

SOME CURVATURE PROPERTIES ON PARACONTACT METRIC
 (k, μ) -MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SCHOUTEN-VAN
KAMPEN CONNECTION

Ahmet Yıldız¹ and Selcen Yüksel Perktaş²

¹ Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics,
Malatya, Turkey

² Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics,
Adıyaman, Turkey

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to characterize paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds satisfying certain semisymmetry curvature conditions with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection.

Key words: Paracontact metric $(k; \mu)$ -manifolds; Schouten-van Kampen connection; h -projective semisymmetric; ϕ -projective semisymmetric.

1. Introduction

Paracontact metric structures have been introduced in [5], as a natural odd-dimensional counterpart to para-Hermitian structures, like contact metric structures correspond to the Hermitian ones. Paracontact metric manifolds have been studied by many authors in the recent years, particularly since the appearance of [19]. An important class among paracontact metric manifolds is that of the (k, μ) -manifolds, which satisfies the nullity condition [2]

$$(1.1) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY),$$

Received September 15, 2020; accepted October 10, 2020

Communicated by Uday Chand De

Corresponding Author: Ahmet Yıldız, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, Malatya, Turkey | E-mail: a.yildiz@inonu.edu.tr

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53B30, 53C15, 53C25, 53C50; Secondary 53D10, 53D15

for all X, Y vector fields on M , where k and μ are constants and $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$. This class includes the para-Sasakian manifolds [5, 19], the paracontact metric manifolds satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ for all X, Y [20].

Among the geometric properties of manifolds symmetry is an important one. From the local point view it was introduced by Shirokov as a Riemannian manifold with covariant constant curvature tensor R , that is, with $\nabla R = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. An extensive theory of symmetric Riemannian manifolds was introduced by Cartan in 1927. A manifold is called semisymmetric if the curvature tensor R satisfies $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, where $R(X, Y)$ is considered to be a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for the tangent vectors X, Y . Semisymmetric manifolds were locally classified by Szabó [16]. Also in [17] and [18], Yıldız and De studied h -Weyl semisymmetric, ϕ -Weyl semisymmetric, h -projectively semisymmetric and ϕ -projectively semisymmetric non-Sasakian (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds and paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds respectively. Recently Mandal and De have studied certain curvature conditions on paracontact (k, μ) -spaces [6].

The projective curvature tensor is an important tensor from the differential geometric point of view. Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold with metric g . The Ricci operator Q of (M, g) is defined by $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$, where S denotes the Ricci tensor of type $(0, 2)$ on M . If there exists a one-to-one correspondence between each coordinate neighbourhood of M and a domain in Euclidian space such that any geodesic of the semi-Riemannian manifold corresponds to a straight line in the Euclidean space, then M is said to be locally projectively flat. For $n \geq 1$, M is locally projectively flat if and only if the well known projective curvature tensor P vanishes. Here P is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n}\{S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y\},$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in T(M)$, where R is the curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor.

In fact M is projectively flat if and only if it is of constant curvature. Thus the projective curvature tensor is the measure of the failure of a semi-Riemannian manifold to be of constant curvature.

A paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold is said to be an Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor satisfies $S = \lambda_1 g$, and an η -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor satisfies $S = \lambda_1 g + \lambda_2 \eta \otimes \eta$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are constants.

On the other hand, the Schouten-van Kampen connection is one of the most natural connections adapted to a pair of complementary distributions on a differentiable manifold endowed with an affine connection [1, 4, 10]. Solov'ev has investigated hyperdistributions in Riemannian manifolds using the Schouten-van Kampen connection [12, 13, 14, 15]. Then Olszak has studied the Schouten-van Kampen connection to adapted to an almost (para) contact metric structure [8]. He has characterized some classes of almost (para) contact metric manifolds with the Schouten-van Kampen connection and he has found certain curvature properties of this connection on these manifolds

In the present paper we have studied certain curvature properties of a paracontact metric (k, μ) -space. The outline of the article goes as follows: After introduction, in Section 2, we recall basic facts which we will need throughout the paper. Section 3 deals with some basic results of paracontact metric manifolds with characteristic vector field ξ belonging to the (k, μ) -nullity distribution with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. In section 4, we characterize paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds satisfying some semisymmetry curvature conditions. We prove that a h -projectively semisymmetric and ϕ -projectively semisymmetric paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, respectively. In the all cases we assume that $k \neq -1$.

