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#### Abstract

In this paper, a generalized matrix multiplication is defined in $\mathbb{R}^{m, n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n, p}$ by using any scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ denotes set of matrices of $m$ rows and $n$ columns. With this multiplication it has been shown that $\mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ is an algebra with unit. By considering this new multiplication we define eigenvalues and eigenvectors of square $n \times n$ matrix $A$. A special case is considered and generalized diagonalization is also introduced.
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## 1. Introduction

The sign matrix is required for the definition of special matrices when the Euclid inner product is used for the matrix multiplication in Lorentz space [5]. Ergin [1] obtained the rotations in Lorentz plane by using Euclidean matrix multiplication. In our former study [2], by introducing Lorentz matrix multiplication, special matrices and the motions on Lorentz plane were defined without the need of sign matrix. By this way, convenience in between the matrix multiplication and scalar product in Lorentzian was obtained. Further definition of the matrix multiplication using scalar product $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of which index is $\nu$ were also given in [3].

Our aim in the present paper is to define a new matrix multiplication being compatible with any scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Some applications were also given.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices. $\mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ with the matrix addition and the scalar-matrix multiplication is a real vector space. More properties of the ordinary matrix multiplication can be found in [4].

Let $g$ be a scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$
g(x, y)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i j} x_{i} y_{j}
$$

[^0]where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For the standard basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1<i<n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the matrix representation of scalar product is $G=\left[g_{i j}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$.

## 2. Generalized Matrix Multiplication and Properties

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ denote the row vectors of $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ and $B^{1}, \ldots, B^{p}$ denote the column vectors of $B=\left[b_{j k}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n, p}$. Then we define a new matrix multiplication denoted by " $\bullet$ ", as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \bullet_{g} B & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
g\left(A_{1}, B^{1}\right) & g\left(A_{1}, B^{2}\right) & \cdots & g\left(A_{1}, B^{p}\right) \\
g\left(A_{2}, B^{1}\right) & g\left(A_{2}, B^{2}\right) & \cdots & g\left(A_{2}, B^{p}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
g\left(A_{n}, B^{1}\right) & g\left(A_{n}, B^{2}\right) & \cdots & g\left(A_{n}, B^{p}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[g\left(A_{i}, B^{j}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We call this multiplication as generalized matrix multiplication and if we let $A_{i}$ to be $i$ th row of $A$ and $B^{j}$ to be $j$ th column of $B$ then $(i, j)$ entry of $A \bullet{ }_{g} B$ is $g\left(A_{i}, B^{j}\right)$. Note that $A \bullet_{g} B$ is an $m \times p$ matrix. We will denote $\mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ with generalized matrix multiplication by $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{m, n}$. In the special case of $g$ we get followings:

1. For $g(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}, A \bullet_{g} B$ coincides with usual matrix multiplication.
2. For $g(x, y)=-x_{1} y_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}, A \bullet_{g} B$ coincides with Lorentzian matrix multiplication defined in [2].
3. For $g(x, y)=-\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_{i} y_{i}+\sum_{i=\nu+1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}, A \bullet_{g} B$ coincide with Pseudo matrix multiplication defined in [3].

Theorem 2.1. The following statements are satisfied.

1. For every $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{m, n}, B \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, p}, C \in \mathbb{R}_{h}^{p, r}, A \bullet_{g}\left(B \bullet_{h} C\right)=\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right) \bullet_{h} C$.
2. For every $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{m, n}, B, C \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, p}, A \bullet{ }_{g}(B+C)=A \bullet{ }_{g} B+A \bullet_{g} C$
3. For every $A, B \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{m, n}, C \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, p}$, $(A+B) \bullet{ }_{g} C=A \bullet{ }_{g} C+B \bullet_{g} C$
4. For every $k \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{m, n}, B \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, p}, k\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right)=(k A) \bullet_{g} B=A \bullet_{g}(k B)$
where $g$ and $h$ are nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. The determinant of a matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{det} A$ and defined as

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} s(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1) 1} a_{\sigma(2) 2} \cdots a_{\sigma(n) n}
$$

where $S_{n}$ is set of all permutations of the set $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ and $s(\sigma)$ is sign of the permutation $\sigma$.

