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#### Abstract

Based on the same model stated in [3], we will study the differentiability of the stochastic flow generated by the natural model with respect to the initial data, based on an important idea of H-Kunita, R.M-Dudley and F-Ledrappier. This is the main motivation of our research. Keywords: Sample path properties, stochastic flow, stochastic integrals


## 1. Introduction

The notion of the stochastic flow generated by a stochastic differential equation has been studied by several authors. For the differentiability of the stochastic flow, T-Fujiwara and H-Kunita [13] studied the differentiability of stochastic flows for stochastic differential equations with jumps then H-Kunita [6] demonstrated the differentiability of the stochastic flows with respect to the initial data for stochastic differential equations with smooth coefficients. Malliavin [14] demonstrated the differentiability of the solutions of stochastic differential equations according to the initial conditions for classical type equations on manifolds.

Recently, studies concerning the differentiability of the stochastic flow generated by the stochastic differential equations have been developed. A-Y-Pilipenko [15] demonstrated the differentiability of the solution of stochastic differential equations with reflection in the Sobolev space and he showed in [16] the same result

[^0]but with Lipschitz continuous coefficients. In another work, he proved in collaboration with O-V-Aryasova [17] the differentiability of stochastic flow for stochastic differential equations with discontinuous drift in multidimensional case. In [18] KBurdzy proved the differentiability of stochastic flow of reflected Brownian motions with respect to the initial data in a smooth multidimensional domain. A-Stefano [19] showed the differentiability of the solution for stochastic differential equations with discontinues drift in one-dimensional case. X-Zhang [20] obtained the differentiability of stochastic flow for stochastic differential equations without global Lipschitz coefficients. E-Fedrizzi and F-Flandoli [21] obtained weakly differentiable of solutions of stochastic differential equations with Non-regular drift. Qian Lin [22] studied the differentiability of the solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data and the parameter. S-Mohammed, T-Nilssen and F-Proske [23] demonstrated the differentiability of stochastic flow for stochastic differential equations with singular coefficients in the Sobolev sense.

In our paper, we consider a following stochastic differential equation:

$$
\left(\natural_{u}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d X_{u, t}^{x}=X_{u, t}^{x}\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}}}{1-Z_{t}} N_{t}+f\left(X_{t}-\left(1-Z_{t}\right)\right) d Y_{t}\right), t \in[u, \infty[ \\
X_{u, u}^{x}=x
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x$ is the initial condition.
This equation is called $\ddagger$-equation indicated in $([1],[3][5][24])$, which is the priceless system in financial mathematics and it's one of the best ways to represent the evolution of a financial market after the default time, it's considered a prosperous system of parameters $(Z, Y, f)$. the parameter $Z$ determines the default intensity. The parameters $Y$ and $f$ describe the evolution of the market after the default time $\tau$.
Let's move to the multidimensional version of $\mathfrak{q}$-equation [3]. On a probability space $\left(\Omega,(\mathbb{F})_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$, we have:
$\left(\left\llcorner_{u}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}d X_{u, t}(x)=X_{t}(x)\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}}}{1-Z_{t}} d N_{t}+F\left(X_{t}(x)-\left(1-Z_{t}\right)\right) d Y_{t}\right), t \in[u, \infty[, \\ X_{u, u}(x)=x,\end{array}\right.\right.$
where $\left(\Lambda^{1}, \ldots, \Lambda^{d}\right)$ is $d$-dimensional is continuous increasing process null at the origin, $N_{t}=\left(N^{1}, \ldots, N^{d}\right)$ is a given $d$-dimensional continuous non-negative local martingale such that $0<Z_{t}=N_{t} e^{-\Lambda_{t}}<1, t>0$ and $\left(Z(t, w)=\left(Z^{1}(t, w), \ldots, Z^{d}(t, w)\right)\right.$ presents the default intensity. $\left(Y(t, w)=\left(Y^{1}(t, w), \ldots, Y^{n}(t, w)\right)\right.$ is a given $n$-dimensional continuous local martingale and $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is Lipschitz mapping null at the origin.

This equation has a unique solution $X_{u, t}(x)$ such as;
$X_{t}^{u}=x+\int_{u}^{t} X_{s}\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{s}}}{1-Z_{s}}\right) d N_{s}+\int_{u}^{t} X_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F^{i j}\left(X_{s}-\left(1-Z_{s}\right)\right) d Y_{s}^{j}, s \in[u, t]$
where $X_{u}^{u}=x$ is the initial condition and $F^{i j}$ is $i-t h$ component of the vector function $F^{j}$.