2. Preliminaries

An $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold M is said to have an almost paracontact structure if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\eta(\xi) = 1$, $\phi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi$,
- (ii) the tensor field ϕ induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre of $\mathcal{D} = \ker(\eta)$, i.e. the ± 1 -eigendistributions, $\mathcal{D}^\pm = \mathcal{D}_\phi(\pm 1)$ of ϕ have equal dimension n .

From the definition it follows that $\phi\xi = 0$, $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ and the endomorphism ϕ has rank $2n$. The Nijenhuis torsion tensor field $[\phi, \phi]$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad [\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y].$$

When the tensor field $N_\phi = [\phi, \phi] - 2d\eta \otimes \xi$ vanishes identically the almost paracontact manifold is said to be *normal*. If an almost paracontact manifold admits a pseudo-Riemannian metric g such that

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, then we say that (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an *almost paracontact metric manifold*. Notice that any such a pseudo-Riemannian metric is necessarily of signature $(n + 1, n)$. For an almost paracontact metric manifold, there always exists an orthogonal basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \xi\}$, such that $g(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $g(Y_i, Y_j) = -\delta_{ij}$, $g(X_i, Y_j) = 0$, $g(\xi, X_i) = g(\xi, Y_j) = 0$, and $Y_i = \phi X_i$, for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Such basis is called a ϕ -basis.

We can now define the fundamental form of the almost paracontact metric manifold by $\theta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$. If $d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$, then (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be paracontact metric manifold. In a paracontact metric manifold one defines a symmetric, trace-free operator $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$, where \mathcal{L}_ξ denotes the Lie derivative. It

is known [19] that h anti-commutes with ϕ and satisfies $h\xi = 0$, $trh = trh\phi = 0$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi hX,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, \phi Y) - g(hX, \phi Y),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Let R be Riemannian curvature operator

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

Moreover $h = 0$ if and only if ξ is Killing vector field. In this case (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be a K -paracontact manifold. A normal paracontact metric manifold is called a para-Sasakian manifold. Also, in this context the para-Sasakian condition implies the K -paracontact condition and the converse holds only in dimension 3. We also recall that any para-Sasakian manifold satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X.$$

3. Paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection

Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a paracontact manifold. The (k, μ) -nullity distribution of a (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) for the pair (k, μ) is a distribution

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N(k, \mu) : p &\rightarrow N_p(k, \mu) \\ &= \left\{ Z \in T_p M \mid \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= k(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) \\ &+ \mu(g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY) \end{aligned} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for some real constants k and μ . If the characteristic vector field ξ belongs to the (k, μ) -nullity distribution we have (3.1). [2] is a complete study of paracontact metric manifolds for which the Reeb vector field of the underlying contact structure satisfies a nullity condition (the condition (3.1), for some real numbers k and μ).

Lemma 3.1. [2] *Let M be a paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold of dimension $2n + 1$. Then the following holds:*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h)Y - (\nabla_Y h)X &= -(1+k)(2g(X, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X) \\ &+ (1-\mu)(\eta(X)\phi hY - \eta(Y)\phi hX), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \phi h)Y - (\nabla_Y \phi h)X &= (1+k)(\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X) \\ &+ (\mu-1)(\eta(X)hY - \eta(Y)hX), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi + g(hX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \quad k \neq -1,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

Lemma 3.2. [2] *In any $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) such that $k \neq -1$, the Ricci operator Q is given by*

$$(3.5) \quad Q = (2(1 - n) + n\mu)I + (2(n - 1) + \mu)h + (2(n - 1) + n(2k - \mu))\eta \otimes \xi.$$

