FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ) SER. MATH. INFORM. Vol. 35, No 1 (2020), 273-282 https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI2001273S

## SOME RESULTS ON ( $\epsilon$ )- KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

### Arpan Sardar

© 2020 by University of Niš, Serbia | Creative Commons License: CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract. We have studied curvature symmetries in  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds. Next, we have proved the non-existence of a non-zero parallel 2-form in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold. Moreover, we have characterised  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds and finally, we have proved that under certain restriction on the scalar curvature divR=0 and divC=0 are equivalent, where 'div' denotes divergence.

**Keywords:** ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifold, curvature symmetries,  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric manifold, Weyl curvature tensor.

### 1. Introduction

The basic difference between Riemannian and semi-Riemannian geometry is the existence of a null vector. In a Riemannian manifold (M, g), the signature of the metric tensor is positive definite, whereas the signature of a semi-Riemannian manifold is indefinite. With the help of indefinite metric Bejancu and Duggal [1] introduced ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifolds. Then Xufeng and Xiaoli [16] proved that every  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian manifold must be a real hyperface of some indefinite Kähler manifolds. Since Sasakian manifolds with indefinite metric have applications in Physics [4], we are interested to study various contact manifolds with indefinite metric. Geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds originated from Kenmotsu [10]. In [3] De and Sarkar introduced the notion of  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with indefinite metric. On the other hand, in [6] Eisenhart proved that if a Riemannian manifold admits a second order parallel symmetric covariant tensor other than a constant multiple of the metric tensor, then it is reducible. Later on, several authors investigated the Eisenhart problem on various spaces and obtained some fruitful results. Recently, Haseeb and De [7] have studied  $\eta$ -Ricci solitons in  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds.  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds have also been studied by several authors such as ([2], [8], [9], [13], [15]) and many others. So far, our knowledge about curvature symmetries have not been studied in semi-Riemannian manifolds. In this paper, we are going tol study curvature symmetries in  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds. For curvature symmetries we refer

Received October 24, 2019; accepted January 5, 2020

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C25

the book of Duggal and Sharma [5].

In [7] Haseeb and De proved the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let M be an n-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold. If the manifold has a symmetric parallel second order covariant tensor  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  is a constant multiple of the metric tensor q.

Using the above theorem, we obtained the following statements.

**Proposition 1.1.** If a vector field X is an affine Killing in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold, then the vector field X is homothetic.

**Proposition 1.2.** An affine conformal vector field in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold is reduced to a conformal vector field.

Sharma[12] characterised a class of contact manifold admitting a vector field keeping the curvature tensor invariant.

In this paper, we have considered the same problem in  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds and proved the following:

**Theorem 2.**In an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold a curvature collineation is Killing.

The nature of a parallel 2-form has been considered by several authors in contact manifolds. In the present paper we consider a parallel 2-form in the context of  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds and prove the following:

**Theorem 3.** There is no non-zero parallel 2-form in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold. As for example  $d\eta$  is a 2-form in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold which is zero. Next we prove:

**Theorem 4.** An  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric if and only if it is an Einstein manifold.

In a Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension n, divR is obtained from the Bianchi identity and given by

$$(divR)(U,V)W = (\nabla_U S)(V,W) - (\nabla_V S)(U,W),$$

where R denotes the curvature tensor, S is the Ricci tensor,  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection and 'div' denotes the divergence.

1

Also it is known that

$$\begin{aligned} (divC)(U,V)W &= \frac{n-2}{n-3} [\{ (\nabla_U S)(V,W) - (\nabla_V S)(U,W) \} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \{ dr(U)g(V,W) - dr(V)g(U,W) \} ], \end{aligned}$$

where C is the Weyl curvature tensor of type (1,3), r is the scalar curvature.

From the above definitions, it follows that divR = 0 implies divC = 0. However the converse, is not necessarily true. We address

**Theorem 5.** In an ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifold divR = 0 and divC = 0 are equivalent provided the scalar curvature r is invariant under the characteristic vector field  $\xi$ .

# 2. $(\epsilon)$ -KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS

Duggal [4] introduced a larger class of contact metric manifolds.

