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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we study three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds admitting the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Also, we have proved some results on $\phi$-projectively flat, $\xi$-projectively flat and $\xi$-concircularly flat three-dimensional transSasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Locally $\phi$-symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds of dimension three have been studied with respect to Schouten-van Kampen connection. Finally, we construct an example of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold admitting Schouten-van Kampen connection which verifies Theorem 4.1. and Theorem 5.2. Key words: General geometric structures on manifolds, Schouten-van Kampen connection, Special Riemannian manifolds


## 1. Introduction

The Schouten-van Kampen connection is one of the most natural connections adapted to a pair of complementary distributions on a differentiable manifold endowed with an affine connection. Solov'ev investigated hyperdistributions in Riemannian manifolds using the Schouten-van Kampen connection ([18], [19], [20], [21]). In 2014, Olszak studied the Schouten-van Kampen connection to adapt it to an almost contact metric structure [17]. He characterized some classes of almost contact metric manifolds with the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Recently, G. Ghosh [10], Yildiz [26], Nagaraja [15] and D. L. Kiran Kumar [12] have studied the

[^0]Schouten-van Kampen connection in Sasakian manifolds, $f$-Kenmotsu manifolds and Kenmotsu manifolds respectively.

A transformation of an n-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$, which transforms every geodesic circle of $M$ into a geodesic circle, is called a concircular transformation [27], [13]. A concircular transformation is always a conformal transformation [13]. Here geodesic circle means a curve in $M$ whose first curvature is constant and whose second curvature is identically zero. Thus the geometry of concircular transformations, i.e., the concircular geometry, is a generalization of inversive geometry in the sense that the change of metric is more general than that induced by a circle preserving diffeomorphism. An interesting invariant of a concircular transformation is the concircular curvature tensor $\mathbb{W}$ with respect to Levi-Civita connection. It is defined by [27], [28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}(X, Y) Z=R(X, Y) Z-\frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y], \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M), R$ and $r$ are the curvature tensor and the scalar curvature with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.
The concircular curvature tensor $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) Z=\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z-\frac{\tilde{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y], \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{r}$ are the curvature tensor and the scalar curvature with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Riemannian manifolds with vanishing concircular curvature tensor are of constant curvature. Thus the concircular curvature tensor is a measure of the failure of a Riemannian manifold to be of constant curvature.

In 1985, a new class of $n$-dimensional almost contact manifold namely transSasakian manifold was introduced by J. A. Oubina [16] and further study about the local structures of trans-Sasakian manifolds was carried by J. C. Marrero [14]. Trans-Sasakian manifolds of type $(0,0),(\alpha, 0)$ and $(0, \beta)$ are, called the cosymplectic, $\alpha$-Sasakian and $\beta$-Kenmotsu respectively ([2], [11]). In particular, if $\alpha=$ $0, \beta=1 ; \alpha=1, \beta=0$; then a trans-Sasakian manifold becomes Kenmotsu and Sasakian manifolds respectively. Hence, trans-Sasakian structures give a large class of generalized Quasi-Sasakian structures. It has been proven that a trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$ is either cosymplectic or $\alpha$-Sasakian and $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. Three-dimnesional trans-Sasakian manifolds with different restrictions on curvature and smooth functions $\alpha, \beta$ are studied in ([7], [8], [5], [6]).

In the present paper, we have studied three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection.