On the other hand, we have two naturally defined distribution in the tangent bundle TM of M as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad H = \ker \eta, \quad V = \text{span}\{\xi\}.$$

Then we have $TM = H \oplus V$, $H \cap V = \{0\}$ and $H \perp V$. This decomposition allows one to define the Schoutenvan Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ over an almost contact metric structure. The Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on an almost (para) contact metric manifold with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ is defined by [12]

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi + (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi.$$

Thus with the help of the Schouten-van Kampen connection (3.7), many properties of some geometric objects connected with the distributions H , V can be characterized [12, 13, 14]. For example g , ξ and η are parallel with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$, that is, $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0, \tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \tilde{\nabla}\eta = 0$. Also, the torsion \tilde{T} of $\tilde{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{T}(X, Y) = \eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi.$$

Now we consider a paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Firstly, using (2.3) and (2.4) in (3.7), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(Y)\phi hX + g(X, \phi Y)\xi - g(hX, \phi Y)\xi.$$

Let R and \tilde{R} be the curvature tensors of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the Schouten-van Kampen connection $\tilde{\nabla}$,

$$(3.10) \quad R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}, \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y) = [\tilde{\nabla}_X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y] - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}.$$

If we substitute equation (3.7) in the definition of the Riemannian curvature tensor

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

Using (3.9) in (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{\nabla}_X(\nabla_Y Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y - \eta(Z)\phi hY \\ &\quad + g(Y, \phi Z)\xi - g(hY, \phi Z)\xi) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\nabla}_Y(\nabla_X Z - \eta(Z)\phi X - \eta(Z)\phi hX \\ &\quad + g(X, \phi Z)\xi - g(hX, \phi Z)\xi) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{[X, Y]}Z + \eta(Z)\phi[X, Y] - \eta(Z)\phi h[X, Y] \\ &\quad + g([X, Y], \phi Z)\xi - g(h[X, Y], \phi Z)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) in (3.12), we obtain the following formula connecting R and \tilde{R} on M

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + g(hY, \phi Z)\phi X \\
 &\quad - g(hX, \phi Z)\phi Y + g(Y, \phi Z)\phi hX - g(X, \phi Z)\phi hY \\
 &\quad + g(hX, \phi Z)\phi hY - g(hY, \phi Z)\phi hX \\
 (3.13) \quad &\quad + (k+1)(g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi) \\
 &\quad + k(\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X) \\
 &\quad + (\mu-1)(g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi) \\
 &\quad + \mu(\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the inner product in (3.13) with a vector field W , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, W) \\
 &\quad + g(hY, \phi Z)g(\phi X, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) \\
 &\quad + g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi hX, W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi hY, W) \\
 (3.14) \quad &\quad + g(hX, \phi Z)g(\phi hY, W) - g(hY, \phi Z)g(\phi hX, W) \\
 &\quad + (k+1)(g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W)) \\
 &\quad + k(g(Y, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z) - g(X, W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)) \\
 &\quad + (\mu-1)(g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) - g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W)) \\
 &\quad + \mu(g(hY, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z) - g(hX, W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take $X = W = e_i$, $\{i = 1, \dots, 2n+1\}$, in (3.14), where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{S}(Y, Z) &= S(Y, Z) - (k+2)g(Y, Z) \\
 (3.15) \quad &\quad + (k+2-2nk)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - (\mu-1)g(hY, Z),
 \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{S} and S denote the Ricci tensor of the connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively. As a consequence of (3.15), we get for the Ricci operator \tilde{Q}

$$(3.16) \quad \tilde{Q}Y = QY - (k+2)Y + (k+2-2nk)\eta(Y)\xi - (\mu-1)hY,$$

Also if we take $Y = Z = e_i$, $\{i = 1, \dots, 2n+1\}$, in (3.16), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \tilde{r} = r - 4n(k+1),$$

where \tilde{r} and r denote the scalar curvatures of the connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively.