Let  $M^{2n+1}$  be a (2n+1)-dimensional differentiable manifold of class  $C^{\infty}$ . Then a quadruple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defined on  $M^{2n+1}$  satisfying

(2.1) 
$$\phi^2(U) = -U + \eta(U)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

(2.2) 
$$g(\xi,\xi) = \epsilon, \qquad \eta(U) = \epsilon \ g(U,\xi),$$

(2.3) 
$$g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) - \epsilon \eta(U) \eta(V),$$

where  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type (1,1),  $\eta$  a tensor field of type (0,1), the Reeb vector field  $\xi$  and  $\epsilon$  is 1 or -1 according as  $\xi$  is space like or time like vector field, is called an  $(\epsilon)$ -almost contact metric manifold. If  $d\eta(U,V) = g(U,\phi V)$ , for every  $U, V \in \chi(M)$ , then we say that M is an  $(\epsilon)$ -contact metric manifold. It can be easily seen that  $\phi \xi = 0$ ,  $\eta \phi = 0$ .

Moreover, if the manifold satisfies

(2.4) 
$$(\nabla_U \phi) V = -g(U, \phi V) - \epsilon \eta(V) \phi U,$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of g , then we shall call the manifold an  $(\epsilon)\text{-Kenmotsu}$  manifold.

In an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold the following relations hold([3], [7]):

(2.5) 
$$\nabla_U \xi = \epsilon (U - \eta(U)\xi),$$

(2.6) 
$$(\nabla_U \eta) V = g(U, V) - \epsilon \eta(U) \eta(V),$$

(2.7) 
$$R(U,V)\xi = \eta(U)V - \eta(V)U,$$

(2.8) 
$$(U,\xi) = -2n\eta(U).$$

**Example.** Let us consider  $M^5 = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w) : u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w \text{ belongs} \text{ to } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } w \neq 0 \}$  and take the basis vector field  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ , where

$$e_1 = w \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, e_2 = w \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}, e_3 = w \frac{\partial}{\partial u_3}, e_4 = w \frac{\partial}{\partial u_4}, e_5 = -\epsilon w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} = \xi.$$

Let us define g as follows :

$$g(e_i, e_j) = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

and

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = g(e_4, e_4) = 1, g(e_5, e_5) = \epsilon.$$

Then we obtain

$$[e_1, e_2] = [e_1, e_3] = [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = [e_3, e_4] = 0,$$
$$[e_1, e_5] = \epsilon e_1, \ [e_2, e_5] = \epsilon e_2, \ [e_3, e_5] = \epsilon e_3, [e_4, e_5] = \epsilon e_4.$$

By Koszul's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -e_5, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_5 = \epsilon e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_5, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_5 = \epsilon e_2, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = -e_5, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_5 = \epsilon e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_4} e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_4} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_4} e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_4} e_4 = -e_5, \ \nabla_{e_4} e_5 = \epsilon e_4, \\ \nabla_{e_5} e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_5} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5} e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5} e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5} e_5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that  $(M^5, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfies all the properties of  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifolds.

**Definition 2.1.** A vector field X is said to be an affine Killing vector field if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X \nabla = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_X$  denotes the Lie differentiation along the vector field X.

**Definition 2.2.** A vector field X that leaves the Riemann curvature tensor invariant, that is,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X R)(U, V)W = 0$$

is called curvature collineation.

**Definition 2.3.** A conformal vector field X in a Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is defined by

(2.9) 
$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\rho g,$$

for a smooth function  $\rho$  on M. If  $\rho$  = constant, then the vector field X is called homothetic. If  $\rho$  vanishes identically, then X is Killing vector field.

Equation (2.9) yields

(2.10) 
$$(\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V) = (U\rho)V + (V\rho)U - g(U, V)D\rho,$$

where  $\nabla(U, V) = \nabla_U V$  for any vector field U, V on M and  $D\rho$  is the gradient vector field of  $\rho$ .

Thus (2.9) implies (2.10), but not conversely.

The vector field X satisfying (2.10) is called conformal collineation and X is then called an affine conformal vector field.

**Definition 2.4** An ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifold is said to be  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric if

$$\phi^2((\nabla_U Q)W) = 0,$$

where Q is the Ricci operator defined by g(QU, V) = S(U, V).

 $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric manifold is weaker than Ricci symmetric ( $\nabla S = 0$ ) manifold.

