for each $V \in \alpha O(G, \tau)$, where V^{β} denotes the value of β at V. We call the mapping β an operation on $\alpha O(G, \tau)$. A subset A of G is called an α_{β} -open set [6] if for each point $x \in A$, there exists an α -open set U of G containing x such that $U^{\beta} \subseteq A$. The complement of an α_{β} -open set is said to be α_{β} -closed. We denote the set of all α_{β} -open sets of (G, τ) by $\alpha O(G, \tau)_{\beta}$. The α_{β} -closure [6] of a subset A of G with an operation β on $\alpha O(G)$ is denoted by $\alpha_{\beta} Cl(A)$ and is defined to be the intersection of all α_{β} -closed sets containing A. An operation β on $\alpha O(G, \tau)$ is said to be α -regular if for every α -open sets U and V of each $x \in G$, there exists an α -open set W of xsuch that $W^{\beta} \subseteq U^{\beta} \cap V^{\beta}$.

Definition 2.1. [10] Let (G, τ) be a topological space and $x \in G$, then a subset N of G is said to be α_{β} -neighbourhood of x, if there exists an α_{β} -open set U in G such that $x \in U \subseteq N$.

Definition 2.2. [6] A topological space (G, τ) with an operation β on $\alpha O(G)$ is said to be $\alpha_{\beta}T_2$ if for any two distinct points $x, y \in G$, there exist two α_{β} -open sets U and V containing x and y, respectively, such that $U \cap V = \phi$.

Definition 2.3. [11] A function $f: (G, \tau) \to (G', \tau')$ is said to be $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -open if for any α_{β} -open set A of (G, τ) , f(A) is $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -open in (G', τ') .

Definition 2.4. [6] A mapping $f : (G, \tau) \to (G', \tau')$ is said to be $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -continuous if for each x of G and each $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -open set V containing f(x), there exists an α_{β} -open set U such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Corollary 2.1. [12] A function $f : G \to G'$ is $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is α_{β} -open in G, for every $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -open set V in G'.

Definition 2.5. [13] Let (G, +) be abelian group and τ be a topology on G. A triple $(G, +, \tau)$ is said to be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological group if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. For any two elements $a, b \in G$ and $U \in \alpha O(G, \tau)_{\beta}$ such that $a + b \in U$, there exist $V, W \in \alpha O(G, \tau)_{\beta}$ with $a \in V, b \in W$ and $V + W \subseteq U$.
- 2. For any element $a \in G$ and $U \in \alpha O(G, \tau)_{\beta}$ such that $-a \in U$, there exists $V \in \alpha O(G, \tau)_{\beta}$ with $a \in V$ and $-V \subseteq U$.

Definition 2.6. [14] Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and (R, τ) be a topological space. Then, $(R, +, \cdot, \tau)$ is called an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $(R, +, \tau)$ is $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological group.

 α_{β} -Bounded Sets and α_{β} -topologically Nilpotent Elements

2. For each elements $a, b \in R$ and $U \in \alpha O(R, \tau)_{\beta}$ such that $a \cdot b \in U$, there exist $V, W \in \alpha O(R, \tau)_{\beta}$ with $a \in V, b \in W$ and $V \cdot W \subseteq U$.

Definition 2.7. [14] Let $(R, +, \cdot, \tau)$ be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring. A left *R*-module *M* is called an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological left *R*-module if on *M* is specified a topology such that *M* is an $\alpha_{(\gamma,\gamma)}$ -topological abelian group and the following condition is satisfied:

For any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ and arbitrary α_{γ} -open set U containing the element $r \cdot m$ in M, there exist an α_{β} -open set V containing the element r in R and an α_{γ} -open set W the element m in M such that $V \cdot W \subseteq U$.

Proposition 2.1. [13] Let a family B_0 of subsets of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological abelian group G be a basis of α_{β} -neighborhoods of zero in G and β be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(G)$. Then, the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $0 \in \bigcap_{V \in B_0} V$.
- 2. For any subsets U and V from B_0 , there exists a subset $W \in B_0$ such that $W \subseteq U \cap V$.
- 3. For any subset $U \in B_0$, there exists a subset $V \in B_0$ such that $V + V \subseteq U$.
- 4. For any subset $U \in B_0$, there exists a subset $V \in B_0$ such that $-V \subseteq U$.

Besides, if $a \in G$, then $B_a = \{a + V | V \in B_0\}$ is a basis of α_β -neighborhoods of the element a.

