FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ) SER. MATH. INFORM. Vol. 35, No 2 (2020), 311–320 https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI2002311A

f-BIHARMONIC CURVES WITH TIMELIKE NORMAL VECTOR ON LORENTZIAN SPHERE

Bilal Eftal Acet

© 2020 by University of Niš, Serbia | Creative Commons Licence: CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract. In this paper, we study f-biharmonic curves as the critical points of the f-bienergy functional $E_2(\psi) = \int_M f | \tau(\psi)^2 | \vartheta_g$, on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a curve such that has a timelike principal normal vector on lying a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold to be an f-biharmonic curve. Moreover, we introduce proper f-biharmonic curves on the Lorentzian sphere S_1^4 .

Keywords: f-biharmonic curves; f-bienergy functional; para-Sasakian manifold; Lorentzian sphere.

1. Introduction

Harmonic maps $\psi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds are the critical points of the energy functional defined by

(1.1)
$$E(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |d\psi|^2 \vartheta_g,$$

for every compact domain $\Omega \subset M$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy functional gives the harmonic equation defined by vanishing of

(1.2)
$$\tau(\psi) = trace \nabla d\psi,$$

where $\tau(\psi)$ is called the tension field of the map ψ .

As a generalization of harmonic maps, biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds were introduced by J. Eells and J.H. Sampson [7]. Biharmonic maps

Received December 18, 2019; accepted April 03, 2020

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58E20; Secondary 53C25, 53C43

between Riemannian manifolds $\psi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ are the critical points of the bienergy functional

(1.3)
$$E_2(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\tau(\psi)|^2 \vartheta_g,$$

for any compact domain $\Omega \subset M$.

In [3], G.Y. Jiang derived the first and the second variation formulas for the bienergy, showing that the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to E_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\psi) &= -J^{\psi}(\tau(\psi)) \\ &= - \bigtriangleup \tau(\Psi) - trace R^N(d\psi, \tau(\psi)) d\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where J^{ψ} is the Jacobi operator of ψ . The equation $\tau_2(\psi) = 0$ is called biharmonic equation. Clearly, any harmonic maps is always a biharmonic map. A biharmonic map that is not harmonic is called a proper biharmonic map.

For some recent geometric study of biharmonic maps see [14, 17, 18, 19, 24] and the references therein. Also for some recent progress on biharmonic submanifolds see [1, 2, 16, 20, 21] and for biharmonic conformal immersions and submersions see [15, 25, 27].

The concept of f-biharmonic maps were initiated by W.J. Lu [23]. A smooth map $\psi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ between Riemannian manifolds is called an f-biharmonic map if it is a critical point of the f-bienergy functional defined by

(1.4)
$$E_{2,f}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \mid \tau(\psi) \mid^2 \vartheta_g,$$

for every compact domain $\Omega \subset M$.

The Euler-Lagrange equation gives the f-biharmonic map equation [23]

$$\tau_{2,f} = f\tau_2(\psi) + (\Delta f)\tau(\psi) + 2\nabla^{\psi}_{gradf}\tau(\psi)$$

= 0.

where $\tau(\psi)$ and $\tau_2(\psi)$ are the tension and bitension fields of ψ , respectively. Therefore, we have the following relationship among these types of maps [26]:

(1.5) $Harmonic maps \subset Biharmonic maps \subset f - Biharmonic maps.$

From now on we will call an f-biharmonic map, which is neither harmonic nor biharmonic, a proper f-biharmonic map (see also [28]).

The study of Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold was initiated by K. Matsumoto [9]. He also introduced the notion of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. In [4], I. Mihai and R. Rosca defined the same notion independently and there after many authors [5, 11, 22] studied Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds.

Moreover, in [17] some geometric result for spacelike and timelike curves in a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold to be proper biharmonic were given. Motivated by this work, we introduced f-biharmonic curves on Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold and Lorentzian sphere S_1^4 .

2. Preliminaries

2.1. *f*-Biharmonic Maps

f-Biharmonic maps are critical points of the f-bienergy functional for maps $\psi: (M, g) \to (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds:

(2.1)
$$E_{2,f}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \mid \tau(\psi) \mid^2 \vartheta_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M.

