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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to establish some new nonlinear Gamidov integral inequalities in two independent variables which can give the explicit bounds on unknown functions. To show the feasibility of the obtained inequalities, some illustrative examples are also introduced.
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1. Introduction

The integral inequalities which provide explicit bounds on unknown functions play an important role in the development of the theory of differential and integral equations. For instance, see [1 – 19] and the references given therein. During the past few years, an enormous amount of effort has been devoted to the discovery of new types of inequalities and their applications in various branches of ordinary and partial differential and integral equations.

In [8], Sh.G.Gamidov, while studying the boundary value problem for higher order differential equations, initiated the study of obtaining explicit upper bounds on the integral inequalities of the forms

\[(1.1) \quad u(t) \leq c + \int_a^t f(s)u(s)ds + \int_a^b g(s)u(s)ds,\]

for \(t \in [a, b]\), under some suitable conditions on the functions involved in (1.1).

Pachpatte obtained the following interesting explicit bounds on certain integral inequalities which appear in [14]:
(1.2) \[ u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_0^t f(t, s)u(s)ds + \int_a^b g(s)u(s)ds. \]

Very recently, K. Cheng, C. Guo in [5] discussed the following general version in two independent variables:

(1.3) \[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)u(s, t)dsdt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)u(s, t)dsdt, \]
for \((x, y) \in [0, M] \times [0, N].\)

Motivated by the results above and the inequalities obtained in [5,8,10,14], we give a generalization of nonlinear Gamidov integral inequalities in two independent variables which can be used as a tool to study the boundedness of solutions of integral equations. Some applications are also given to illustrate the usefulness of some of our results.

Before establishing our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** [5] Assume \(u(x, y), a(x, y), c(x, y), g(x, y) \in C([0, M] \times [0, N], [0, \infty))\) and

\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N u(s, t)g(s, t)dsdt, \]
for \((x, y) \in [0, M] \times [0, N].\) If \(\int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)g(s, t)dsdt < 1,\) then the following explicit estimate

\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + \frac{c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N a(s, t)g(s, t)dsdt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)g(s, t)dsdt}, \]
holds for \((x, y) \in [0, M] \times [0, N].\)

**Lemma 1.2.** [9] Assume that \(a \geq 0, p \geq q \geq 0\) and \(p \neq 0,\) then

(1.4) \[ a^\frac{q}{p} \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p}} a + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{\frac{q}{p}}, \]
for any \(K > 0.\)

**2. Main Result**

In what follows, \(\mathbb{R}\) denotes the set of real numbers \(\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty), I_1 = [0, M],\) and \(I_2 = [0, N]\) are given subsets of \(\mathbb{R}.\) Let \(\Delta = I_1 \times I_2, C(U, V)\) denotes the collection of continuous functions from \(U\) to \(V.\) Now let us give the main results of this paper.
Lemma 2.1. Assume that \( u(x, y), a(x, y), c(x, y), g(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}_+) \) and \( n : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) a differentiable increasing function on \([0, +\infty[\) with the continuous non-increasing first derivative \( n' \) on \([0, +\infty[\). If

\[
(2.1) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt,
\]

then the following explicit estimate

\[
(2.2) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(a(s, t))dsdt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)g(s, t)n(a(s, t))dsdt},
\]

holds for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), provided that

\[
(2.3) \quad \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)g(s, t)n'(a(s, t))dsdt < 1.
\]

Proof. Obviously, \( \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt \) is a constant.

Letting

\[
(2.4) \quad \Omega = \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt,
\]

from (2.1), we have

\[
(2.5) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y)\Omega.
\]

Since \( n \) is increasing on \([0, +\infty[\), then

\[
(2.6) \quad n(u(x, y)) \leq n(a(x, y) + c(x, y)\Omega).
\]

Applying the mean value Theorem for the function \( n \), then for every \( x_1 \geq y_1 > 0 \) there exists \( c \in [y_1, x_1[ \) such that

\[
(2.7) \quad n(x_1) - n(y_1) = n'(c)(x_1 - y_1) \leq n'(y_1)(x_1 - y_1).
\]

Which gives

\[
(2.8) \quad n(u(x, y)) \leq n'(a(x, y))c(x, y)\Omega + n(a(x, y)),
\]

taking into account that \( g(x, y) \) is positive, then
Integrating both sides of (2.9) on $\Delta$, we obtain

\begin{align}
\Omega &= \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt \\
&\leq \Omega \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)\gamma(s, t)n'(a(s, t))dsdt \\
&+ \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(a(s, t))dsdt.
\end{align}