4. Some semisymmetry curvature conditions on paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds

In this section we study some semisymmetry curvature conditions on paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Firstly we give the following:

Definition 4.1. A semi-Riemannian manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) , $n > 1$, is said to be h -projectively semisymmetric if

$$(4.1) \quad P(X, Y) \cdot h = 0,$$

holds on M .

Let M be a h -projectively semisymmetric paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold ($k \neq -1$) with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Then above equation is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{P}(X, Y)hZ - h\tilde{P}(X, Y)Z = 0.$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$. Thus we write

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{R}(X, Y)hZ - h\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z \\ & - \frac{1}{2n} \{ \tilde{S}(Y, hZ)X - \tilde{S}(X, hZ)Y - \tilde{S}(Y, Z)hX + \tilde{S}(X, Z)hY \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.13) in (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & R(X, Y)hZ - hR(X, Y)Z + g(X, \phi hZ)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi hZ)\phi X \\ & - g(hY, h\phi Z)\phi X + g(hX, h\phi Z)\phi Y + g(Y, \phi hZ)\phi hX \\ & - g(X, \phi hZ)\phi hY - g(hX, h\phi Z)\phi hY + g(hY, h\phi Z)\phi hX \\ & + (k+1)\{g(X, hZ)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, hZ)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ & + (\mu-1)\{g(hX, hZ)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, hZ)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ & - g(X, \phi Z)h\phi Y + g(Y, \phi Z)h\phi X - g(hY, \phi Z)h\phi X \\ & + g(hX, \phi Z)h\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)h\phi hX + g(X, \phi Z)h\phi hY \\ & - g(hX, \phi Z)h\phi hY + g(hY, \phi Z)h\phi hX \\ & - k\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX\} \\ & - \mu\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)h^2Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)h^2X\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2n}\{S(Y, hZ)X - S(X, hZ)Y - S(Y, Z)hX + S(X, Z)hY \\ & - (k+2)[g(Y, hZ)X - g(X, hZ)Y + g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY] \\ & + (\mu-1)[g(hX, hZ)Y - g(hY, hZ)X + g(hY, Z)hX - g(hX, Z)hY] \\ & + (k+2-2nk)[\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX]\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Yıldız and De [18] proved that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)hZ - hR(X, Y)Z &= \mu(k+1)\{g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ & + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} \\ & + k\{g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ & + \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX \\ & + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi hX - g(\phi X, Z)\phi hY\} \\ & + (\mu+k)\{g(\phi hX, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi hY, Z)\phi X\} \\ & + 2\mu g(\phi X, Y)\phi hZ. \end{aligned}$$