The aim of this paper is to show the differentiability of the process $X_{t}^{u}$ with respect to the initial value, this property was studied for several stochastic differential equations under different conditions like H-Kunita [6], Bismut [14], Malliavin [14], K.D.Elworthy and Z.Brzezniak [9]. Our paper is based mainly on an idea of R.M-Dudley, H-Kunita and F-Ledrappier [12], such that:

- We demonstrate the existence of the partial derivative for any $s, t, x$ a.s if our stochastic flow generated by the t-equation in multidimensional case, has a continuous extension at $y=0$ for any $s, t, x$ a.s and this follows from the estimate given by the proposition of H.Kunita and also the Kolmogorov's theorem. Without forgetting the use of the usual estimation inequalities: Hölder Inequality, BDG inequality, and Gronwall's lemma. This means that the solution is continuously differentiable and the derivative is Hölder continuous.
- We assume the following hypothesis: the coefficients of $\square$-equation are continuous and the processes represented in this equation take real values.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: the second section contains generalities which we will need in what follows, the third section represents the obtained results about the differentiability of stochastic flow and the last section gives the main result of this paper.

## 2. Generalities

Theorem 2.1. ( $B D G$ Inequality $)[11]$. Let $T>0$ and $\xi$ be a continuous local martingale such that $\xi_{0}=0$. For any $1 \leq p<\infty$ there exists positive constants $c_{p}, C_{p}$ independent of $T$ and $\left(\xi_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ such that,

$$
c_{p} \mathbb{E}\left[<\xi>_{T}^{p / 2}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\xi_{t}^{*}\right)^{p}\right] \leq C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left[<\xi>_{T}^{p / 2}\right]
$$

where $\xi_{t}^{*}=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\xi_{t}\right|$.
Theorem 2.2. (Hölder Inequality)[11]. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ so that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lebesgue measurable. Then

$$
\|f g\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{p}\|g\|_{q}
$$

Proposition 2.1. [6] Let $2 \leq p<\infty$. There exists a constant $R$ such that, for any $(s, x),\left(s^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ belonging to $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{s \leq t \leq T}\left|\xi_{s, t}^{x}-\xi_{s^{\prime}, t}^{x^{\prime}}\right|^{p}\right] \leq R\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. (Kolmogorov's theorem)[11]. Let $\xi_{\lambda}(w)$ be a real valued random field with parameter $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right) \in[0,1]^{d}$. Suppose that there are constants $\gamma>0, \alpha_{i}>d, i=1, \ldots, d$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{\lambda}-\xi_{\mu}\right|^{\gamma}\right] \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}} \forall \lambda, \mu \in[0,1]^{d} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\xi_{\lambda}$ has a continuous modification $\widetilde{\xi_{\lambda}}$.
We need also the following importan lemma.
Lemma 2.1. (Gronwall's lemma) [11]. Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $a<b, \varphi, \beta$ and $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ non-negative continuous functions, such that $\forall t \in[a, b]$,

$$
\varphi(t) \leq \beta(t)+\int_{a}^{t} \varphi(s) \phi(s) d s
$$

Then,

$$
\forall t \in[a, b], \varphi(t) \leq \beta(t) \exp \left(\int_{a}^{t} \phi(s) d s\right)
$$

Lemma 2.2. [11] Let $T>0$ and $p$ be any real number. Then there is a positive constant $C_{p, T}$ such that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\forall t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|J_{t}(x)-J_{s}(y)\right|^{p} \leq C_{p, T}|x-y|^{p}
$$