It follows from (2.10) that

\[ \Omega \leq \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(a(s, t))dsdt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)\gamma(s, t)n'(a(s, t))dsdt}. \]

Substituting the inequality above into (2.5), we get the explicit estimate (2.2) for $u(x, y)$. \qed

**Remark 2.1.** By taking $n(x) = x$, the inequality given in Lemma 2.1 reduces to the inequality given in Lemma 1.1.

**Corollary 2.1.** Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Then

\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y)\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)\arctan(u(s, t))dsdt. \]

Implies

\begin{align}
(2.11) \quad u(x, y) &\leq a(x, y) + \frac{c(x, y)\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)\arctan(u(s, t))dsdt}{1 - \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t)\gamma(s, t)}{1 + a^2(s, t)}}, \\
&\quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Delta, \text{ provided that} \\
&\quad \int_0^M \int_0^N \frac{c(s, t)\gamma(s, t)}{1 + a^2(s, t)}dsdt < 1,
\end{align}

and if

\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y)\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)\ln(u(s, t) + 1)dsdt, \]
then
\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + \frac{c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) \ln(a(s, t) + 1) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t) g(s, t) \, ds \, dt}, \]

for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), provided that
\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N c(s, t) g(s, t) \, ds \, dt < 1. \]

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that \(a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y), f(x, y), g(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}_+)\) and \(a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y)\) are nondecreasing in \(x\) and \(y\). Let \(n : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\) is a differentiable increasing function on \([0, +\infty[\) with continuous non-increasing first derivative \(n'\) on \([0, +\infty[\). If \(u(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}_+)\) satisfies
\[ (2.12) \]
\[ u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) u(s, t) \, ds \, dt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(u(s, t)) \, ds \, dt, \]

then, we have
\[ (2.13) \]
\[ u(x, y) \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) g(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}, \]

for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), provided that
\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) g(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt < 1, \]

where
\[ (2.14) \]
\[ A^*(x, y) = a(x, y) \exp \left\{ b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right\}, \]
\[ C^*(x, y) = c(x, y) \exp \left\{ b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right\}. \]

**Proof.** Fixing any arbitrary \((X, Y) \in \Delta\), then for \((x, y) \in \Delta_1 = [0, X] \times [0, Y]\), from (2.12), we have
\[ (2.15) \]
\[ u(x, y) \leq a(X, Y) + b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) u(s, t) \, ds \, dt + c(X, Y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(u(s, t)) \, ds \, dt, \]

where we apply that \(a(x, y), b(x, y),\) and \(c(x, y)\) are nondecreasing in \(x\) and \(y\).
Define a function \( v(x, y), (x, y) \in \Delta_1 \) by the right side of (2.15). Then, \( v(x, y) \) is positive and nondecreasing in \( x \) and \( y \) and

\[
(2.16) \quad u(x, y) \leq v(x, y).
\]

Furthermore, we have

\[
(2.17) \quad v(0, y) = a(X, Y) + c(X, Y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt,
\]

\[
(2.18) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x, y) = b(X, Y) \int_0^y f(x, t)u(x, t)dt \\
\leq b(X, Y) \int_0^y f(x, t)v(x, t)dt \\
\leq (b(X, Y) \int_0^y f(x, t)dt)v(x, y).
\]

Since \( v(x, y) \) is nondecreasing in \( y \), from (2.18), one gets

\[
(2.19) \quad \frac{(\partial/\partial x) v(x, y)}{v(x, y)} \leq b(X, Y) \int_0^y f(x, t)dt.
\]

Now, keeping \( y \) fixed in (2.19), setting \( x = s \), and integrating the last inequality with respect to \( s \) from 0 to \( x \), we get

\[
(2.20) \quad v(x, y) \leq v(0, y) \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)dsdt \right\}.
\]

It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

\[
(2.21) \quad u(x, y) \leq \left[ a(X, Y) + c(X, Y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt \right] \\
\times \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)dsdt \right\} \\
= a(X, Y) \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)dsdt \right\} \\
+ c(X, Y) \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)dsdt \right\} \\
\times \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt \\
= A_1(x, y, X, Y) + C_1(x, y, X, Y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt,
\]
where

\[
A_1(x, y, X, Y) = a(X, Y) \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right\},
\]

\[
C_1(x, y, X, Y) = c(X, Y) \exp \left\{ b(X, Y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right\}.
\]