Proposition 2.2. [14] Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, B_0 be a basis of α_{γ} neighborhoods of zero of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M and γ be an α -regular
operation on $\alpha O(M)$. Then conditions (1) to (4) of Proposition 2.1, are satisfied
together with the following conditions:

- 1. For any subset $U \in B_0$, there exists a subset $V \in B_0$ and an α_β -neighborhood W of zero in R such that $W \cdot V \subseteq U$.
- 2. For any subset $U \in B_0$ and any element $r \in R$, there exists a subset $V \in B_0$ such that $r \cdot V \subseteq U$.
- 3. For any subset $U \in B_0$ and any element $a \in M$, there exists an α_β -neighborhood W of zero in R such that $W \cdot a \subseteq U$.

Corollary 2.2. [13] Let U and V be α_{β} -neighborhoods of zero of $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological abelian group G such that $V + V \subseteq U$, then $\alpha_{\beta}Cl(V) \subseteq U$.

Proposition 2.3. [13] Let G be $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological abelian group, G' be $\alpha_{(\beta',\beta')}$ -topological abelian group and $f: G \to G'$ be a homomorphic mapping of G to G'. Then:

- 1. f is an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(U')$ is an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in G for any $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -neighborhood U' of zero in G'.
- f is an α_(β,β')-open if and only if f(U) is an α_{β'}-neighborhood of zero in G' for any α_β-neighborhood U of zero in G.

Corollary 2.3. [14] Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, $a \in R$ and β an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$. Let also $B_0(R)$ be a basis of α_β -neighborhoods of zero in R. Then, the element a has a basis of α_β -neighborhoods consisting of α_β -closed neighborhoods.

Proposition 2.4. [14] Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, M an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module, Q a subset in R and B a subset in M. Then $\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(Q \cdot B) \supseteq \alpha_{\beta}Cl(Q) \cdot \alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B)$.

3. α_{β} -Bounded Sets

Definition 3.1. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, M be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module. A subset $S \subseteq M$ is called α_{β} -bounded if for any α_{γ} -neighborhood U of zero in M, there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in R such that $V \cdot S \subseteq U$. An $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M is called α_{β} -bounded if M is an α_{β} -bounded subset of the module M.

Definition 3.2. A subset S of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R is called α_{β} -bounded from left (right) if S is an α_{β} -bounded subset of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological left (right) R-module R(+), that is, for any α_{β} -neighborhood U of zero in R, there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in R such that $V \cdot S \subseteq U$ (respectively, $S \cdot V \subseteq U$). A subset S of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R, α_{β} -bounded from left and from right, is called α_{β} -bounded. An $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R is called α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) if R is an α_{β} -bounded from left (respectively, α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of the ring R.

Corollary 3.1. Any finite subset Q of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R is α_{β} -bounded.

Proof. Considering R as left and right $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological R-modules, we get that Q is α_{β} -bounded from left and from right in R.

Proposition 3.1. Let S be a subring of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and A be an α_{β} -bounded subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M. Let N be some Ssubmodule in M containing A, then A is an α_{β} -bounded subset of S-module N.

Proof. Let U be an α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in N. Then $U = V \cap N$ for a certain α_{γ} -neighborhood V of zero in M. Since A is an α_{β} -bounded subset in M, then there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood W of zero in R such that $W \cdot A \subseteq V$. Then $W \cap S$ is an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in S and $(W \cap S) \cdot A \subseteq W \cdot A \cap S \cdot A \subseteq V \cap N = U$. \Box

Corollary 3.2. Let A be an α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and Q be a subring of R, which contains A. Then A is an α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of the ring Q.

Proof. The statement follows from Proposition 3.1, if we consider R as a left or right $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological R-module and Q as a left or right its topological Q-submodule. \square

Remark 3.1. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, S be an α_{β} -bounded subset of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M. If $S_1 \subseteq S$, then S_1 is an α_{β} -bounded subset of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M.

Remark 3.2. Let S be an α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and $S_1 \subseteq S$. Then S_1 is an α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R.

Proposition 3.2. Let M be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological module over an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R, B be α_{β} -bounded subsets of M and γ be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(M)$. Then $\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B)$ is α_{β} -bounded.