Again using (4.5) in (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(k+1)\{g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} \\
& + k\{g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY \\
& - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX - g(\phi Y, Z)h\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)h\phi Y\} \\
& - (\mu+k)\{g(h\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(h\phi Y, Z)\phi X\} \\
& - 2\mu g(\phi X, Y)h\phi Z - g(X, h\phi Z)\phi Y + g(Y, h\phi Z)\phi X \\
& - (k+1)[g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X - g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(X, \phi Z)h\phi Y \\
(4.6) \quad & + g(Y, \phi Z)h\phi X - g(X, hZ)\eta(Y)\xi + g(Y, hZ)\eta(X)\xi] \\
& + g(Y, h\phi Z)h\phi X - g(X, h\phi Z)h\phi Y \\
& + (\mu-1)(k+1)\{g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\
& - g(X, \phi Z)h\phi Y + g(Y, \phi Z)h\phi X - g(hY, \phi Z)h\phi X + g(hX, \phi Z)h\phi Y \\
& + (k+1)[g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X - g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y + g(hX, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(hY, \phi Z)\phi X] \\
& - k\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX\} - \mu(k+1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} \\
& - \frac{1}{2n}\{S(Y, hZ)X - S(X, hZ)Y - S(Y, Z)hX + S(X, Z)hY \\
& - (k+2)[g(Y, hZ)X - g(X, hZ)Y + g(X, Z)hY - g(Y, Z)hX] \\
& + (\mu-1)(k+1)[g(X, Z)Y - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X] \\
& - (k+2-2nk)[\eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX - \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY] \\
& + (\mu-1)[g(hY, Z)hX + g(hX, Z)hY] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu\{g(h\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) - g(h\phi X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) + 2(X, \phi Y)g(h\phi Z, W)\} \\
& + (k+1)\{g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W)\} \\
& + g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) - g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) \\
& - \frac{1}{2n}\{S(Y, hZ)g(X, W) - S(X, hZ)g(Y, W) \\
& + S(X, Z)g(hY, W) - S(Y, Z)g(hX, W) \\
(4.7) \quad & - (k+2)[g(Y, hZ)g(X, W) - g(X, hZ)g(Y, W) \\
& + g(X, Z)g(hY, W) - g(Y, Z)g(hX, W)] \\
& - (\mu-1)(k+1)[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
& + g(Y, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] \\
& - (k+2-2nk)[g(hX, W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - g(hY, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z)] \\
& + (\mu-1)[g(hY, Z)g(hX, W) + g(hX, Z)g(hY, W)] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = W = e_i$ in (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(k+1)g(hZ, Y) + \mu(k+1)\{g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} - g(hY, Z) \\
& - \frac{1}{2n}\{(2n+1)[S(hY, Z) - (k+2)g(Y, hZ)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(\mu - 1)(k + 1)(g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)) \\ & + (k + 2)g(Y, hZ) + 2(\mu - 1)(k + 1)[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] \\ & - (k + 2)g(hY, Z) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again putting $Y = hY$ in (4.8) and using $h^2 = (k + 1)\phi^2$, we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (k + 1)\{[2n\mu(k + 1) - 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2)]g(Y, Z) \\ & - [2n\mu(k + 1) - 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2) - (2n + 1)2nk]\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\ & + [2n\mu + (2n + 1)(\mu - 1) - 2(\mu - 1)]g(hY, Z) \\ & - (2n + 1)S(Y, Z)\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As well known that

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} g(hY, Z) &= \frac{1}{(2(n - 1) + \mu)}S(Y, Z) - \frac{(2(1 - n) + n\mu)}{2(n - 1) + \mu}g(Y, Z) \\ & - \frac{(2(n - 1) + n(2k - \mu))}{2(n - 1) + \mu}\eta(Y)\eta(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence using (4.10) in (4.9), we get

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & (k + 1)\{[2n\mu(k + 1) - 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2)]g(Y, Z) \\ & - [2n\mu(k + 1) - 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2) - (2n + 1)2nk]\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\ & + [2n\mu + (2n + 1)(\mu - 1) - 2(\mu - 1)]\left\{\frac{1}{(2(n - 1) + \mu)}S(Y, Z) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(2(1 - n) + n\mu)}{2(n - 1) + \mu}g(Y, Z) - \frac{(2(n - 1) + n(2k - \mu))}{2(n - 1) + \mu}\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\right\} \\ & \left. - (2n + 1)S(Y, Z)\right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence one can write

$$(4.12) \quad S(Y, Z) = \frac{A_1}{A}g(Y, Z) + \frac{A_2}{A}\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 2n\mu(k + 1) - 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2) \\ & - [2n\mu + (2n + 1)(\mu - 1) - 2(\mu - 1)]\frac{(2(1 - n) + n\mu)}{2(n - 1) + \mu}, \\ A_2 &= -2n\mu(k + 1) + 2n + (2n + 1)(k + 2) + (2n + 1)2nk \\ & - [2n\mu + (2n + 1)(\mu - 1) - 2(\mu - 1)]\frac{(2(n - 1) + n(2k - \mu))}{2(n - 1) + \mu}, \\ A &= 2n + 1 - [2n\mu + (2n + 1)(\mu - 1) - 2(\mu - 1)]\frac{1}{(2(n - 1) + \mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (4.12) it follows that the manifold M is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Thus we have the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional h -projectively semisymmetric paracontact (k, μ) -manifold ($k \neq -1$) with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Then the manifold M is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection provided $\mu \neq 2(1 - n)$.*