The present paper is organized as follows: After the introduction in Section 1, we give some required preliminaries in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, scalar curvature of a three-dimensional transSasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Section 4
is devoted to the study of $\xi$-projectively and $\phi$-projectively flat trans-Sasakian manifolds of dimension three with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. In this section, we have proved that a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold admitting the Schouten-van Kampen connection is $\xi$-projectively flat if and only if the scalar curvature of the manifold vanishes. In Section 5, we study $\xi$-concircularly flat trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension three admitting Schouten-van Kampen connection. In the next section, we study locally $\phi$-symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds of dimensional three with respect to Schouten-van Kampen connection. In Section 7, we study Weyl $\xi$-conformally flat in three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. In the last section, we construct an example of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold admitting the Schouten-van Kampen connection to support the results obtained in Section 4 and Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a connected almost contact metric manifold with an almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, that is, $\phi$ is an $(1,1)$ tensor field, $\xi$ is a vector field, $\eta$ is an 1 -form and $g$ is compatible Riemannian metric such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{2} X=-X+\eta(X) \xi, \quad \eta(\xi)=1, \quad \phi \xi=0, \quad \eta \phi=0,  \tag{2.1}\\
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y)  \tag{2.2}\\
g(X, \phi Y)=-g(\phi X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi)=\eta(X) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in T(M)[1]$. The fundamental 2-form $\Phi$ of the manifold is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \phi Y) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y \in T(M)$.
An almost contact metric manifold is normal if $[\phi, \phi](X, Y)+2 d \eta(X, Y) \xi=0$.
An almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ on a manifold $M$ is called transSasakian structure [16] if $(M \times R, J, G)$ belongs to the class $W_{4}$ [9], where $J$ is the almost complex structure on $M \times R$ defined by

$$
J(X, f d / d t)=(\phi X-f \xi, \eta(X) d / d t)
$$

for all vector fields $X$ on $M$, a smooth function $f$ on $M \times R$ and the product metric $G$ on $M \times R$. This may be expressed by the condition [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=\alpha(g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X)+\beta(g(\phi X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \phi X) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for smooth functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $M$. Here $\nabla$ is Levi-Civita connection on $M$. We say $M$ as the trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(\alpha, \beta)$. From (2.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi=-\alpha \phi X+\beta(X-\eta(X) \xi) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=-\alpha g(\phi X, Y)+\beta g(\phi X, \phi Y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold following relations hold [7], [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha \beta+\xi \alpha=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
S(X, Y)=\left\{\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} g(X, Y)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\{\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} \eta(X) \eta(Y)-\{Y \beta+(\phi X) \alpha\} \eta(Y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y) Z= & \left(\frac{r}{2}+2 \xi \beta-2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right)(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y) \\
& -g(Y, Z)\left[\left(\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right) \eta(X) \xi\right. \\
& -\eta(X)(\phi \operatorname{grad} \alpha-\operatorname{grad} \beta)+(X \beta+(\phi X) \alpha) \xi] \\
& +g(X, Z)\left[\left(\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right) \eta(Y) \xi\right. \\
& -\eta(Y)(\phi \operatorname{grad} \alpha-\operatorname{grad} \beta)+(Y \beta+(\phi Y) \alpha) \xi] \\
& -[(Z \beta+(\phi Z) \alpha) \eta(Y)+(Y \beta+(\phi Y) \alpha) \eta(Z) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z)\right] X \\
& +[(Z \beta+(\phi Z) \alpha) \eta(X)+(X \beta+(\phi X) \alpha) \eta(Z) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{r}{2}+\xi \beta-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right) \eta(X) \eta(Z)\right] Y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S$ is the Ricci tensor of type $(0,2)$, and $r$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold $M$ with respect to Levi-Civita connection.

From here after we consider $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants, then the above relations become

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \left\{\frac{r}{2}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \\
& +\left\{\frac{r}{2}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\}[g(X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(Y, Z) \eta(X)] \xi \\
& +\left\{\frac{r}{2}-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\}[\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X] \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
S(X, Y)=\left\{\frac{r}{2}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} g(X, Y)
$$

$$
-\left\{\frac{r}{2}-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} \eta(X) \eta(Y)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, \xi)=2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \eta(X) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q X=\left\{\frac{r}{2}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} X-\left\{\frac{r}{2}-3\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} \eta(X) \xi,  \tag{2.14}\\
R(X, Y) \xi=\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y),  \tag{2.15}\\
R(\xi, X) Y=2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)(g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X) \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.8) it follows that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants, then the manifold is either $\alpha$-Sasakian or $\beta$-Kenmotsu or cosymplectic.