Let $\left\{E_{i j}\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ and $X=\left[x_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$. Consider the linear equation system $X \bullet g E_{i j}=E_{i j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If we handle the equations having the variables $\left\{x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{1 n}\right\}$, we obviously get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i 1} x_{1 i}=1 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i j} x_{j i}=0, \quad(2 \leq j \leq n)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denoting the first column matrix of $X$ by $X^{1}$, the ordinary matrix form of above system can be stated as

$$
G^{T} X^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $G$ is symmetric and regular matrix, we deduce

$$
X^{1}=G^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

If we proceed the same way for the equations having the variables $\left\{x_{j 1}, x_{j 2}, \ldots, x_{j n}\right\}, 2 \leq$ $j \leq n$, we have

$$
X=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} G}\left[g^{i j}\right]
$$

where $g^{i j}$ is $(i, j)$ cofactor of $G$. Now we are ready to give the definition of identity matrix.

Definition 2.2. Let $g$ be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $n \times n$ identity matrix according to generalized matrix multiplication, is denoted by $I_{n}$ and defined by

$$
I_{n}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} G}\left[g^{i j}\right]
$$

Note that for every $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{\nu}^{n, n}, I_{n} \bullet{ }_{g} A=A \bullet{ }_{g} I_{n}=A$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} \bullet_{g} A & =I_{n} \bullet_{g}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} E_{i j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}\left(I_{n} \bullet_{g} E_{i j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} E_{i j} \\
& =A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.1. $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$ with generalized matrix multiplication is an algebra with unit.
Definition 2.3. An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is $g$-invertible, if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ such that $A \bullet_{g} B=B \bullet_{g} A=I_{n}$. Then $B$ is called $g$-inverse of $A$ and is shown by $A_{g}^{-1}$.

Example 2.1. Let $g(x, y)=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}+2 x_{2} y_{2}$ be a scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & 4 \\ -2 & 3\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{2}^{2}$. Then $I_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$ and $A_{g}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{9}{7} & -1 \\ -\frac{4}{7} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right]$.
Definition 2.4. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then the transpose of $A$ is the $n \times m$ matrix $A^{T}$ obtained by interchanging the rows and columns of $A$, so that the $(i, j)$ th entry of $A^{T}$ is $a_{j i}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be matrices of the appropriate sizes so that the following operations make sense, and $c$ be a scalar. Then

1. $(A+B)^{T}=A^{T}+B^{T}$
2. $\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right)^{T}=B^{T} \bullet_{g} A^{T}$
3. $(c A)^{T}=c A^{T}$
4. $\left(A^{T}\right)^{T}=A$.

Proof. We only prove the property (2). The others can be easily done.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right)^{T} & =\left[g\left(A_{i}, B^{j}\right)\right]^{T} \\
& =\left[g\left(A_{j}, B^{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[g\left(B^{i}, A_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[g\left(\left(B^{T}\right)_{i},\left(A^{T}\right)^{j}\right)\right] \\
& =B^{T} \bullet_{g} A^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$. If $A^{T}=A$ and $A^{T}=-A$ then $A$ is said to be symmetric and skew-symmetric matrix, respectively.

Definition 2.6. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ matrix and denote the rows of $A$ by $A_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, m$. The elementary row operations on matrix $A$ are:

1. $R_{i j}$, the interchange of rows $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$,
2. $R_{i}(c)$, the multiplication of row $A_{i}$ by the nonzero scalar $c$,
3. $R_{i j}(a)$, the addition of $a$ times row $A_{j}$ to row $A_{i}, i \neq j$.

Definition 2.7. Let $I_{n}$ be identity matrix according to generalized matrix multiplication obtained from $g$ nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. An elementary matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix obtained from the identity matrix $I_{n}$ by performing on $I_{n}$ a single elementary row operation. For the operations $R_{i j}, R_{i}(c)$ and $R_{i j}(a)$, elementary matrices denoted by $E_{i j}, E_{i}(c)$ and $E_{i j}(a)$, respectively.