If U, W are orthogonal to the characteristic vector field  $\xi$ , then  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric manifold is called locally  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric. The notion of locally  $\phi$ -symmetric for Sasakian manifolds was introduced by Takahashi[14].

# 3. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** If X is a affine Killing vector field, then

$$\mathcal{L}_X \nabla = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\nabla g) = 0.$$

That is,

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}_X g = 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{L}_X g$  is symmetric second order parallel tensor. Thus, from Theorem 1 we infer that

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = \lambda g,$$

where  $\lambda$  is constant. This implies X is homothetic.

**Proof of Proposition 1.2.** In [11] Sharma and Duggal prove that a vector field X on a manifold (M, g) is an affine conformal vector field if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\rho g + K,$$

where K is a second order covariant constant  $(\nabla K = 0)$  symmetric tensor field. Hence from Theorem 1, we obtain  $K = \lambda g$ ,  $\lambda$  is constant. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\rho g + \lambda g.$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\sigma g,$$

where  $2\sigma = 2\rho + \lambda$ , a smooth function. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.By definition of curvature collineation, we get

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{L}_X R)(U, V)W = 0,$$

which implies

(3.2) 
$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(Z,U)V,W) + (\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(Z,U)W,V) = 0.$$

Putting  $Z = V = W = \xi$  in (3.2), we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(\xi, U)\xi, \xi) + (\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(\xi, U)\xi, \xi) = 0,$$

which implies

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(\xi, U)\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Now, using (2.7) in the foregoing equation, we get

(3.3) 
$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U,\xi) = \eta(U)(\mathcal{L}_X g)(\xi,\xi).$$

Again putting  $Z = V = \xi$  in (3.2) it follows

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(\xi, U)\xi, W) + (\mathcal{L}_X g)(R(\xi, U)W, \xi) = 0.$$

Using (2.7) in the above equation we infer that

(3.4) 
$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) - \eta(U)(\mathcal{L}_X g)(\xi, W) + \eta(W)(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, \xi) -\epsilon(\mathcal{L}_X g)(\xi, \xi)g(U, W) = 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) = \epsilon(\mathcal{L}_X g)(\xi, \xi)g(U, W).$$

This implies

(3.5) 
$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) = \epsilon [\mathcal{L}_X g(\xi, \xi) - 2g(\xi, \mathcal{L}_X \xi)]g(U, W).$$

Since  $(\mathcal{L}_X R)(U, V)W = 0$  implies  $(\mathcal{L}_X S)(V, W) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X S)(\xi,\xi) = 0,$$

which implies

$$S(\xi, \mathcal{L}_X \xi) = 0.$$

That is,

 $g(Q\xi, \mathcal{L}_X\xi) = 0.$ 

Now using (2.8) in the above equation, we obtain

(3.6)  $g(\xi, \mathcal{L}_X \xi) = 0.$ 

Using (3.6) in (3.5) we conclude that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) = 0,$$

that is, X is Killing vector field. Therefore, the Theorem is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $\alpha$  be a parallel 2-form in an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold. This means  $\alpha$  is skew-symmetric and  $\nabla \alpha = 0$ . Therefore

(3.7) 
$$\alpha(U,V) = -\alpha(V,U).$$

Putting  $U = V = \xi$  in (3.7) we get

(3.8) 
$$\alpha(\xi,\xi) = 0.$$

Differentiating (3.8) along U, we get

$$\alpha(\nabla_U \xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Using (2.5) in the above gives

$$\epsilon \alpha(U,\xi) - \epsilon \eta(U) \alpha(\xi,\xi) = 0.$$

Finally, using (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \qquad \qquad \alpha(U,\xi) = 0.$$

Again, differentiating along V in the foregoing equation we get

(3.10) 
$$\alpha(\nabla_V U, \xi) + \alpha(U, \nabla_V \xi) = 0.$$

Replacing U by  $\nabla_V U$  in (3.9) we get

(3.11) 
$$\alpha(\nabla_V U, \xi) = 0.$$

Using (3.11), (2.5) in (3.10) and after some calculation we obtain

$$\alpha(U,V)=0,$$

that is,  $\alpha = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let M be an (2n+1)-dimensional  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifold. Then

$$\phi^2((\nabla_U Q)V) = 0,$$

for arbitrary vector fields U, V, which implies

(3.12) 
$$-(\nabla_U Q)V + \eta((\nabla_U Q)V)\xi = 0.$$

Putting  $V = \xi$  in (3.12) and using (2.8), we get

(3.13) 
$$2n\nabla_U\xi + Q(\nabla_U\xi) + \eta(-2n\nabla_U\xi - Q(\nabla_U\xi))\xi = 0.$$