## 3. Curvature tensor of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection

For an almost contact metric manifold $M$, the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-\eta(Y) \nabla_{X} \xi+\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right)(Y) \xi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then from above equation we have
(3.2) $\left.\quad \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\alpha\{\eta(Y) \phi X)-g(\phi X, Y) \xi\right\}+\beta\{g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X\}$.

We define the curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z=\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Z-\tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+\alpha^{2}\{g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y \\
& +\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi\} \\
& +\beta^{2}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking inner product in both sides of (3.4) with $W$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & R(X, Y, Z, W)+\alpha^{2}\{g(\phi Y, Z) g(\phi X, W)-g(\phi X, Z) g(\phi Y, W) \\
& +g(Y, W) \eta(X) \eta(Z)-g(X, W) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(W)+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(W)\} \\
& +\beta^{2}\{g(Y, Z) g(X, W)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W)$.

Taking a frame field from (3.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}(Y, Z)=S(Y, Z)+2 \beta^{2} g(Y, Z)-2 \alpha^{2} \eta(Y) \eta(Z) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From above equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q} Y=Q Y++2 \beta^{2} Y-2 \alpha^{2} \eta(Y) \xi \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again putting $Y=Z=e_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and taking summation over $i$ in (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}=r-2 \alpha^{2}+6 \beta^{2}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{r}$ and $r$ are the scalar curvatures of the Schouten-van Kampen connection $(\tilde{\nabla})$ and Levi-Civita connection $(\nabla)$ respectively.

Hence we have the following :
Proposition 3.1. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection following statements are equivalent
(a) The curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ is given by (3.4),
(b) The Ricci tensor $\tilde{S}$ is given by (3.6),
(c) $\tilde{r}=r-2 \alpha^{2}+6 \beta^{2}$,
(d) The Ricci tensor $\tilde{S}$ is symmetric, provided $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants.

## 4. $\xi$-Projectively and $\phi$-projectively flat trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection

In this section, we study projectively flat three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $M$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. In a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold, the projective curvature tensor with respect to the Schou-ten-van Kampen connection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(X, Y) Z=\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z-\frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{S}(Y, Z) X-\tilde{S}(X, Z) Y\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.1. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $M$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is said to be $\xi$-projectively flat if

$$
\tilde{P}(X, Y) \xi=0
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. This notion was first defined by Tripathi and Dwivedi [22]. If $\tilde{P}(X, Y) \xi=0$, just holds for $X, Y$ orthogonal to $\xi$, we call such a manifold a horizontal $\xi$-projectively flat manifold.

Using (3.4) in (4.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{P}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+\alpha^{2}\{g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y \\
& +\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi\} \\
& +\beta^{2}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{S}(Y, Z) X-\tilde{S}(X, Z) Y\} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Z=\xi$ and using (2.1), (2.3), (2.15) and (3.6) in (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(X, Y) \xi=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can state the following:
Theorem 4.1. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is $\xi$-projectively flat with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection provided $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants.

Again putting (3.6) in (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{P}(X, Y) Z= & P(X, Y) Z+\alpha^{2}\{g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi\} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Z=\xi$ in (4.4) and using (2.1) and (2.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(X, Y) \xi=P(X, Y) \xi \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of above discussion we state the following theorem:
Theorem 4.2. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is $\xi$-projectively flat with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection if and only if the manifold is $\xi$-projectively flat with respect to the Levi-Civita connection provided $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants.

Definition 4.2. A trans-Sasakian manifold $M$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is said to be $\phi$-projectively flat if

$$
\phi^{2} \tilde{P}(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z=0
$$

It can be easily seen that $\phi^{2} \tilde{P}(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z=0$ holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\tilde{P}(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z, \phi W)=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in T(M)$.