Proof. Let U be an α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in M, then by Proposition 2.2, there is an α_{γ} -neighborhood V of zero in M such that $V + V \subseteq U$, and so by Corollary 2.2, we have $\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(V) \subseteq U$ and $\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(V)$ be an α_{γ} -closed α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in M. Since B is an α_{β} -bounded subset of R-module M, then there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood W of zero in R such that $W \cdot B \subseteq V$. Then,

 $W \cdot \alpha_{\gamma} Cl(B) \subseteq \alpha_{\beta} Cl(W) \cdot \alpha_{\gamma} Cl(B) \subseteq \alpha_{\gamma} Cl(W \cdot B) \subseteq \alpha_{\gamma} Cl(V) \subseteq U,$

that is, $\alpha_{\gamma}Cl(B)$ is α_{β} -bounded. \square

Corollary 3.3. The α_{β} -closure of an α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring is a subset α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded), where β is α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$.

Proof. The proof results from Proposition 3.2. \Box

Proposition 3.3. Let Q be an α_{β} -bounded from left subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and S be an α_{β} -bounded subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module M, then $Q \cdot S$ is an α_{β} -bounded subset of the R-module M.

Proof. Let U be an α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in M and V be an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in R such that $V \cdot S \subseteq U$. We can choose an α_{β} -neighborhood W of zero in R such that $W \cdot Q \subseteq V$. Then,

$$W \cdot (Q \cdot S) = (W \cdot Q) \cdot S \subseteq V \cdot S \subseteq U,$$

that is, $Q \cdot S$ is an α_{β} -bounded subset of the $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological *R*-module *M*.

Proposition 3.4. Let M be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological module over an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R, B_1 and B_2 be α_{β} -bounded subsets of M, β be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$ and γ be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(M)$. Then

- 1. $B_1 + B_2$ is α_β -bounded.
- 2. $B_1 \cup B_2$ is α_β -bounded.

Proof. Let W be an α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero such that $W + W \subseteq U$, where U is α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in M. Let V_1 and V_2 be α_{β} -neighborhoods of zero in R such that $V_1 \cdot B_1 \subseteq W$ and $V_2 \cdot B_2 \subseteq W$. Then

- 1. $(V_1 \cap V_2) \cdot (B_1 \cup B_2) \subseteq V_1 \cdot B_1 \cup V_2 \cdot B_2 \subseteq W \subseteq U.$
- 2. $(V_1 \cap V_2) \cdot (B_1 + B_2) \subseteq V_1 \cdot B_1 + V_2 \cdot B_2 \subseteq W + W \subseteq U.$

Consequently, the union or the sum of finitely many α_{β} -bounded subsets of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological module is α_{β} -bounded. \square

Remark 3.3. If B and C are left (right) α_{β} -bounded subsets of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and β be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$, then $B \cup C$ and B + C are left (right) α_{β} -bounded.

Corollary 3.4. Let each of the subsets Q_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R be α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded), then the subset $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \cdot ... \cdot Q_n$ is α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded).

Proof. The proof is clear. \Box

Proposition 3.5. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring, M be $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma)}$ -topological R-module and M' be $\alpha_{(\beta,\gamma')}$ -topological R-module. Let f be an $\alpha_{(\gamma,\gamma')}$ -continuous homomorphism from the module M to the module M' and a subset N is α_{β} -bounded in M. Then the subset f(N) is α_{β} -bounded in M'.

Proof. Let U' be an $\alpha_{\gamma'}$ -neighborhood of zero in the *R*-module M'. Due to Proposition 2.3, $f^{-1}(U')$ is an α_{γ} -neighborhood of zero in the *R*-module M. Since N is an α_{β} -bounded subset, then there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in the ring R such that $V \cdot N \subseteq f^{-1}(U')$. Then,

$$V \cdot f(N) = f(V \cdot N) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(U')) \subseteq U',$$

that is, f(N) is an α_{β} -bounded subset in M'.

Proposition 3.6. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring and R' be an $\alpha_{(\beta',\beta')}$ -topological ring, $f: R \to R'$ be $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -continuous and $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta')}$ -open homomorphism from the ring R to the ring R'. Let a subset S be α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded from right, α_{β} -bounded) in the ring R, then the subset f(S) is α_{β} -bounded from left (α_{β} -bounded f

Proof. Let U' be an $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -neighborhood of zero in the ring R'. Then, due to Proposition 2.3, $f^{-1}(U')$ is an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in the ring R. Since the subset S of the ring R is α_{β} -bounded from left, then there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in R such that $V \cdot S \subseteq f^{-1}(U')$. Due to Proposition 2.3, f(V) is an $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -neighborhood of zero in the ring R'. Then,

$$f(V) \cdot f(S) = f(V \cdot S) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(U')) \subseteq U',$$

that is, the subset f(S) is α_{β} -bounded from left in R'.