The following Theorem was proved in [23]:

Theorem 2.1. A map $\psi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ between Riemannian manifolds is an f-biharmonic map if and only if

(2.2)
$$\tau_{2,f} = f\tau_2(\psi) + (\triangle f)\tau(\psi) + 2\nabla^{\psi}_{gradf}\tau(\psi) = 0,$$

where $\tau(\psi)$ and $\tau_2(\psi)$ are the tension and bitension fields of ψ , respectively. $\tau_{2,f}(\psi)$ is called the f-bitension field of map ψ .

A special case of f-biharmonic maps is f-biharmonic curves. We have the following.

Lemma 2.1. [26] An arclength parametrized curve $\gamma : (a,b) \to (N^m,g)$ is an f-biharmonic curve with a function $f : (a,b) \to (0,\infty)$ if and only if

(2.3)
$$f(\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\gamma' - R^{N}(\gamma',\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\gamma')\gamma') + 2f'\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\gamma' + f''\nabla_{\gamma'}^{N}\gamma' = 0.$$

2.2. Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds

Let M be an *n*-dimensional differentiable manifold with a Lorentzian metric g, i.e., g is a smooth symmetric tensor field of type (0, 2) such that at every point $p \in M$, the tensor

$$g_p: T_pM \times T_pM \to R,$$

is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (-, +, +, ..., +), where T_pM is the tangent space of M at the point p. Then (M, g) is called a Lorentzian manifold. A non-zero vector $X_p \in T_pM$ can be spacelike, null or timelike, if it satisfies $g_p(X_p, X_p) > 0$, $g_p(X_p, X_p) = 0$ or $g_p(X_p, X_p) < 0$, respectively.

Let M be an *n*-dimensional differentiable manifold equipped with a structure (φ, ξ, η) , where φ is a (1, 1)-tensor field, ξ is a vector field, η is a 1-form on M such that [9]

(2.4)
$$\varphi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

B. E. Acet

(2.5)
$$\eta(\xi) = -1.$$

The above equations imply that

 $\eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad rank(\varphi) = n - 1.$

Then M admits a Lorentzian metric g, such that

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

and M is said to admit a Lorentzian almost paracontact structure $(\varphi,\xi,\eta,g).$ Then we get

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X).$$

The manifold M endowed with a Lorentzian almost paracontact structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is called a Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold [9, 10]. In equations (2.4) and (2.5) if we replace ξ by $-\xi$, we obtain an almost paracontact structure on M defined by I. Sato [6].

A Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold equipped with the structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is called a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold [9] if

(2.7)
$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi.$$

The conformal curvature tensor C is given by

$$C(X,Y)W = R(X,Y)W - \frac{1}{n-2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Y,W)X - S(X,W)Y \\ +g(Y,W)QX - g(X,W)QY \end{array} \right\} \\ + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left\{ g(Y,W)X - g(X,W)Y \right\},$$

where S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y). The Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is called conformally flat if conformal curvature tensor vanishes i.e., C = 0.

The quasi-conformal curvature tensor \hat{C} is defined by

$$\hat{C}(X,Y)W = aR(X,Y)W - b \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(Y,W)X - S(X,W)Y \\ +g(Y,W)QX - g(X,W)QY \end{array} \right\}$$
$$-\frac{r}{n} \left(\frac{a}{(n-1)} + 2b\right) \left\{ g(Y,W)X - g(X,W)Y \right\},$$

where a, b constants such that $ab \neq 0$. Similarly the Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is called quasi-conformally flat if $\hat{C} = 0$.

We know that a conformally flat and quasi-conformally flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M^n (n > 3) is of constant curvature 1 and also a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is locally isometric to a Lorentzian unit sphere if the relation $R(X, Y) \cdot C = 0$ holds on M [12]. For a conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold [13], we get $\nabla C = 0$. Thus for a conformally symmetric space the relation $R(X, Y) \cdot$

C = 0 satisfies. Hence a conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is locally isometric to a Lorentzian unit sphere [12].

Therefore, for a conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M, we have [12]

(2.8)
$$R(X,Y)W = g(Y,W)X - g(X,W)Y,$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, W \in TM$.