Using (4.1) and (4.6), $\phi$-projectively flat means

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\tilde{R}(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z, \phi W)= & \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) g(\phi X, \phi W) \\
& -\tilde{S}(\phi X, \phi Z) g(\phi Y, \phi W)\} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \xi\right\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of the vector fields in $M$ and using the fact that $\left\{\phi e_{1}, \phi e_{2}, \xi\right\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis, putting $X=W=e_{i}$ in (4.7) and summing up with respect to $i$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} g\left(\tilde{R}\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi Y\right) \phi Z, \phi e_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\tilde{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) g\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi e_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\tilde{S}\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi Z\right) g\left(\phi Y, \phi e_{i}\right)\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (3.5) it can be easily verified that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} g\left(\tilde{R}\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi Y\right) \phi Z, \phi e_{i}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{2} g\left(R\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi Y\right) \phi Z, \phi e_{i}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g(Y, Z)+\left(\beta^{2}-3 \alpha^{2}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \\
= & S(\phi Y, \phi Z)+\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g(Y, Z)  \tag{4.9}\\
& +\left(\beta^{2}-3 \alpha^{2}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} g\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi e_{i}\right) & =2  \tag{4.11}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{S}\left(\phi e_{i}, \phi Z\right) g\left(\phi Y, \phi e_{i}\right) & =\tilde{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), the equation (4.8) becomes
(4.13) $\tilde{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z)=2\left\{S(\phi Y, \phi Z)+\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g(Y, Z)+\left(\beta^{2}-3 \alpha^{2}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z)\right\}$.

Using (3.6) in (4.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\phi Y, \phi Z)=-2 \alpha^{2} g(Y, Z)+2\left(3 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $Y=\phi Y$ and $Z=\phi Z$ in (4.13) and using (2.1) (2.2) and (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y, Z)=-2 \alpha^{2} g(Y, Z)+2\left(2 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let $S$ be of the form (4.14), then obviously

$$
g(\tilde{P}(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z, \phi W)=0
$$

Thus we can state the following:
Theorem 4.3. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold admitting the Schouten-van Kampen connection is $\phi$-projectively flat if and only if the manifold is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants with $\beta \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \alpha,(\alpha \neq 0)$.

## 5. $\xi$-Concircularly flat trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection

Definition 5.1. A trans-Sasakian manifold $M$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is said to be $\xi$-concircularly flat if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) \xi=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M), \chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on $M$.

Theorem 5.1. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is horizontally $\xi$-concircularly flat if and only if the manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection is also $\xi$-concircular flat provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants.

Proof. Combining (1.1),(1.2) and using (3.4), (3.6) (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) Z=\mathbb{W}(X, Y) Z+\alpha^{2}\{g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y \\
-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi \\
-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y\} \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Putting $Z=\xi$ in (5.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) \xi=\mathbb{W}(X, Y) \xi+\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{3}\{\eta(X) Y-\eta(Y) X\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.3), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) \xi=\mathbb{W}(X, Y) \xi ; \quad \text { for all } X, Y \text { orthogonal to } \xi \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the proof of theorem is complete.
Theorem 5.2. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is $\xi$-concircularly flat with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection if and only if the scalar curvature $\tilde{r}$ is zero, provided $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants.

Proof. Putting $Z=\xi$ in (1.2) and using (2.1), (2.3), (2.3), (2.15) and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y) \xi=-\frac{\tilde{r}}{6}\{\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y\} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the theorem is proved.
6. Locally $\phi$-symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection

Definition 6.1. A trans-Sasakian manifold $M$ with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is called to be locally $\phi$-symmetric if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ orthogonal to $\xi$ on $M$. This notion was introduced by Takahashi [24], for Sasakian manifolds.