When the subset S of the ring R is α_{β} -bounded from right or α_{β} -bounded, the proof is analogous. \Box

Definition 3.3. An element *a* of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring *R* is called a left (right) α_{β} -topological divisor of zero if there exists a subset $S \subseteq R$ such that:

- 1. $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta} Cl(S)$.
- 2. $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl(a \cdot S)$ (respectively, $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl(S \cdot a)$).

An element a is called an α_{β} -topological divisor of zero if it is a left and right α_{β} -topological divisor of zero, that is, there exist subsets $S_1 \subseteq R$ and $S_2 \subseteq R$ such that $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta} Cl(S_1)$ and $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta} Cl(S_2)$, but as well $0 \in \alpha_{\beta} Cl(a \cdot S_1)$ and $0 \in \alpha_{\beta} Cl(S_2 \cdot a)$.

Remark 3.4. In an $\alpha_{\beta}T_2 \alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R any left (right) divisor of zero is a left (right) α_{β} -topological divisor of zero.

Indeed, if a is a left divisor of zero in R and $0 \neq b$ is such that $a \cdot b = 0$, then, the subset $\{b\}$ is α_{β} -closed in R and $0 \notin \{b\} = \alpha_{\beta}Cl(\{b\})$. It is evident that $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl(a \cdot \{b\}) = \{0\}$, that is, a is a left α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R.

The following example shows that the condition that R is $\alpha_{\beta}T_2$ is necessary for the above remark.

Example 3.1. Consider the ring Z_4 . Let τ be the discrete topology on Z_4 . For each $A \in \alpha O(Z_4, \tau)$, we define β on $\alpha O(Z_4, \tau)$ by $A^{\beta} = Z_4$. Since Z_4 is not $\alpha_{\beta}T_2$, so the element 2 is divisor of zero in Z_4 , but it is not α_{β} -topological divisor of zero because $0 \in \alpha_{\beta} Cl(S) = Z_4$ for any subset S of Z_4 .

Proposition 3.7. Let a be a left (right) α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ topological ring R. Then for any $b \in R$ the element $b \cdot a$ is a left α_{β} -topological divisor
of zero in R (respectively, the element $a \cdot b$ is a right α_{β} -topological divisor of zero
in R).

Proof. Let $S \subseteq R$, $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta}Cl(S)$ and $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl(a \cdot S)$. Then $0 \in b \cdot \alpha_{\beta}Cl(a \cdot S) \subseteq \alpha_{\beta}Cl(b \cdot a \cdot S)$, that is, $b \cdot a$ is a left α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R. Analogously is considered the case when a is a right α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R. \square

Proposition 3.8. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring and $a, b \in R$. If $a \cdot b$ is a left (right) α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R, then either a or b is a left (right) α_{β} -topological divisor of zero.

Proof. Let $a \cdot b$ be a left α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R and $S \subseteq R$ be such that $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta}Cl(S)$, and $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl((a \cdot b) \cdot S)$. If $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl(b \cdot S)$, then b is a left α_{β} -topological divisor of zero. If $0 \notin \alpha_{\beta}Cl(b \cdot S)$, then, taking into account that $0 \in \alpha_{\beta}Cl((a \cdot b) \cdot S) = \alpha_{\beta}Cl(a \cdot (b \cdot S))$, we get that a is a left α_{β} -topological divisor of zero. Analogously is considered the case when $a \cdot b$ is a right α_{β} -topological divisor of zero in R. \Box

4. α_{β} -Topologically Nilpotent Elements

Definition 4.1. A subset S of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R is called α_{β} -topologically nilpotent if for any α_{β} -neighborhood U of zero in R there exists a natural number n_0 such that $S^{(n)} \subseteq U$ for all $n \ge n_0$. An element $a \in R$ is called α_{β} -topologically nilpotent if the one-element set $\{a\}$ of the ring R is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent, that is, if for any α_{β} -neighborhood U of zero in R there exists a natural number n_0 such that $a^n \in U$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Remark 4.1. Since for any subsets S_1 and S of a ring R from the inclusion $S_1 \subseteq S$ follows that $S_1^{(n)} \subseteq S^{(n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then any subset of an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset, too. In particular, any element of an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent.