## 3. The Found Results on the differentiability of the Solutions of SDE in multi-dimensional case

### 3.1. The case studied by Olga.V. Aryasova and Andrey.Yu. Pilipenko

This subsection is borrowed from [10]. We consider an SDE of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d \zeta_{t}(x)=a\left(\zeta_{t}(x)\right) d t+d w_{t} \\
\zeta_{0}(x)=x
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 1,\left(w_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $d$-dimensional Wiener process, $a=\left(a^{1}, \ldots, a^{d}\right)$ is a bounded measurable mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, this equation has a unique strong solution. The differentiability of this solution with respect to initial data is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $a: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be such that for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, $a^{i}$ is a function of bounded variation on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Put $\mu^{i j}=\frac{\partial a^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$, and assume that the measures $\left|\mu^{i j}\right|, 1 \leq$
$i, j \leq d$, belong to Kato's class. Let $\phi_{t}(x), t \geq 0$, be a solution to the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}(x)=E+\int_{0}^{t} d A_{s}(\zeta(x)) \phi_{s}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is $d \times d$-identity matrix, the integral on the right-hand side of (3.1) is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral with respect to the continuous function of bounded variation $t \rightarrow A_{t}(\zeta(x))$. Then $\phi_{t}(x)$ is the derivative of $\zeta_{t}(x)$ in $L^{p}$-sense, for all $p>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, h \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, t>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{\zeta_{t}(x+h)-\zeta_{t}(x)}{\epsilon}-\phi_{t}(x) h\right\|^{p} \rightarrow 0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|$.$\| is a norm in the space \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Moreover:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\forall t \geq 0: \zeta_{t}(.) \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \nabla \zeta_{t}(x)=\phi_{t}(x) \text { for } \lambda-a . a \cdot x\right\}=1
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

### 3.2. The case studied by Philip E. Protter

This subsection is borrowed from [11]. Consider a following system:

$$
D:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{t}^{i}=x_{i}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\alpha}^{i}\left(\varphi_{s^{-}}\right) d Z_{s}^{\alpha} \\
D_{k t}^{i}=\delta_{k}^{i}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\varphi_{s^{-}}\right) D_{k s}^{j} d Z_{s}^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $D$ denotes an $n \times n$ matrix-valued process and $\delta_{k}^{i}=1$ if $i=k$ and 0 otherwise (Kronecker's delta). A convenient convention, sometimes called the Einstein convention, is to leave the summations implicit. Thus, the system of equations $(D)$ can be alternatively written as:

$$
D:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{t}^{i}=x_{i}+\int_{0}^{t} f_{\alpha}^{i}\left(\varphi_{s^{-}}\right) d Z_{s}^{\alpha} \\
D_{k t}^{i}=\delta_{k}^{i}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\varphi_{s^{-}}\right) D_{k s}^{j} d Z_{s}^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 3.2. [11] Let $Z$ be as in $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and let the functions $\left(f_{\alpha}^{i}\right)$ in $\left(H_{2}\right)$ have locally Lipschitz first partial derivatives. Then for almost all $w$ there exists a function $\varphi(t, w, x)$ which is continuously differentiable in the open set $\{x: \rho(x, w)>t\}$, where $\rho$ is the explosion time. If $\left(f_{\alpha}^{i}\right)$ are globally Lipschitz then $\rho=\infty$. Let $D_{k}(t, w, x) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \varphi(t, w, x)$. Then for each $x$ the process $(\varphi(., w, x), D(., w, x))$ is identically càdlàg, and it is the solution of equations $(D)$ on $[0, \rho(x,)$.$] .$

### 3.3. The case studied by R.M.Dudley, H.Kunita and F.Ledrappier

This subsection is borrowed from [12]. We shall consider an Itô's stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \chi_{t}=\bar{\xi}_{0}\left(t, \chi_{t}\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{\xi}_{k}\left(t, \chi_{t}\right) d B_{t}^{k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $\chi_{t}, t \in[s, T]$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\chi_{t}=x+\int_{s}^{t} \bar{\xi}_{0}\left(t, \chi_{t}\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{s}^{t} \bar{\xi}_{k}\left(t, \chi_{t}\right) d B_{t}^{k}
$$

For the convenience of notations, we will often write $d t$ as $d B_{t}^{0}$ and write the last equation as:

$$
\chi_{t}=x+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{s}^{t} \bar{\xi}_{k}\left(t, \chi_{t}\right) d B_{t}^{k}
$$

where $x=\chi_{s}$ be initial condition.
The following theorem give the smoothness property of this solution.
Theorem 3.3. [12] suppose that coefficients $\bar{\xi}^{0}, \ldots, \bar{\xi}^{m}$ of an Itô's stochastic differential equation, are globally Lipschitz continuous $\left(C_{g}^{1, \alpha}\right)$ functions for some $\alpha>0$ and their first derivatives are bounded. Then the solution $\chi_{s, t}(x)$ is a $C^{1, \beta}$ of $x$ for any $\beta$ less than $\alpha$ for each $s<t$ a.s.