Let $I_{n}$ be identity matrix according to generalized matrix multiplication obtained from $g(x, y)=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{2}-2 x_{3} y_{3}$ nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then

$$
I_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the following are examples of elementary matrices:

$$
E_{13}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], E_{3}(-6)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
0 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right], E_{31}(2)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
2 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $R$ be an elementary row operation and let $E$ be the corresponding $m \times m$ elementary matrix. Then,

$$
R(A)=E \bullet_{g} A
$$

where $A$ is any $m \times n$ matrix.
Proof. The proof is similar to standard one.
Theorem 2.4. The determinants of the elementary matrices are given as

1. $\operatorname{det} E_{i j}=-\operatorname{det} I_{n}$
2. $\operatorname{det} E_{i}(c)=c \operatorname{det} I_{n}$
3. $\operatorname{det} E_{i j}(a)=\operatorname{det} I_{n}$

Proof. We omit the details since it is clear from the properties of determinant function.

Theorem 2.5. Let $E$ be an elementary matrix and $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following holds

$$
\operatorname{det}(E \bullet g A)=\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{det} E \cdot \operatorname{det} A
$$

Proof. Let $A$ be a $n \times n$ matrix and $E$ be an elementary matrix. Firstly, by taking $E=E_{i}(c)$, we get $\operatorname{det}\left(E \bullet_{f} A\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(E_{i}(c) \bullet_{f} A\right)$. The properties of determinant function, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(E_{i}(c) \bullet_{g} A\right) & =c \cdot \operatorname{det} A \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{det} E_{i}(c) \cdot \operatorname{det} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the other cases $E=E_{i j}(a)$ and $E=E_{i j}$, the proof can be done similarly.
Theorem 2.6. For every $A, B \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}, \operatorname{det}\left(A \bullet{ }_{g} B\right)=\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det} A \cdot \operatorname{det} B$.
Proof. Let $A$ be a matrix obtained by multiplying elementary matrices $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. From above theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(A \bullet g B) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\left(E_{1} \bullet_{g} E_{2}\right) \bullet_{g} B\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(E_{1} \bullet_{g}\left(E_{2} \bullet_{g} B\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det} E_{1} \operatorname{det}\left(E_{2} \bullet_{g} B\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{2} \operatorname{det} E_{1} \operatorname{det} E_{2} \operatorname{det} B \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det}\left(E_{1} \bullet_{g} E_{2}\right) \operatorname{det} B \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det} B .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $A=E_{1} \bullet_{g} \ldots \bullet_{g} E_{k}$, where $E_{i}$ is elementary matrix $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, the proof can be done similarly. On the other hand, since every regular matrix can be written as the multiplication of elementary matrices, for every regular matrix $A$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right)=\left(\operatorname{det} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det} A \cdot \operatorname{det} B
$$

If $A$ is a singular matrix, then $\operatorname{det} A=0$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(A \bullet_{g} B\right)=0$. Thus the proof is completed.

Corollary 2.2. If $A$ is a regular matrix then $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$.
Eigenvalues and eigenvectors play an important role in matrix theory because of its application in the areas of mathematics, physics and engineering. By this aim, we define the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of square $n \times n$ matrix $A$ by generalized matrix multiplication.

Definition 2.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$. An eigenvector of $A$ is a nonzero vector $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
A \bullet_{g} x=\lambda x
$$

for some scalar $\lambda$. The scalar $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue of the matrix $A$, and we say that the vector $x$ is an eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Example 2.2. Let $g(x, y)=2 x_{1} y_{1}+2 x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{3}+3 x_{3} y_{3}$ be a scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{3,3}
$$

Then eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=3$ and $\lambda_{3}=5$. Some eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are

$$
u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{16} \\
-\frac{5}{4} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad u_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad u_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively.
Theorem 2.7. The eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$ corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other.