3. *f*-Biharmonic Curves in Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds

For a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M, an arbitrary curve $\gamma : I \to M$, $\gamma = \gamma(s)$ is called spacelike, timelike or lightlike (null), if all of its velocity vectors $\gamma'(s)$ are spacelike, timelike or lightlike (null), respectively. In this section, we give some conditions for a curve having timelike normal vector on a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M to be an f-biharmonic curve.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma: I \to M$ be a curve parametrized by arclength and M be a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. Assume that $\{T, N, B_1, B_2\}$ be an orthonormal Frenet frame field along γ such that principal normal vector N is timelike. Then γ is a proper f-biharmonic curve if and only if one of the following cases happens:

i) The first curvature κ_1 of the γ solves the following ordinary differential equation,

(3.1)
$$3(\kappa_1')^2 - 2\kappa_1\kappa_1'' = 4\kappa_1^4 - 4\kappa_1^2,$$

with $f = t_1 \kappa_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\kappa_2 = 0$.

ii) The first curvature κ_1 of the γ solves the following ordinary differential equation,

(3.2)
$$3(\kappa_1')^2 - 2\kappa_1\kappa_1'' = 4\kappa_1^4 + 4\kappa_1^4t_3^2 - 4\kappa_1^2,$$

with $f = t_1 \kappa_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \kappa_2 \neq 0, \ \kappa_3 = 0, \ \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} = t_3.$

Proof. Let γ be a curve parametrized by arclength on lying a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M and let $\{T, N, B_1, B_2\}$ be an orthonormal Frenet frame field along γ such that principal normal vector N is timelike.

In this case for this curve, the Frenet frame equations are given by [8]

(3.3)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_T T \\ \nabla_T N \\ \nabla_T B_1 \\ \nabla_T B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa_1 & 0 & \kappa_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_2 & 0 & \kappa_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -\kappa_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

B. E. Acet

where T, N, B_1 , B_2 are mutually orthogonal vectors and κ_1 , κ_2 and κ_3 are respectively the first, the second and the third curvature of the γ .

In view of the Frenet formulas given in (3.3) and equation (2.8), we obtain

$$\nabla_T T = \kappa_1 N,$$

$$\nabla_T \nabla_T T = \kappa_1^2 T + \kappa_1' N + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 B_1,$$

$$\nabla_T \nabla_T \nabla_T T = (3\kappa_1 \kappa_1')T + (\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2)N + (2\kappa_1' \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2')B_1 + (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3)B_2,$$

and

$$R(T, \nabla_T T)T = -\kappa_1 N,$$

where κ_1, κ_2 and κ_3 are the first, the second and the third curvature of the γ , respectively.

Considering Theorem 2.1 and equation (2.3), we get

$$\tau_{2,f} = f \begin{bmatrix} (3\kappa_1\kappa_1')T + (\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1\kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1N) \\ + (2\kappa_1'\kappa_2 + \kappa_1\kappa_2')B_1 + (\kappa_1\kappa_2\kappa_3)B_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ + 2f' [\kappa_1^2T + \kappa_1'N + \kappa_1\kappa_2B_1] + f''[\kappa_1N] \\ = 0.$$

Comparing the coefficients of above equation, we obtain that γ is an $f-{\rm biharmonic}$ curve if and only if

(3.4)
$$3\kappa_1\kappa_1' + 2\kappa_1^2 \frac{f'}{f} = 0,$$

(3.5)
$$\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_1' \frac{f'}{f} + \kappa_1 \frac{f''}{f} = 0,$$

(3.6)
$$2\kappa_1'\kappa_2 + \kappa_1\kappa_2' + 2\kappa_1\kappa_2\frac{f'}{f} = 0,$$

(3.7)
$$\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 = 0.$$

Let κ_1 be a non zero constant. Then from (3.4) we get f is constant. So γ is biharmonic. Let κ_2 be a non zero constant. From (3.4) and (3.6) one can easily see that f is constant and γ is biharmonic.

By using (3.4) - (3.7), if $\kappa_2 = 0$, then f-biharmonic curve equation reduces to

(3.8)
$$3\kappa_1\kappa_1' + 2\kappa_1^2 \frac{f'}{f} = 0,$$

(3.9)
$$\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_1' \frac{f'}{f} + \kappa_1 \frac{f''}{f} = 0.$$

Integrating the equation (3.8) we get $f = t_1 \kappa_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and using this result in (3.9), we arrive at (i).