## 4. Main result

This section contains the main result which is concerning the differentiability of the solution of the natural equation with respect to the initial value. But before that we give a detailed description of the natural equation in multidimensional case, we have:

$$
\left(\mathrm{h}_{u}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d X_{u, t}^{1}(x)=X_{u, t}^{1}(x)\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}^{1}}}{1-Z_{t}^{1}} d N_{t}^{1}+F_{11} d Y_{t}^{1}+\ldots+F_{1 d} d Y_{t}^{n}\right) \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
d X_{u, t}^{d}(x)=X_{u, t}^{d}(x)\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}^{d}}}{1-Z_{t}^{d}} d N_{t}^{d}+F_{n 1} d Y_{t}^{1}+\ldots+F_{n d} d Y_{t}^{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then
$\left(\natural_{u}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}d X_{u, t}(x)=X_{t}(x)\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}}}{1-Z_{t}} d N_{t}+F\left(X_{t}(x)-\left(1-Z_{t}\right)\right) d Y_{t}\right), t \in[u, \infty[, \\ X_{u, u}(x)=x,\end{array}\right.$
where $X_{u, t}(x)=\left(X_{u, t}^{1}(x), \ldots, X_{u, t}^{d}(x)\right)^{T},-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}}}{1-Z_{t}}=\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}^{1}}}{1-Z_{t}^{1}}, \ldots,-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}^{d}}}{1-Z_{t}^{d}}\right)^{T}$, $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)^{T}$ the initial condition and:

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
F_{11} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & F_{1 d} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
F_{n 1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & F_{n d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we can write the solution $X_{t}^{u}$ for $u \leq s \leq t$ in this form:
$X_{t}^{u}=x+\int_{u}^{t} X_{s}\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{s}}}{1-Z_{s}}\right) d N_{s}+\int_{u}^{t} X_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F^{i j}\left(X_{s}-\left(1-Z_{s}\right)\right) d Y_{s}^{j}, s \in[u, t]$
We introduce the stopping time $\tau_{n}=\inf \left\{t, 1-Z_{t}<\frac{1}{n}\right\}$ on the quantity $\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{s}}}{1-Z_{s}}\right)$ (because we don't know if it's finite or not). Therefore, we assume the process $\widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x}$ instead of $X_{u, t}^{x}$ :
$\widetilde{X_{t}^{u}}=x+\int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{X}_{s}\left(-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{s}}}{1-Z_{s \wedge \tau_{n}}}\right) d N_{s}+\int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{X}_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}-\left(1-Z_{s}\right)\right) d Y_{s}^{j}, s \in[u, t]$
In order to prove the differentibility property, it's enough to apply the idea of R.M.Dudley, H.Kunita and F.Ledrappier [12]: For $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash 0$, we define

$$
\theta_{u, t}(x, y)=\frac{\partial \widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x}}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{1}{y}\left[\widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x}\right]
$$

where $e_{k}$ is the unit vector $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ for $k=1 \ldots d$.
So we will demonstrate that $\theta_{u, t}(x, y)$ has a continuous extension at $y=0$ for any $(u, t, x)$. Depending on the following estimate and Kolmogorov's theorem, for any $p>2$, there exits a positive constant $C^{p}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} \\
\leq & C^{p}\left[\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha p}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha p}+\left(1+|x|+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\alpha p}\left(\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}\right.\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
+ & \left.\left.\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}\right)\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Firstly we show the boundedness of $\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p}$, we have:

$$
\theta_{u, t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{y}\left[\widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{u, t}^{x}\right]
$$

we denote

$$
M_{t}=-\frac{e^{-\Lambda_{t}}}{1-Z_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)=\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x+y e_{k}} F^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x+y e_{k}}-\left(1-Z_{t}\right)\right) \\
\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x}\right)=\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x} F^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{x}-\left(1-Z_{t}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{u, t}(x, y) & =e_{k}+\frac{1}{y}\left[\int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x} M_{s} d N_{s}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{y}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right) d Y_{s}^{j}\right] \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} & \leq 1+\frac{1}{y} \mathbb{E}\left|\int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x} M_{s} d N_{s}\right|^{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left|\int_{u}^{t} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right) d Y_{s}^{j}\right|^{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using BDG's inequality, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} & \leq 1+C_{1}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|\theta_{r, s}(x, y)\right|^{2}\left|M_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& +C_{1}^{p} \frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)\right|^{2} d s\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we apply the hölder inequality, noting $q$ the conjugate of $\frac{p}{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \\
\leq & 1+(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} C_{1}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]+(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} C_{1}^{p} \frac{1}{y} \\
& \times \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{n}^{j=1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)\right|^{p} d s\right] \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