Definition 4.2. A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M^{2n+1}, g), n > 1$, is said to be ϕ -projectively semisymmetric if

$$(4.13) \quad P(X, Y) \cdot \phi = 0 = 0,$$

holds on M for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

Let M be a ϕ -projectively semisymmetric paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifold ($k \neq -1$) with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Then above equation is equivalent to

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{P}(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi\tilde{P}(X, Y)Z = 0,$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$. Thus we have

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{R}(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z \\ & - \frac{1}{2n}\{\tilde{S}(Y, \phi Z)X - \tilde{S}(X, \phi Z)Y - \tilde{S}(Y, Z)\phi X + \tilde{S}(X, Z)\phi Y\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.13) in (4.15), we get

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & R(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X, Y)Z + g(X, Z)\phi Y - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y \\ & - g(Y, Z)\phi X + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X + g(hY, Z)\phi X - g(hX, Z)\phi Y \\ & + g(Y, Z)\phi hX - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi hX - g(X, Z)\phi hY + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi hY \\ & + g(hX, Z)\phi hY - g(hY, Z)\phi hX \\ & + (k + 1)\{g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ & + (\mu - 1)\{g(hX, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, \phi Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\ & - g(X, \phi Z)Y + g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi + g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)\xi \\ & - g(hY, \phi Z)X + g(hY, \phi Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(hX, \phi Z)Y - g(hX, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ & - g(Y, \phi Z)hX + g(X, \phi Z)hY - g(hX, \phi Z)hY + g(hY, \phi Z)hX \\ & - k\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X\} - \mu\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi hX\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2n}\{S(Y, \phi Z)X - S(X, \phi Z)Y - S(Y, Z)\phi X + S(X, Z)\phi Y \\ & - (k + 2)[g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(X, \phi Z)Y + g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\phi X] \\ & - (\mu - 1)[g(hY, \phi Z)X - g(hX, \phi Z)Y] \\ & - (k + 2 - 2nk)[\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y] \\ & + (\mu - 1)[g(hY, Z)\phi X - g(hX, Z)\phi Y\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In [18], Yıldız and De proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X, Y)Z &= g(X, \phi Z)Y - g(Y, \phi Z)X + g(Y, Z)\phi X \\
 &\quad - g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(X, \phi Z)hY + g(Y, \phi Z)hX \\
 &\quad + g(hY, \phi Z)X - g(hX, \phi Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\phi hX \\
 &\quad + g(X, Z)\phi hY - g(hY, Z)\phi X + g(hX, Z)\phi Y \\
 &\quad + \frac{-1 - \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1} \{g(hY, \phi Z)hX - g(hX, \phi Z)hY - g(hY, Z)\phi hX \\
 &\quad + g(hX, Z)\phi hY\} - \frac{-k + \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1} \{g(hX, Z)\phi hY - g(hY, Z)\phi hX \\
 &\quad + g(hY, \phi Z)hX - g(hX, \phi Z)hY\} \\
 &\quad + (k+1)\{g(\phi X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(\phi Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\
 &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X\} \\
 &\quad + (\mu - 1)\{g(\phi hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(\phi hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\
 &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi hX\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.17}$$

Using (4.17) in (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(hX, Z)g(\phi hY, W) - g(hY, Z)g(\phi hX, W) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(\phi hY, W) \\
 &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(\phi hX, W) + g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) - g(Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) \\
 &\quad + g(hY, \phi Z)g(hX, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(hY, W) \\
 &\quad + \frac{-1 - \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1} \{g(hY, \phi Z)g(hX, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(hY, W) \\
 &\quad - g(hY, Z)g(\phi hX, W) + g(hX, Z)g(\phi hY, W)\} \\
 &\quad - \frac{-k + \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1} \{g(hX, Z)g(\phi hY, W) - g(hY, Z)g(\phi hX, W) \\
 &\quad + g(hY, \phi Z)g(hX, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(hY, W)\} \\
 &\quad + (\mu - 1)\{g(hX, \phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, \phi Z)\eta(X)\xi\} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2n} \{S(Y, \phi Z)g(X, W) - S(X, \phi Z)g(Y, W) + S(X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) \\
 &\quad - S(Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) - (k+2)[g(Y, \phi Z)g(X, W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, W) \\
 &\quad + g(X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(\phi X, W)] + (\mu - 1)[g(hY, Z)g(\phi X, W) \\
 &\quad - g(hX, Z)g(\phi Y, W) + g(hY, \phi Z)g(X, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(Y, W)] \\
 &\quad - (k+2 - 2nk)[\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(\phi X, W) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(\phi Y, W)]\} = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.18}$$