Using Lemma 2.1, from (2.21), we easily obtain

\[
u(x, y) \leq A_1(x, y, X, Y) + C_1(x, y, X, Y)
\]

\[
\times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(A_1(s, t, X, Y)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C_1(s, t, X, Y) g(s, t) n'(A_1(s, t, X, Y)) \, ds \, dt},
\]

since the inequality (2.23) holds for all \((x, y) \in \Delta_1\), taking \(x = X\) and \(y = Y\), we have

\[
u(X, Y) \leq A_1(X, Y, X, Y) + C_1(X, Y, X, Y)
\]

\[
\times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(A_1(s, t, X, Y)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C_1(s, t, X, Y) g(s, t) n'(A_1(s, t, X, Y)) \, ds \, dt},
\]

for \((X, Y) \in \Delta\), where \(A^*(X, Y)\) and \(C^*(X, Y)\) are defined as in (2.14).

Taking into account that \(X\) and \(Y\) are arbitrary, we replace \(X\) and \(Y\) by \(x\) and \(y\), respectively, and we get the required inequality in (2.13).

**Remark 2.2.** If we take \(n(x) = x\), then Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 2 in [5].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y), f(x, y)\) and \(g(x, y)\) be as in Theorem 2.1. If \(u(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}_+)\) satisfies

\[
u^p(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \, ds \, dt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(u(s, t)) \, ds \, dt,
\]

where \(p \geq q \geq 0, p \geq 1\) are constants, then

\[
u(x, y) \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N G^*(s, t) n(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) G^*(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt},
\]
for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), provided that

\[
\int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t)G^*(s, t)u'(A^*(s, t))dsdt < 1,
\]

where

\[
(2.27) \quad A^*(x, y) = A_1(x, y) \exp \left\{ B_1(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F^*(s, t)dsdt \right\},
\]

\[
C^*(x, y) = C_1(x, y) \exp \left\{ B_1(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F^*(s, t)dsdt \right\},
\]

and

\[
A_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p+q}} b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[ \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} a(s, t) + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p} \right] dsdt + \frac{1}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p+q}} a(x, y) + \frac{p-1}{p} K^{\frac{1}{p}},
\]

\[
B_1(x, y) = \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} b(x, y),
\]

\[
C_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p+q}} c(x, y),
\]

\[
F^*(x, y) = f(x, y),
\]

\[
G^*(x, y) = g(x, y),
\]

Proof. Define a function \(w(x, y)\) by

\[
(2.29) \quad w(x, y) = b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t)u^q(s, t)dsdt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t)n(u(s, t))dsdt,
\]

for \((x, y) \in \Delta\). Then, from (2.29), we have

\[
(2.30) \quad u^p(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + w(x, y).
\]

Applying Lemma 1.2, we get

\[
(2.31) \quad u(x, y) \leq (a(x, y) + w(x, y))^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{p} K^{\frac{q-p}{p+q}} (a(x, y) + w(x, y)) + \frac{p-1}{p} K^{\frac{1}{p}} = v(x, y).
\]

\[
u^q(x, y) \leq (a(x, y) + w(x, y))^{q/p} \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} (a(x, y) + w(x, y)) + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p}.
\]
It follows from (2.29),(2.30) and (2.31) that

\begin{equation}
    w(x, y) \leq b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[ \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} (a(s, t) + w(s, t)) + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p} \right] ds dt
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(v(s, t)) ds dt,
\end{equation}

taking into account that \( \frac{1}{p} K^{1/p} w(x, y) \leq v(x, y) \), we have

\begin{equation}
    w(x, y) \leq b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[ \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} a(s, t) + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p} \right] ds dt
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    + qK^{(q-1)/p} b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) v(s, t) ds dt
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(v(s, t)) ds dt.
\end{equation}

Multiplying both sides of (2.33) by \( \frac{1}{p} K^{1/p} \) and adding \( \frac{1}{p} K^{1/p} a(x, y) + \frac{p-1}{p} K^{1/p} \) to both sides of the resultant inequality, we obtain

\begin{equation}
    v(x, y) \leq A_1(x, y) + B_1(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F^*(s, t) v(s, t) ds dt
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    + C_1(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N G^*(s, t) n(v(s, t)) ds dt,
\end{equation}

where \( A_1(x, y), B_1(x, y), C_1(x, y), F^*(x, y) \) and \( G^*(x, y) \) are defined as in (2.28).