Otherwise, by use of (3.4) - (3.7), if $\kappa_1 \neq constant$ and $\kappa_2 \neq constant f$ -biharmonic curve the equation is equivalent to

(3.10)
$$f^2 \kappa_1^3 = t_1^2$$

(3.11)
$$(f\kappa_1)'' = -f\kappa_1(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + 1),$$

(3.12)
$$f^2 \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 = t_2,$$

In view of (3.10), we find $f = t_1 \kappa_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and using this result in (3.11), we get $\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} = t_3$. Finally substituting these equation in (3.11), we arrive at (*ii*).

Proposition 3.1. Let M be a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold and $\gamma: I \to M$ be an f-biharmonic spacelike curve parametrized by arclength such that principal normal vector is timelike. If γ has constant geodesic curvature then γ is biharmonic.

4. f-Biharmonic Curves on Lorentzian Sphere S_1^4

Suppose that M is a 4-dimensional conformally flat, quasi-conformally flat and conformally symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. Since M is locally isometric to a Lorentzian unit sphere S_1^4 , we give some characterizations for f-biharmonic curves in S_1^4 . The Lorentzian unit sphere of radius 1 can be seen as the hyperquadradic

$$S_1^4 = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}_1^5 : < p, p >= 1 \},\$$

in a Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^5_1 with the metric

$$<, >: -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2.$$

Let $\gamma: I \to S_1^4$ be a curve parametrized by arclength. For an arbitrary vector field X along γ , we have

(4.1)
$$\nabla_T X = X' + \langle T, X \rangle \gamma,$$

where ∇ is covariant derivative along γ in S_1^4 .

Since S_1^4 is a Lorentzian space form of the scalar curvature 1, we have

$$R(X,Y)W = \langle Y, W \rangle X - \langle X, W \rangle Y,$$

for all vector fields X, Y, W in the tangent bundle of S_1^4 , where R is the curvature tensor of S_1^4 .

Now, we give the following:

Proposition 4.1. Let $\gamma: I \to S_1^4$ be a non-geodesic f-biharmonic curve parametrized by arclength and $\{T, N, B_1, B_2\}$ be a Frenet frame along γ such that

$$g(T,T) = g(B_1, B_1) = g(B_2, B_2) = 1, \ g(N,N) = -1.$$

Then, we have

(4.2)
$$\gamma^{(4)} - \left(\frac{\kappa_1''}{\kappa_1} + 2\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1}\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f''}{f}\right)\gamma'' - \left(\kappa_1^2 + \frac{\kappa_1''}{\kappa_1} + 2\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1}\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f''}{f} + 1\right)\gamma = 0.$$

Proof. Using (3.5) and taking the covariant derivative of the second equation in (3.3), we get

$$\nabla_T^2 N = \nabla_T (\kappa_1 T + \kappa_2 B_1)$$

= $\kappa_1 \nabla_T T + \kappa_2 \nabla_T B_1$
= $(\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2) N + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 B_2$.

Using (3.5) in (4.3), we have

(4.3)
$$\nabla_T^2 N = -\left(\frac{\kappa_1''}{\kappa_1} + 2\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1}\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f''}{f} + 1\right)N.$$

On the other hand from (4.1), we arrive at

$$\nabla_T^2 N = \nabla_T (N' + \langle T, N \rangle \gamma)$$

= $N'' + \langle T, N' \rangle \gamma$
= $N'' + \langle T, \nabla_T N - \langle N, T \rangle \gamma \rangle \gamma$
= $N'' + \langle T, \kappa_1 T + \kappa_2 B_1 \rangle \gamma$
= $N'' + \kappa_1 \gamma.$

From (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

(4.4)
$$\left(\frac{\kappa_1''}{\kappa_1} + 2\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1}\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f''}{f} + 1\right)N = N'' + \kappa_1\gamma.$$