If we put $Y = \phi Y$ in (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(h\phi Y, Z)g(hX, \phi W) - g(X, hZ)g(h\phi^2 Y, W) - g(h\phi^2 Y, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z) \\
 &\quad - g(\phi Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) + g(h\phi Y, \phi Z)g(hX, W) - g(X, h\phi Z)g(h\phi Y, W) \\
 &\quad + \frac{-1 - \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1} \{g(h\phi Y, \phi Z)g(hX, W) - g(X, h\phi Z)g(h\phi Y, W)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +g(h\phi Y, Z)g(hX, \phi W) - g(X, hZ)g(h\phi^2 Y, W)\} \\
& -\frac{-k + \frac{\mu}{2}}{k+1}\{-g(X, hZ)g(h\phi^2 Y, W) + g(h\phi Y, Z)g(hX, \phi W) \\
(4.19) \quad & -g(\phi h\phi Y, Z)g(hX, W) - g(X, h\phi Z)g(h\phi Y, W)\} \\
& -(\mu - 1)g(h\phi Y, \phi Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) \\
& -\frac{1}{2n}\{S(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(X, W) - S(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) + S(X, Z)g(\phi^2 Y, W) \\
& -S(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) - (k+2)[g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(X, W) - g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W) \\
& +g(X, Z)g(\phi^2 Y, W) - g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W)] + (\mu - 1)[g(h\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) \\
& -g(hX, Z)g(\phi^2 Y, W) + g(h\phi Y, \phi Z)g(X, W) - g(hX, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, W)] \\
& + (k+2 - 2nk)\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(\phi^2 Y, W)\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = W = e_i$, $\{i = 1, \dots, 2n+1\}$, in (4.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S(Y, Z) &= \frac{2n}{2n-1}\left[\left\{1 + 2k - \mu + \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n}\right\}g(Y, Z) \right. \\
(4.20) \quad & \left. + \left\{-1 - 2k + \mu - \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n} + (2n-1)k\right\}\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \right. \\
& \left. - (\mu - 1)\left\{1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\right\}g(hY, Z)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.10) in (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S(Y, Z) &= \frac{2n}{2n-1}\left[\left\{1 + 2k - \mu + \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n}\right\}g(Y, Z) \right. \\
& - \left\{-1 - 2k + \mu - \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n} + (2n-1)k\right\}\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
& - \left\{(\mu - 1)\left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\right)\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{(2(n-1) + \mu)}S(Y, Z) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{(2(1-n) + n\mu)}{2(n-1) + \mu}g(Y, Z) - \frac{(2(n-1) + n(2k - \mu))}{2(n-1) + \mu}\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\right\}],
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{1 + \left[(\mu - 1)\left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{(2(n-1) + \mu)}\right]\right\}S(Y, Z) \\
& = \left\{\frac{2n}{2n-1}\left\{1 + 2k - \mu + \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n}\right\} \right. \\
(4.21) \quad & \left. + \left\{(\mu - 1)\left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\right)\right\}\left\{\frac{(2(1-n) + n\mu)}{2(n-1) + \mu}\right\}g(Y, Z) \right. \\
& - \left\{\frac{2n}{2n-1}\left\{-1 - 2k + \mu - \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n} + (2n-1)k\right\} \right. \\
& \left. + \left\{(\mu - 1)\left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\right)\right\}\frac{(2(n-1) + n(2k - \mu))}{2(n-1) + \mu}\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence one can write