Proposition 4.1. Let K be a skew field endowed with $\alpha_{\beta}T_2$ ring $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topology, β be an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(K)$ and a be a non-zero α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element in K. Then the element a^{-1} is not α_{β} -topologically nilpotent.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is, that a^{-1} is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element. Since the skew field K is $\alpha_{\beta}T_2$, there exists an α_{β} -neighborhood U of zero in K such that $1 \notin U$. We can choose an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in K such that $V \cdot V \subseteq U$. It is clear that $1 \notin V$. Since the elements a and a^{-1} are α_{β} -topologically nilpotent, then there exist natural numbers n_1 and n_2 such that $a^n \in V$ for $n \geq n_1$ and $a^{-n} \in V$ for $n \geq n_2$. Putting $n_0 = max\{n_1, n_2\}$, we get that $1 = a^{n_0} \cdot a^{-n_0} \in V \cdot V \subseteq U$, which contradicts the choice of the α_{β} -neighborhood U. \Box

Proposition 4.2. Let R be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring with the unitary element and a be an invertible element in R. Then, the element $x \in R$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent if and only if the element $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent.

Proof. Let x be an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element and U be an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in R. We can choose an α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in R such that $a \cdot V \cdot a^{-1} \subseteq U$. Let n_0 be a natural number such that $x^n \in V$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then $(a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1})^n = a \cdot x^n \cdot a^{-1} \in a \cdot V \cdot a^{-1} \subseteq U$ for $n \geq n_0$, that is, $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$ is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element.

Conversely, let $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$ be an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element. Then, as it was shown above, the element $x = a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}) \cdot a = a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1})^{-1}$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent. \Box

Proposition 4.3. Let S be an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and β an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$. Then, the subset $\alpha_{\beta} Cl(S)$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent.

Proof. Let S be an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset and U be an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in R. Due to Corollary 2.3, there exists an α_{β} -closed α_{β} -neighborhood V of zero in R such that $V \subseteq U$. Let n_0 be a natural number such that $S^{(n)} \subseteq V$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then

 $(\alpha_{\beta}Cl(S))^{(n)} \subseteq \alpha_{\beta}Cl(S^{(n)}) \subseteq \alpha_{\beta}Cl(V) = V \subseteq U$ (see Proposition 2.4),

hence, $\alpha_{\beta}Cl(S)$ is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset. \Box

Proposition 4.4. Let f be an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -continuous homomorphism of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R onto an $\alpha_{(\beta',\beta')}$ -topological ring R' and let S be an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset of R. Then f(S) is an $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -topologically nilpotent subset of the ring R'.

Proof. Let U' be an $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -neighborhood of zero in R', then $f^{-1}(U')$ is an α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in R. There exists a natural number n_0 such that $S^{(n)} \subseteq f^{-1}(U')$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Then $(f(S))^{(n)} = f(S^{(n)}) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(U')) = U'$ for all $n \ge n_0$, that is, the subset f(S) is $\alpha_{\beta'}$ -topologically nilpotent in R'. \Box

Proposition 4.5. Let S be an α_{β} -bounded from left (right) subset of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ topological ring R, then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. S is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset.
- 2. $S^{(k)}$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset for any natural number k.
- 3. There exists a natural number k_0 such that $S^{(k_0)}$ is an α_β -topologically nilpotent subset.

Proof. It is evident that $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$.

Let us show that $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $S^{(k_0)}$ be an α_β -topologically nilpotent subset in R and U be an α_β -neighborhood of zero in R. Due to Corollary 3.4, the subsets $S^{(2)}, S^{(3)}, ..., S^{(k_0-1)}$ are α_{β} -bounded from left. Therefore, we can choose an α_{β} neighborhood V of zero in R such that $V \cdot S^{(i)} \subseteq U$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., k_0 - 1$. Since the
subset $S^{(k_0)}$ is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent, there exists a natural number n_0 such
that $(S^{(k_0)})^{(n)} \subseteq V$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let $m \geq n_0 \cdot k_0$. Then $m = k_0 \cdot q + r$, where $q \geq n_0$ and $0 \leq r < k_0$. Thus,

$$S^{(m)} = S^{(k_0 \cdot q + r)} = (S^{(k_0)})^{(q)} \cdot S^{(r)} \subset V \cdot S^{(r)} \subset U,$$

that is, S is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent subset. \Box

Corollary 4.1. Let a be an element of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. a is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element.
- 2. a^k is an α_β -topologically nilpotent element for any natural number k.
- 3. a^{k_0} is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element for a certain natural number k_0 .