And as $\widetilde{F}^{i j}$ is Lipschitz, we have:

$$
\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}\right)\right| \leq k_{1}\left|\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right|
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} & \leq 1+(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} C_{1}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right] \\
& +(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} k_{1} C_{1}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|\theta_{r, s}(x, y)\right|^{p} d s\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and by following, we have $a b \leq \frac{a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{2}$, so:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right] & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{2 p}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{u}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the proposition(2.1), yields for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a constant $c^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} c^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{u}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]^{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have the quantity $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]<\infty$. Next, note that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]=\bar{R}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right] \leq C_{2}^{p}+C_{3}^{p} \bar{R}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{2} c^{\prime}(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} C_{1}^{p}=C_{2}^{p}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} C_{1}^{p}=C_{3}^{p}$. As a result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \leq C_{4}^{p}+C_{5}^{p} \int_{u}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{r, s}(x, y)\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C_{4}^{p}=C_{2}^{p}+C_{3}^{p} \bar{R}^{2}$ and $C_{5}^{p}=(t-u)^{\frac{p}{2 q}} k_{1} C_{1}^{p}$, therefore by Gronwall's lemma, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p} \leq C_{4}^{p} \exp \left(C_{5}^{p}(t-u)\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently $\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)\right|^{p}$ is bounded. Secondly we prove the estimate (4.1). In case $t=t^{\prime}$, we suppose that $u<u^{\prime}<t$. Other cases will be proven in the same way. Then we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \theta_{r, s}(x, y)-\theta_{r^{\prime}, s}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) M_{s} d N_{s}+\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right) \\
- & \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d Y_{s}^{j} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{I}_{1}=\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \theta_{r, s}(x, y)-\theta_{r^{\prime}, s}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) M_{s} d N_{s} \\
\widetilde{I}_{2}=\frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d Y_{s}^{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{1}\right|^{p}=\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \theta_{r, s}(x, y)-\theta_{r^{\prime}, s}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) M_{s} d N_{s}\right|^{p} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BDG's inequality leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{1}\right|^{p} \leq C_{6}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|\theta_{r, s}(x, y)-\theta_{r^{\prime}, s}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|M_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Hölder's inequality, noting $q^{*}$ the conjugate of $\frac{p}{2}$ :
$(4.16) \mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{1}\right|^{p} \leq\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} C_{6}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]$
and by following, we have $a b \leq \frac{a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{1}\right|^{p} & \leq\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} C_{7}^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u<t<\infty}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2 p}\right] \\
& +\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} C_{7}^{p}\left[\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right]^{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the proposition (2.1), gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{1}\right|^{p} \leq\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} C_{7}^{p}\left[R_{1}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2 p}+\bar{R}_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{7}^{p}=\frac{1}{2} C_{6}^{p}$.
it remains to study the term $\widetilde{I}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\widetilde{I}_{2}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{y} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right| d Y_{s}^{j} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again the BDG's inequality, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{2}\right|^{p} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{y} C_{8}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)\right|^{2}\right.  \tag{4.20}\\
& \left.+\left|-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right|^{2} d s\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

applying Hölder's inequality, noting $q^{*}$ the conjugate of $\frac{p}{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{2}\right|^{p} & \leq \frac{1}{y} C_{8}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

We have always $\widetilde{F}$ is Lipschitz:

$$
\left(4.22 \mathbb{F}\left|\widetilde{I}_{2}\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{y} C_{8}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}} k_{1} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x+y e_{k}}-\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x}\right|^{p}+\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}}-\widetilde{X}_{s}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right|^{p} d s\right.
$$

Thus, by lemma (2.2), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\widetilde{I}_{2}\right|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{y} K_{p, T}^{1} C_{8}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{2 q^{*}}+1} k_{1}\left(|y|^{p}+\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.18) and (4.23), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} \leq C_{9}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)^{\frac{p}{q^{*}}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C_{9}^{p}=C_{7}^{p}\left(R_{1}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2 p}+\bar{R}_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{y} K_{p, T}^{1} C_{8}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right) k_{1}\left(|y|^{p}+\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)$.
It remains Kolmogorov's theorem, we denote $G=\theta_{u, t}(x, y)-\theta_{u^{\prime}, t^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and simply applying It $\widehat{o}$ 's formula to the function $f(G)=|G|^{p}$ for $t=t^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
|G|^{p}=\sum_{i, j} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) d G_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G) d<G^{i}, G^{j}>_{s}
$$