Also in view of (4.1), we have

$$\nabla_T T = T' + \langle T, T \rangle \gamma = \gamma'' + \gamma,$$

which yields

(4.5)
$$N = \frac{1}{\kappa_1} (\gamma'' + \gamma).$$

By use of (4.5) and (4.4), we obtain (4.2). \Box

REFERENCES

- A. BALMUS, S. MONTALDO and C. ONICIUC: Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres. Israel J. Math. 168 (2008), 201–220.
- B. Y. CHEN and M. VARGA: Biharmonic ideal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces. Diff. Geom. Appl. 31 (2013), 1–16.
- G. Y. JIANG : 2-harmonic isometric immersions between Riemannian manifolds. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. 7 (1986), 130–144.
- I. MIHAI and R. ROSCA: Lorentzian P-Sasakian manifold in Classical Analysis. World Sci. Publ. River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp.155-169.
- I. MIHAI, A. A. SHAIKH and U. C. DE: On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. Rendicontidel Seminario Matematico di Messina, Serie II., 1999.
- I. SATO: On a structure similar to the almost contact structure. Tensor N.S. 30 (1976), 219–224.
- J. EELLS and J. H. SAMPSON: Harmonic mapping of the Riemannian manifold. American J. Math. 86 (1964), 109–160.
- 8. J. WALRAVE: Curves and surfaces in Minkowski spaces. Doctoral Thesis, K. U. Leuven, Fac. of Science, 1995.
- K. MATSUMOTO: On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds. Bull. Yamagota Univ. Natur. Sci. 12 (1989), 151–156.
- K. MATSUMOTO, I. MIHAI and R. ROSCA: ξ-null geodesic gradient vector fields on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. J. Korean Math. Soc. 32 (1995), 17–31.
- M. M. TRIPATHI and U. C. DE: Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds and their submanifolds. J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. 8 (2001), 101–125.
- M. TARAFDAR and A. BHATTACHARYYA: On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. In Steps in Differential Geometry (Debrecen). Inst. Math. Infor. (2000), 343–348.
- M. C. CHAKI and B. GUPTA: On conformally symmetric spaces. Indian J. Math. 5 (1963), 113–122.
- P. BAIRD and D. KAMISSOKO: On constructing biharmonic maps and metrics. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 23 (2003), 65–75.
- P. BAIRD, A. FARDOUN and S. OUAKKAS: Conformal and semi-conformal biharmonic maps. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 34 (2008), 403–414.

B. E. Acet

- R. CADDEO, S. MONTALDO and C. ONICIUC: Biharmonic submanifolds of S³. Int. J. Math. 12 (2001), 867–876.
- S. KELEŞ, S. YÜKSEL PERKTAŞ and E. KILIÇ: Biharmonic Curves in LP-Sasakian Manifolds. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 33 (2010), 325–344.
- S. MONTALDO and C. ONICIUC: A short survey on biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. Rev. Un. Mat. Argent. 47 (2006), 1–22.
- S. YÜKSEL PERKTAŞ and E. KILIÇ: Biharmonic maps between doubly warped product manifolds. Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Appl. 15 (2010), 159–170.
- S. YÜKSEL PERKTAŞ, E. KILIÇ and S. KELEŞ: Biharmonic hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi, Tomul LVII, f.2 DOI: 10.2478/v10157-011-0034-z (2011).
- J. I. INOGUCHI and T. SASAHARA: Biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian symmetric spaces I. Hiroshima Math. J. 46 (2016), 97–121.
- S. YÜKSEL PERKTAŞ, E. KILIÇ and S. KELEŞ: Hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. Math. Scand. 108 (2011), 5–21.
- W. J. LU and R. S. VARGA: On f-Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. arXiv:1305.5478, preprint, (2013).
- Y. L. OU: Biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds. Pacific J. Math. 248 (2010), 217–232.
- Y. L. OU: On conformal biharmonic immersions. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 36 (2009), 133–142.
- Y. L. OU: On f-biharmonic maps and f-biharmonic submanifolds. Pacific J. Math. 271 (2014), 461–477.
- Z. P. WANG and Y. L. OU: Biharmonic Riemannian submersions from 3-manifolds. Math Z. 269 (2011), 917–925.
- 28. B. E. ACET, S. YÜKSEL PERKTAŞ and F. E. ERDOGAN: A study on f-biharmonic curves in S(1,4). AIP Conference Proceed. **1991** (2018).

Bilal Eftal Acet Faculty of Arts and Science Department of Mathematics P. O. Box 02040 Adiyaman, Turkey eacet@adiyaman.edu.tr