Note that \( A_1(x, y), B_1(x, y), C_1(x, y) \) and \( F^*(x, y) \) are nonnegative, continuous, and non-decreasing for \((x, y) \in \Delta\). A suitable application of Theorem 2.1 to (2.34) gives

\begin{equation}
    u(x, y) \leq v(x, y) \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N G^*(s, t) n(A^*(s, t)) ds dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) G^*(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) ds dt},
\end{equation}

where \( A^*(x, y) \) and \( C^*(x, y) \) are defined as in (2.27).

**Remark 2.3.** If we take \( n(x) = x \), then Theorem 2.2 reduces to Theorem 6 in [5].

3. Applications

In this section, we shall illustrate how our main results can be applied to study the boundedness and uniqueness of the solution to certain integral equations in two independent variables.
Example 3.1. Consider the following integral equation:

\[ z(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F(s, t, z) \, ds \, dt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N G(s, t, z) \, ds \, dt, \]

for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), where \(z(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R})\), \(a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}_+)\) are nondecreasing in \(x\) and \(y\), \(F(x, y, z), G(x, y, z) \in C(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the functions \(F\) and \(G\) in (3.1) satisfy the conditions

\[ |F(s, t, z)| \leq f(s, t) |z|, \]
\[ |G(s, t, z)| \leq g(s, t) n(|z|), \]

where \(f(s, t), g(s, t)\) and \(n\) are defined as in Theorem 2.1.

If \(z(x, y)\) is the unique solution of (3.1), then

\[ |z(x, y)| \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) g(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}, \]  

for \((x, y) \in \Delta\), provided that

\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) g(s, t) n'(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt < 1, \]

where \(A^*(x, y), C^*(x, y)\) are defined in (2.14).

Proof. Assume that \(z(x, y)\) is the unique solution of (3.1), from (3.2) we have

\[ |z(x, y)| \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) |z(s, t)| \, ds \, dt \]
\[ + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(|z(s, t)|) \, ds \, dt. \]

Now an application of Theorem 2.1 to (3.5), yields the required inequality in (3.3). \qed

Corollary 3.1. If we take in (3.2), \(n(z) = \arctan(z)\), then the unique solution of (3.1) can be expressed as

\[ |z(x, y)| \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) \arctan(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s, t) g(s, t) \arctan(A^*(s, t)) \, ds \, dt}, \]  

where \(A^*(x, y), C^*(x, y)\) are defined in (2.14).
provided that
\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s,t)g(s,t)dsdt < 1. \]

If we take \( n(z) = \ln(z + 1) \), then the unique solution of (3.1) can be expressed as

\[ |z(x, y)| \leq A^*(x, y) + C^*(x, y) \times \frac{\int_0^M \int_0^N g(s,t) \ln(A^*(s,t) + 1)dsdt}{1 - \int_0^M \int_0^N \frac{C^*(s,t)g(s,t)dsdt}{1+A^*(s,t)}}, \]

provided that
\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s,t)g(s,t)dsdt < 1. \]

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that the functions \( F \) and \( G \) in (3.1) satisfy the conditions

\[ |F(s, t, z)| - F(s, t, \varpi) \leq f(s, t) |z - \varpi|, \]
\[ |G(s, t, z)| - G(s, t, \varpi) \leq g(s, t)n(|z - \varpi|), \]

where \( f(s, t), g(s, t) \) and \( n \) are defined as in Theorem 2.1 with \( n(0) = 0 \). If
\[ \int_0^M \int_0^N C^*(s,t)g(s,t)dsdt < 1, \]

where \( A^* \) and \( C^* \) are defined as in Theorem 2.1, and \( z(x, y) \) is a solution of (3.1), then (3.1) has at most one solution.

**Proof.** Let \( z(x, y) \) and \( \varpi(x, y) \) be two solutions of (3.1), then

\[ \varpi(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F(s, t, \varpi)dsdt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N G(s, t, \varpi)dsdt, \]
\[ z(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y F(s, t, z)dsdt + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N G(s, t, z)dsdt. \]

(3.7)
From (3.7), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|z(x, y) - \tau(x, y)| & \leq b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y |F(s, t, z) - F(s, t, \tau)| \, ds \, dt \\
& \quad + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N |G(s, t, z) - G(s, t, \tau)| \, ds \, dt \\
& \leq b(x, y) \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) |z - \tau| \, ds \, dt \\
& \quad + c(x, y) \int_0^M \int_0^N g(s, t) n(|z - \tau|) \, ds \, dt.
\end{align*}
\]

According to Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $|z(x, y) - \tau(x, y)| \leq 0$, which implies $z(x, y) = \tau(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta$.
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