noting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widehat{I}=\sum_{i, j} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) d G_{s} \\
\bar{I}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G) d<G^{i}, G^{j}>_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{I}= & \sum_{i, j} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G)\left[G_{s} M_{s} d N_{s}+\frac{1}{y} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d Y_{s}^{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{I}= & \sum_{i, j, h, l} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G)\left[G_{s} M_{s} d N_{s}+\frac{1}{y} \widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d Y_{s}^{l}\right] \\
\times & {\left[G_{s} M_{s} d N_{s}+\frac{1}{y} \widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d Y_{s}^{h}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\widehat{I}$, we denote:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G)=|p \| G|^{P-1} \\
\widehat{I}_{1}=\sum_{i} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) G_{s} M_{s} d N_{s} \\
\widehat{I}_{2}=\sum_{i} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) \frac{1}{y} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) G_{s}\right| \leq d|p||G|^{P-1}\left|G_{s}\right| \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{I}_{1}\right| \leq d|p| \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{P} d s \times \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} M_{s} d N_{s} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

noting $\varphi_{t}=\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} M_{s} d N_{s}$, it's a local martingale (see [2]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{I}_{1}\right| \leq d|p| \varphi_{t} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{P} d s \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we have $\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)$ is Lipschitz function, therefore:
$\sum_{i}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial G_{i}}(G) \frac{1}{y} \widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}^{i j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right| \leq d k_{1}|p||G|^{P}$ (4.28)

Then
(4.29)

$$
\left|\widehat{I}_{2}\right| \leq d n k_{1}|p| \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{P} d s
$$

From (4.27) and (4.29), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widehat{I}| \leq d|p|\left(\varphi_{t}+n k_{1}\right) \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{P} d s \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\bar{I}$, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{I}_{1}= & \sum_{i, j, h, l} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G)\left(G_{s}\right)^{2}\left(M_{s}\right)^{2} d N_{s} d N_{s}  \tag{4.31}\\
\bar{I}_{2}= & \frac{1}{y} \sum_{i, j, h, l} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G) G_{s} M_{s} \widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right) \\
& -\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d N_{s} d Y_{s}^{l} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{I}_{3}= & \frac{1}{y} \sum_{i, j, h, l} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G) G_{s} M_{s} \widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right) \\
& -\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right) d N_{s} d Y_{s}^{h} \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{I}_{4} & =\frac{1}{y^{2}} \sum_{i, j, h, l} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G)\left[\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left[\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{h}^{j}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right] d Y_{s}^{l} d Y_{s}^{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

And note that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G)=p(p-1)|G|^{p-2}
$$

Then for $\bar{I}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j, h, l}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G)\left(G_{s}\right)^{2}\right| \leq d|p||p-1||G|^{p-2}|G|^{2} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{I}_{1}\right| \leq d|p||p-1| \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} M_{s}^{2} d N_{s} d N_{s} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} M_{s} d N_{s}$ is always a local martingale, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{I}_{1}\right| \leq d|p||p-1| \varphi_{t}^{2} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\bar{I}_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i, j, h, l} \frac{1}{y}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial G_{i} G_{j}}(G) G_{s} \widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x+y e_{k}}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x}\right)-\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime} e_{k}}\right)+\widetilde{F}_{l}^{i}\left(\widetilde{X}^{x^{\prime}}\right)\right| \\
& (4.37) \leq d n k_{1}|p||p-1||G|^{p-2}\left|G_{s}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{I}_{2}\right| \leq d n k_{1}|p||p-1| \varphi_{t}^{2} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\bar{I}_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{I}_{3}\right| \leq d n k_{1}|p||p-1| \varphi_{t}^{2} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\bar{I}_{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{4} \leq d n k_{1}^{2}|p||p-1| \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{I}_{1}+\bar{I}_{2}+\bar{I}_{3}+\bar{I}_{4}\right] \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2 n k_{1} \varphi_{t}+\varphi_{t}^{2}+n k_{1}^{2}\right) d|p||p-1| \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these two inequalities (4.30) and (4.42), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|^{p} \leq d|p|\left(\frac{1}{2}|p-1|\left(2 n k_{1} \varphi_{t}+\varphi_{t}^{2}+n k_{1}^{2}\right)+\varphi_{t}+n k_{1}\right) \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|G|^{p} \leq C_{10}^{p} \int_{u}^{u^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left|G_{s}\right|^{p} d s \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Grönwall's inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}|G|^{p} \leq C_{11}^{p} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{11}^{p}$ is $\exp \left(C_{10}^{p}\left(u^{\prime}-u\right)\right)$.

The proof is completed.
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