We know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z= & \tilde{\nabla}_{W}(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z)-\tilde{R}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} X, Y\right) Z \\
& -R\left(X, \tilde{\nabla}_{W} Y\right) Z-\tilde{R}(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}_{W} Z \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (3.1), above equation is reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z= & \left(\nabla_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z+\eta(X) \tilde{R}\left(\nabla_{W} \xi, Y\right) Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(X) \tilde{R}(\xi, Y) Z \\
& +\eta(Y) \tilde{R}\left(X, \nabla_{W} \xi\right) Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Y) \tilde{R}(X, \xi) Z \\
& +\eta(Z) \tilde{R}(X, Y) \nabla_{W} Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Z) \tilde{R}(X, Y) \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Now differentiating (3.4) with respect to $W$, using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z= & \left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y) Z \\
& +\alpha^{3}[\{g(X, Y) g(\phi Y, Z)-g(W, Y) g(\phi X, Z)\} \xi \\
& +\{g(\phi X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(\phi Y, Z) \eta(X)\} W] \\
& +\alpha^{2} \beta[\{g(\phi W, X) g(\phi Y, Z)-g(\phi W, Y) g(\phi X, Z)\} \xi \\
& +\{g(\phi X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(\phi Y, Z) \eta(X)\} \phi W] \\
& +\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)[\{\alpha(g(\phi W, Y) X-g(\phi W, X) Y) \\
& \left.-\beta^{2}(g(\phi W, \phi Y) X+g(\phi W, \phi X) Y)\right\} \eta(Z) \\
& +(\beta g(\phi W, \phi Z)-\alpha g(\phi W, Z))(\eta(X) Y-\eta(Y) X)] \\
& +\alpha^{2}(g(X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(Y, Z) \eta(X))(-\alpha \phi W+\beta(W-\eta(W) \xi)) \\
& -\alpha^{2}[-\alpha(g(Y, Z) g(\phi W, X)+g(X, Z) g(\phi W, Y)) \\
& +\beta(g(Y, Z) g(\phi W, \phi X)+g(X, Z) g(\phi W, \phi Y))] \xi \\
& +\beta^{2}[\{-\alpha(g(W, \phi Z) \eta(Y)+g(W, \phi Y) \eta(Z)) \\
& -\beta(g(\phi W, \phi Z) \eta(Y)+g(\phi W, \phi Y) \eta(Z))\} X \\
& +\{\alpha(g(W, \phi Z) \eta(X)+g(W, \phi X) \eta(Z)) \\
& -\beta(g(\phi W, \phi Z) \eta(X)+g(\phi W, \phi X) \eta(Z))\} Y] . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (6.4) in (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z= & \left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y) Z \\
& +\alpha^{3}[\{g(X, Y) g(\phi Y, Z)-g(W, Y) g(\phi X, Z)\} \xi \\
& +\{g(\phi X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(\phi Y, Z) \eta(X)\} W] \\
& +\alpha^{2} \beta[\{g(\phi W, X) g(\phi Y, Z)-g(\phi W, Y) g(\phi X, Z)\} \xi \\
& +\{g(\phi X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(\phi Y, Z) \eta(X)\} \phi W] \\
& +\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)[\{\alpha(g(\phi W, Y) X-g(\phi W, X) Y) \\
& \left.-\beta^{2}(g(\phi W, \phi Y) X+g(\phi W, \phi X) Y)\right\} \eta(Z) \\
& +(\beta g(\phi W, \phi Z)-\alpha g(\phi W, Z))(\eta(X) Y-\eta(Y) X)] \\
& +\alpha^{2}(g(X, Z) \eta(Y)-g(Y, Z) \eta(X))(-\alpha \phi W+\beta(W-\eta(W) \xi)) \\
& -\alpha^{2}[-\alpha(g(Y, Z) g(\phi W, X)+g(X, Z) g(\phi W, Y)) \\
& +\beta(g(Y, Z) g(\phi W, \phi X)+g(X, Z) g(\phi W, \phi Y))] \xi \\
& +\beta^{2}[\{-\alpha(g(W, \phi Z) \eta(Y)+g(W, \phi Y) \eta(Z)) \\
& -\beta(g(\phi W, \phi Z) \eta(Y)+g(\phi W, \phi Y) \eta(Z))\} X \\
& +\{\alpha(g(W, \phi Z) \eta(X)+g(W, \phi X) \eta(Z)) \\
& -\beta(g(\phi W, \phi Z) \eta(X)+g(\phi W, \phi X) \eta(Z))\} Y] \\
& +\eta(X) \tilde{R}\left(\nabla_{W} \xi, Y\right) Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(X) \tilde{R}(\xi, Y) Z \\
& +\eta(Y) \tilde{R}\left(X, \nabla_{W} \xi\right) Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Y) \tilde{R}(X, \xi) Z \\
& +\eta(Z) \tilde{R}(X, Y) \nabla_{W} Z+\left(\nabla_{W} \eta\right)(Z) \tilde{R}(X, Y) \xi . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now applying $\phi^{2}$ on both sides of (6.5) and taking $X, Y, Z, W$ are orthogonal to $\xi$ and using (2.1), (2.3) we get from above equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{W} \tilde{R}\right)(X, Y) Z=\phi^{2}\left(\nabla_{W} R\right)(X, Y) Z . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can state the following:
Theorem 6.1. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is locally $\phi$-symmetry with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if and only if the manifold is also locally $\phi$-symmetry with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants.
U. C. De and Avijit Sarkar [7] have proved that a trans-Sasakian manifold is locally $\phi$-symmetry if and only if the scalar curvature is constant provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants.