Proof. The statement results from Proposition 4.5 and from the α_{β} -boundedness of the one-element subset $\{a\}$ (see Corollary 3.1).

Proposition 4.6. Let T be the subset of all α_{β} -topologically nilpotent elements of an $\alpha_{(\beta,\beta)}$ -topological ring R and β an α -regular operation on $\alpha O(R)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. T is an α_{β} -open subset.
- 2. There exists an α_{β} -open α_{β} -neighborhood U of zero in R consisting of α_{β} topologically nilpotent elements.

Proof. It is evident that $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$.

Let us show that $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $t \in T$ and n_0 be a natural number such that $t^{n_0} \in U$, where U is α_{β} -open α_{β} -neighborhood of zero in R. We can choose an α_{β} -neighborhood V of the element t such that

$$V^{(n_0)} = \underbrace{V \cdot V \cdot \dots \cdot V}_{n_0 - times} \subseteq U.$$

Then v^{n_0} is an α_{β} -topologically nilpotent element, for any $v \in V$. Due to Corollary 4.1, any element from V is α_{β} -topologically nilpotent, that is, $V \subseteq T$, which means that the subset T is α_{β} -open. \square

REFERENCES

- 1. A. V. ARHANGELSKII and M. G. TKACHENKO, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis Press/World Scientific, AmsterdamParis, (2008).
- 2. V. I. ARNAUTOV, M. I. VODINCHAR and A. V. MIKHALEV, Introduction to The Theory of Topological Rings and Modules, Kishinev, Stiintsa, (1981).
- 3. V. I. ARNAUTOV, M. I. VODINCHAR, S. T. GLAVATSKY and A. V. MIKHALEV, Constructions of Topological Rings and Modules, Kishinev, Stinitsa, (1988).
- L. DE BRANGES, The Riemann hypothesis for Hilbert spaces of entire functions. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 15 (1986), 1–17.
- S. T. HU, Boundedness in a topological space, J. Math. Pures Appl., 228 (1949), 287–320.
- 6. H. Z. IBRAHIM, On a class of α_{γ} -open sets in a topological space, Acta Scientiarum. Technology, **35** (3) (2013), 539–545.
- E. GUARIGLIA Fractional Derivative of the Riemann Zeta Function, In: Cattani, C., Srivastava, H., and Yang, X. J. (Eds.). Fractional Dynamics. De Gruyter, (2015), 357–368.
- E. GUARIGLIA and S. SILVESTROV, A functional equation for the Riemann zeta fractional derivative, AIP Conference Proceedings of ICNPAA 2016 World Congress (05-08 July 2016, La Rochelle, France), 1798 (2017).
- 9. L. KAPLANSKY, Topological algebra, Notes Mat. Inst. Mat. Pure Apl., (16) (1959).
- 10. A. B. KHALAF and H. Z. IBRAHIM, Some properties of operations on $\alpha O(X)$, International Journal of Mathematics and Soft Computing, **6** (1) (2016), 107–120.
- 11. A. B. KHALAF and H. Z. IBRAHIM, Some new functions via operations defined on α -open sets, Journal of Garmian University, 1 (12) (2017), 96–109.
- 12. A. B. KHALAF and H. Z. IBRAHIM, α_{γ} -connectedness and some properties of $\alpha_{(\gamma,\beta)}$ -continuous functions, Accepted in The First International Conference of Natural Science (ICNS) from $11^{th} 12^{th}$ July (2016), Charmo University.
- A. B. KHALAF and H. Z. IBRAHIM, α_(β,β)-Topological abelian group, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **13** (6) (201), 2291–2306.
- 14. A. B. KHALAF and H. Z. IBRAHIM, *Topological Rings and Modules Via Operations*, submitted.
- 15. A. G. KUROSH, Lectures on General Algebra, Nauka, (1962).
- O. NJASTAD, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 961–970.
- M. TKACHENKO, Paratopological and semitopological groups vs topological groups, In: K. P. Hart, J. van Mill, P. Simon (eds.), Recent Progress in General Topology III, Atlantis Press, (2014), 803–859.

Hariwan Z. Ibrahim Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education University of Zakho Kurdistan-Region, Iraq hariwan_math@yahoo.com

Alias B. Khalaf Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science University of Duhok Kurdistan-Region, Iraq aliasbkhalaf@gmail.com