Now using (2.5) in (3.13) and after some calculations, we obtain

$$S(U,V) = -2ng(U,V),$$

which implies that the manifold is an Einstein manifold. Conversely, if the manifold is an Einstein manifold, then obviously it becomes  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric manifold. This completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let us assume that divC = 0. Hence

(3.14) 
$$(\nabla_U S)(V, W) - (\nabla_V S)(U, W)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [dr(U)g(V, W) - dr(V)g(U, W)].$$

We know

$$S(U,\xi) = -2n\eta(U).$$

Then

$$(\nabla_U S)(V,\xi) = \nabla_U S(V,\xi) - S(\nabla_U V,\xi) - S(V,\nabla_U \xi).$$

Using (2.5) and (2.8) in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla_U S)(V,\xi) - (\nabla_V S)(U,\xi) = -4nd\eta(U,V).$$

But in an ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifold  $d\eta = 0$ , therefore, the above equation implies that

(3.15) 
$$(\nabla_U S)(V,\xi) - (\nabla_V S)(U,\xi) = 0.$$

Substituting  $W = \xi$  in (3.14) and using (3.15), we have

$$dr(U)\eta(V) - dr(V)\eta(U) = 0.$$

Replacing V by  $\xi$  in the above equation, it follows

(3.16) 
$$dr(U) = dr(\xi)\eta(U).$$

Suppose the scalar curvature is invariant under the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  , that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi}r=0,$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{dr}(\xi) = 0.$$

Hence (3.16) gives r = constant. Therefore from (3.14) we get

 $(\nabla_U S)(V, W) - (\nabla_V S)(U, W) = 0,$ 

which implies

$$(divR)(U,V)W = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- A. BEJANCU and K. L. DUGGAL: Real hypersurfaces of indefinite Kähler manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 16(1993), 545–556.
- A. M. BLAGA: η-Ricci solitons on para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Balkan J. Geom. Applicat. 20(2015), 1-13.
- U. C. DE and A. SARKAR : On (ε)-Kenmotsu manifold, Hardonic J. **32**(2009), 231-242.
- K. L. DUGGAL: Space time manifold and contact structures, Internat. J. Math and Math Sci., 16(1990), 545-553.
- 5. K. L. DUGGAL and R. SHARMA: Symmetries of space time and Riemannian manifolds, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1999.
- 6. L. P. EISENHART: Symmetric tensor of the second order whose first covariant derivatives are zero, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 25(1923), 297-306.
- A. HASEEB and U. C. DE: η-Ricci solitions in (ε)-Kenmotsu manifolds, J. Geom. 110(2019), 1-12.
- 8. A. HASEEB, M. K. KHAN and M. D. SIDDIQI: Some more results on an  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connenction, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae, **LXXXV**(2016), 9-20.
- A. HASEEB: Some results on projective curvature tensor in an (ε)-Kenmotsu manifold, Palestine J. Math. 6(2017), 196-203.
- K. KENMOTSU: A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math J. 24(1972), 93-103.
- R. SHARMA and K. L. DUGGAL: A characterization of an affine conformal vector field, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 7(1985), 201-205.
- 12. R. SHARMA: On the curvature of contact metric manifold, J. Geom. 53(1995), 179-190.
- R. N. SING, S. K. PANDEY, G. PANDEY and K. TIWARI: On a semi-symmetric metric connention in an (ε)-Kenmotsu manifold, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 29(2014), 331-343.
- 14. Τ. TAKAHASI: Sasakian φ-symmetric spaces, Tohoku Math. J. 29(1977), 91-113.
- 15. V. VENKATESHA and S. V. VISHNUVARDHANA: ( $\epsilon$ )-Kenmotsu manifolds admiting a semi-symmetric connection, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. **38**(2017), 615-623.
- X. XUFENG and C. XIAOLI: Two therems on (ε)-Sasakian manifolds, Internat. J. Math. Math Sci., 21(1998), 249-254.

Arpan Sardar Department of Pure Mathematics University of Calcutta 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol- 700019 arpansardar51@gmail.com