In view of above result we can state the following:
Theorem 6.2. A three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is locally $\phi$-symmetric with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if and only if the scalar curvature is constant, provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants.

## 7. Weyl conformally flat trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to Schouten-van Kampen connection

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor $\tilde{C}$ of type $(1,3)$ of $M$, an $n$-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by [23]

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{C}(X, Y) Z= & \tilde{R}(X, Y) Z-\frac{1}{n-2}[\tilde{S}(Y, Z) X-\tilde{S}(X, Z) Y+g(Y, Z) \tilde{Q} X \\
& -g(X, Z) \tilde{Q} Y]+\frac{\tilde{r}}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{Q}$ is the Ricci operator with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection.
Let us consider that a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is Weyl conformally flat, that is $\tilde{C}=0$. Then from (7.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & {[\tilde{S}(Y, Z) X-\tilde{S}(X, Z) Y+g(Y, Z) \tilde{Q} X} \\
& -g(X, Z) \tilde{Q} Y]-\frac{\tilde{r}}{2}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us take inner product of the equation (7.2) with $W$. Then we get
$g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W)=[\tilde{S}(Y, Z) g(X, W)-\tilde{S}(X, Z) g(Y, W)+g(Y, Z) g(\tilde{Q} X, W)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g(X, Z) g(\tilde{Q} Y, W)]-\frac{\tilde{r}}{2}[g(Y, Z) g(X, W)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)] \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.1), (2.3), (3.5)-(3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W)=[S(Y, Z) g(X, W)-S(X, Z) g(Y, W)+g(Y, Z) g(Q X, W) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $X=W=\xi$ in (7.4) and using (2.1) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\tilde{R}(\xi, Y) Z, \xi)= & {[S(Y, Z)-S(\xi, Z) \eta(Y)+g(Y, Z) S(\xi, \xi)} \\
& -\eta(Z) S(Y, \xi)]-\frac{r}{2}[g(Y, Z)-\eta(Z) \eta(Y)], \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(Q Y, Z)=S(Y, Z)$.

Now, using (2.13) and (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Y, Z)=\frac{r}{2} g(Y, Z)+\left[6\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)-\frac{r}{2}\right] \eta(Y) \eta(Z) . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
S(Y, Z)=a g(Y, Z)+b \eta(Y) \eta(Z)
$$

where $a=\frac{r}{2}$ and $b=\left[6\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)-\frac{r}{2}\right]$.
This shows that the manifold $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

Thus we can state the following:
Theorem 7.1. A three-dimensional Weyl conformally flat trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold provided $\alpha, \beta$ are constants with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

## 8. Example of a three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen Connection

In this section, we wanted to construct an example of a three-dimensional transSasakian manifold with respect to Schouten-van Kampen connection.