Proof. For the eigenvectors $x, y$ corresponding to two different eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu$ of the matrix $A$, we can say that $A \bullet_{g} x=\lambda x$ and $A \bullet_{g} y=\mu y$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{T} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} x=\lambda y^{T} \bullet_{g} x=\lambda g(x, y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But numbers are always their own transpose, so

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{T} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} x & =x^{T} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} y \\
& =x^{T} \bullet_{g} \mu y \\
& =\mu\left(x^{T} \bullet_{g} y\right) \\
y^{T} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} x & =\mu g(x, y) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$
(\lambda-\mu) g(x, y)=0
$$

So $\lambda=\mu$ or $g(x, y)=0$, and it isn't the former, so $x$ and $y$ are orthogonal.
Example 2.3. Let $g(x, y)=2 x_{1} y_{1}+2 x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{3}+3 x_{3} y_{3}$ and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{3,3}
$$

$A$ is a symmetric matrix. Then the eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{5}+7}{2}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{7-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}=0$. Some eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are

$$
u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}-\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}-\frac{3}{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad u_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}-\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}-\frac{3}{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad u_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-5}{2} \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively. For $i \neq j$, we get

$$
g\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=u_{i}^{T} \bullet_{g} u_{j}=0 .
$$

Then $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ form an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{3}$.
Throughout the rest of the paper, $g$ will denote the scalar multiplication function $g(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i} y_{i}$, for the convenience of readers, where $g_{i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, the unit matrix corresponding to the generalized matrix can be stated as

$$
I_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{g_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{g_{2}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{g_{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 2.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$. If $A^{-1}=A^{T}$ (i.e. $A A^{T}=A^{T} A=I_{n}$ ) then $A$ is said to be $g$-orthogonal matrix.

Theorem 2.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$. Then

1. A is g-orthogonal if and only if the row vectors of $A$ form an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{n}$ under the scalar product; and
2. $A$ is $g$-orthogonal if and only if the column vectors of $A$ form an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{n}$ under the scalar product.

Proof. We will only prove (1), since the proof of (2) is almost identical. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ denote the row vectors of $A$. Then

$$
A \bullet g A^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
g\left(A_{1}, A_{1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(A_{1}, A_{n}\right) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g\left(A_{n}, A_{1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(A_{n}, A_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows that $A \bullet_{g} A^{T}=I_{n}$ if and only if for every $i, j=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\left\langle A_{i}, A_{j}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{g_{i}} & , \quad i=j \\
0 & , \quad i \neq j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}_{g}^{n}$.

Definition 2.10. A matrix $A$ is diagonalizable if there exists a nonsingular matrix $P$ and a diagonal matrix $D$ such that

$$
D=P^{-1} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} P
$$

Theorem 2.9. Let all the eigenvalues of $A \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{n, n}$ are real. Then $A$ is diagonalizable if and only if it has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

Proof. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ linearly independent eigenvectors of $A$ associated with the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$. That is,

$$
A \bullet \bullet_{g} x_{i}=\lambda_{i} x_{i} \quad, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Now, we denote $P=\left[\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n}\end{array}\right]$. Since the columns of $P$ are linearly independent, $P$ is invertible. Let $D$ be $\operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}}{g_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{g_{n}}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \bullet_{g} P & =A \bullet_{g}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{1} x_{1} & \cdots & \lambda_{n} x_{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right] \bullet_{g} \operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}}{g_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{g_{n}}\right] \\
& =P \bullet_{g} D .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A \bullet g$ 届 $P \bullet_{g} D$, it follows that $D=P^{-1} \bullet_{g} A \bullet{ }_{g} P$ which shows that $A$ is diagonalizable.

To prove the other direction we assume that $A$ is diagonalizable. Then there exists a nonsingular matrix $P$ and a diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}}{g_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{g_{n}}\right]$ such that

$$
D=P^{-1} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} P
$$

If we multiply above equation with $P$ from the left, we get

$$
A \bullet_{g} P=P \bullet_{g} D
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \bullet_{g} v_{i}=\lambda_{i} v_{i} \quad, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}$ are columns of $P$. The equations (2.3) show that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to eigenvalues $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$. Furthermore, since $P$ is invertible, $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ are linearly independent.

Example 2.4. Let $g(x, y)=-x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}$ and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & -2 & -2
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{g}^{3.3}
$$

The eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=-2, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=-1$ and eigenvectors corresponding these eigenvalues are

$$
u_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad u_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
-2
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad u_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively. Therefore

$$
\left.P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & -1
\end{array}\right]\right] \quad \text { and } \quad P^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
1 & -2 & -2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Finally

$$
P^{-1} \bullet_{g} A \bullet_{g} P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$
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