$$(4.22) \quad S(Y, Z) = \frac{B_1}{B}g(Y, Z) + \frac{B_2}{B}\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{2n}{2n-1} \left\{ 1 + 2k - \mu + \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ (\mu-1) \left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right) \right\} \left\{ \frac{(2(1-n) + n\mu)}{2(n-1) + \mu} \right\}, \\ B_2 &= - \left\{ \frac{2n}{2n-1} \left\{ -1 - 2k + \mu - \frac{(2n-1)(k+2)}{2n} + (2n-1)k \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ (\mu-1) \left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right) \right\} \frac{(2(n-1) + n(2k-\mu))}{2(n-1) + \mu} \right\}, \\ B &= 1 + (\mu-1) \left(1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right) \frac{1}{(2(n-1) + \mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (4.22) it follows that the manifold M is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Thus we have the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional ϕ -projectively semisymmetric paracontact (k, μ) -manifold ($k \neq -1$) with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. Then the manifold M is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection provided $\mu \neq 2(1-n)$.*

REFERENCES

1. A. BEJANCU AND H. FARAN: *Foliations and geometric structure*. Math. and Its Appl. 580, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
2. B. CAPPELLETTI-MONTANO, I. KÜPELI ERKEN AND C. MURATHAN: *Nullity conditions in paracontact geometry*. Diff. Geom. Appl. **30** (2012), 665-693.
3. R. S. HAMILTON: *The Ricci flow on surfaces*. Mathematics and General Relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math. **71** (1988), 237-262.
4. S. IANUS: *Some almost product structures on manifolds with linear connection*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **23** (1971), 305-310.
5. S. KANEYUKI AND F. L. WILLIAMS: *Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds*. Nagoya Math. J. **99** (1985), 173-187.
6. K. MANDAL AND U. C. DE: *Paracontact metric (k, μ) -spaces satisfying certain curvature conditions*. Kyungpook Math. J. **59** (2019), 163-174.
7. V. A. MIRZOYAN: *Structure theorems on Riemannian Ricci semisymmetric spaces*. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **6** (1992), 80-89, (in Russian).
8. Z. OLSZAK: *The Schouten-van Kampen affine connection adapted an almost (para) contact metric structure*. Publ. De L'inst. Math. **94** (2013), 31-42.
9. G. PERELMAN: *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/02111159>.

10. J. SCHOUTEN AND E. VAN KAMPEN: *Zur Einbettungs-und Krümmungsthorie nichtholonomer Gebilde*. Math. Ann. **103** (1930), 752-783.
11. R. SHARMA: *Certain results on K -contact and (k, μ) -contact manifolds*. Journal of Geometry **89** (2008), 138-147.
12. A. F. SOLOV'EV: *On the curvature of the connection induced on a hyperdistribution in a Riemannian space*. Geom. Sb. **19** (1978), 12-23, (in Russian).
13. A. F. SOLOV'EV: *The bending of hyperdistributions*. Geom. Sb. **20** (1979), 101-112, (in Russian).
14. A. F. SOLOV'EV: *Second fundamental form of a distribution*. Mat. Zametki **35** (1982), 139-146.
15. A. F. SOLOV'EV: *Curvature of a distribution*. Mat. Zametki **35** (1984), 111-124.
16. Z. SZABO: *Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y).R=0$, The local version*. J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982), 531-582.
17. A. YILDIZ AND U. C. DE: *A classification of (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds*. Commun Korean Math. Soc. **27** (2012), 327-339.
18. A. YILDIZ AND U. C. DE: *Certain semisymmetry curvature conditions on paracontact metric (k, μ) -manifolds*. Math. Sci. App. E-Notes **8(1)** (2020), 1-10.
19. S. ZAMKOVY: *Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds*. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **36** (2009), 37-60.
20. S. ZAMKOVY AND V. TZANOV: *Non-existence of flat paracontact metric structures in dimension greater than or equal to five*. Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform. **100** (2011), 27-34.