We have considered the three-dimensional manifold $M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in R^{3}, z \neq 0\right\}$, where $(x, y, z)$ are the standard coordinates in $R^{3}$. The vector fields

$$
e_{1}=e^{-z}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \quad e_{2}=e^{-z}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \quad e_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z},
$$

are linearly independent at each point of $M$. Let $g$ be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$
g\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=g\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=g\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=0, \quad g\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=g\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=g\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=1
$$

Let $\eta$ be the 1 -form defined by $\eta(Z)=g\left(Z, e_{3}\right)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let $\phi$ be the $(1,1)$ tensor field defined by $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}, \phi\left(e_{2}\right)=-e_{1}, \phi\left(e_{3}\right)=0$. Then using the linearity of $\phi$ and $g$ we have

$$
\eta\left(e_{3}\right)=1, \quad \phi^{2} Z=-Z+\eta(Z) e_{3}, \quad g(\phi Z, \phi W)=g(Z, W)-\eta(Z) \eta(W)
$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M)$. Thus for $e_{3}=\xi,(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines an almost contact metric structure on $M$. Now, by direct computations we obtain

$$
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=0, \quad\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=e_{2}, \quad\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=e_{1}
$$

The Riemannian connection $\nabla$ of the metric tensor $g$ is given by the Koszul's formula which is

$$
\begin{align*}
2 g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right) & =X g(Y, Z)+Y g(Z, X)-Z g(X, Y) \\
& -g(X,[Y, Z])-g(Y,[X, Z])+g(Z,[X, Y]) \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By Koszul formula

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{3}=e_{1}, & \nabla_{e_{1}} e_{2}=0, & \nabla_{e_{1}} e_{1}=-e_{3}, \\
\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{3}=e_{2}, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{2}=-e_{3}, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=0, \\
\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{3}=0, & \nabla_{e_{3}} e_{2}=0, & \nabla_{e_{3}} e_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

From above we see that the manifold satisfies (2.6) for $\alpha=0, \beta=1$, and $e_{3}=\xi$. Hence the manifold is a trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(0,1)$. With the help of the above results it can be verified that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}=0, & R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=-e_{2}, & R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=-e_{1}, \\
R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}=-e_{1}, & R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=e_{3}, & R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=0 \\
R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{1}=e_{2}, & R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=0, & R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=e_{3}
\end{array}
$$

Now we consider the Schouten-Van Kampen connection to this example.

Using (3.2) and above result we have
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{3}=(1-\beta) e_{1}+\alpha e_{2}$,
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{2}=-\alpha e_{3}$,
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{1}=(\beta-1) e_{3}$,
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} e_{3}=-\alpha e_{1}+(1-\beta) e_{2}$
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} e_{2}=(\beta-1) e_{3}$,
$\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=0$,
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{3}} e_{3}=0$
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{3}} e_{2}=-\beta e_{2}$,
$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{3}} e_{1}=-\beta e_{1}$.

Using (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}=0, & \tilde{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=\left(\beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}-1\right) e_{2}, \\
\tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=\left(\beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}-1\right) e_{1}, & \tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}=\alpha^{2} e_{2}+\left(\beta^{2}+\alpha^{2}-1\right) e_{1}, \\
\tilde{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=\left(-\beta^{2}+\alpha^{2}+1\right) e_{3}, & \tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=0, \\
\tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{1}=\left(1-\beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) e_{2}, & \tilde{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=0, \\
\tilde{R}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) e_{3} . &
\end{array}
$$

From the above expressions of the curvature tensor we obtain

$$
S\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} g\left(R\left(e_{i}, e_{1}\right) e_{1}, e_{i}\right)=-2 .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
S\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=-2 \quad \text { and } \quad S\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=-2 .
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{S}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\tilde{S}\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=2\left(\beta^{2}-1\right) \quad \tilde{S}\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=2\left(\beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}-1\right) . \\
r=-6 \quad \tilde{r}=6 \beta^{2}-2 \alpha^{2}-6 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From above we see that $\tilde{r}=0$ for $\alpha=0, \beta=1$. Therefore, the manifold under consideration satisfies the Theorem 5.2.
Using (4.1) and above relations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}=P\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=P\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=0 \\
& \tilde{P}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}=\tilde{P}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=\tilde{P}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the manifold will be $\xi$-projectively flat on a three-dimensional transSasakian manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection which varifies the Theorem 4.1.
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