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#### Abstract

By using a subordination condition, a new class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$ of $p$-valent functions involving a convolution structure is defined. Among others, this class includes the $\lambda$-spiral-like and $\lambda$-Robertson classes of functions. Based on first-order differential subordination and its properties, various results pertaining to the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$ and its subclass $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ are derived. Several consequences of our results yield certain new results. We also point out the relationship with other known results.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ denotes a class of $p$-valent functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{p}$, a subclass of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ whose members are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convolution (Hadamard product) of $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and $g(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}=(g * f)(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above convolution leads us to consider various linear operators for the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}$. Indeed, we infer that $f(z) * \frac{z^{p}}{1-z}=f(z)$ and $f(z) * \frac{(p+(1-p) z) z^{p}}{p(1-z)^{2}}=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p}$.

Let $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ be such that $p(0)=q(0)$. We say $p(z)$ is subordinate to $q(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and write $p(z)<q(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$, and $|w(z)|<1, z \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $p(z)=q(w(z)), z \in$ $\mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, if the function $q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have following equivalence:

$$
p(z)<q(z) \Leftrightarrow p(0)=q(0) \text { and } p(\mathbb{U}) \subset q(\mathbb{U})
$$

Let $q(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$ be convex. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(q)$, a class of analytic functions $p(z)$ such that $p(z)<q(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. The class $\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)=\mathcal{P}(A, B),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ is the Janowski class [7] of analytic functions $p(z)$, and in particular, the class $\mathcal{P}(1,-1)=\mathcal{P}$ is the class of analytic functions $p(z)$ with positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$.

For a non-zero complex number $b,|\lambda|<\pi / 2$ and for some given function $g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, we define here a new class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$ consisting of $\lambda$-spiral-like functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ satisfying the subordination condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}-1\right)<h(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in \mathcal{P}$.
Observe that if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$, then by putting $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}<1+\left(\frac{h(z)-1}{d}\right), z \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}\left(b ; g ; \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)$ by $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$, whose members satisfy the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}<\frac{1+\left(B+\frac{A-B}{d}\right) z}{1+B z}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; 1,-1)$ by $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g)$. Further, we denote $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}\left(b ; \frac{z^{p}}{1-z} ; A, B\right)$ by $\mathcal{R}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}\left(b ; \frac{(p+(1-p) z) z^{p}}{p(1-z)^{2}} ; A, B\right)$ by $Q_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B)$. It may be observed that

$$
f \in Q_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{R}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B) .
$$

One may notice that a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ must evidently satisfy the condition that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}-1\right)\right)>\frac{1-A}{1-B}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

The class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(1 ; g ; 1-2 \alpha,-1), 0 \leq \alpha<1$ is represented by $\mathcal{S}_{p, \alpha}^{\lambda}(g)$ whose members satisfy the condition that

$$
\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}<1+\frac{\left(2(1-\alpha) e^{-i \lambda} \cos \lambda\right) z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

To make this paper relatively self-contained (and for the reader's convenience), we deem it worthwhile here to give a rather comprehensive description of the important special cases of the classes $\mathcal{R}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B), Q_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B), \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{p, \alpha}^{\lambda}(g)$, which were studied earlier and some of which are used in the sequel.

Indeed, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the class $\mathcal{R}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ;(1-\alpha) A+\alpha B, B)=S_{p}^{\lambda}(A, B, b)$ was recently studied by Dileep and Latha [5]. The class $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B)=S^{\lambda}(A, B, b)$ was studied in [18], and the class $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{0}(1 ; A, B)=S^{*}(A, B)$ is the familiar Janowski class of starlike functions [7]. Class $Q_{p}^{0}(b ; 1,-1)=C_{p}(b)$ is a class of $p$-valently convex functions of complex order, studied by Aouf [2]. The class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(b ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=S^{\lambda}(b)$ was studied by Al-Oboudi and Haidan [3] (see also [1]), whereas, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(1 ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=$ $S^{\lambda}$ is the class of $\lambda$-spiral-like univalent functions, introduced by Spacek [24]. Also, the Class $S_{1}^{0}\left(b ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=S(b)$ is the class of starlike functions of complex order which was studied by Nasr and Aouf [12]. Further, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(1-\alpha ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right)$ is the class of $\lambda$-spiral-like univalent functions of order $\alpha$ studied by Libera [9]. On the other hand, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the class $S_{1}^{0}\left(1-\alpha ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right)=S^{*}(\alpha)$ is the well known class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ studied by Robertson [21]. Moreover, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(b ; \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=C^{\lambda}(b)$ is a $\lambda$-Robertson class of complex order studied by Aouf et al. [1], and the Class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(1 ; \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=C^{\lambda}$ was studied earlier by Robertson [22]. For $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{\lambda}\left(1-\alpha ; \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=C^{\lambda}(1-\alpha)$ is the class of $\lambda$ Robertson functions of order $\alpha$ studied by Chichra [4], whereas, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{0}\left(1-\alpha ; \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=K(\alpha)$ is the class of convex functions of order $\alpha$ studied earlier by Robertson [21]. The class $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{0}\left(b ; \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=C(b)$ is the class of convex functions of complex order studied by Nasr and Aouf [13], and the class $\mathcal{S}_{1, \alpha}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)$ $=S_{p}^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ was introduced by Kwon and Owa [8] (see also [14]), and finally, the class $\mathcal{S}_{1, \alpha}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)=K_{p}^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ was introduced by Owa et al. [14].

Suppose $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in a domain $D$, and let $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Also, let $p(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in D$ when $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then $p(z)$ is said to satisfy the first-order differential subordination if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right)<h(z) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The univalent function $q$ is said to be a dominant of the differential subordination (1.8) if $p<q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1.8). If $\widetilde{q}$ is a dominant of (1.8) and $\widetilde{q}<q$ for all dominants $q$ of (1.8), then $\tilde{q}$ is said to be the best dominant of (1.8). The theory of differential subordination was introduced by Miller and Mocanu in [11].

In this paper, we define a class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; q ; h)$ of $p$-valent analytic functions whose convolution with some $p$-valent analytic function $g(z)$ satisfy a subordination condition. This class includes several classes of $\lambda$-spiral-like functions and $\lambda$-Robertson class of functions with complex order. Using the first-order differential subordination, we derive a subordination result for the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$. Subordination results for the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$ are also derived for $B \neq 0$ and for $B=0$. Moreover, a coefficient inequality and a convolution result for the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ are obtained. Also, mentioned are the results based on certain special cases which include some new and known results (obtained earlier by adopting different methods).

## 2. Main Results

To prove our first main result, we require the following known result on differential subordination.

Lemma 2.1. [10, Theorem 3, p.190]. Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{U})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set

$$
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), \quad h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z),
$$

and suppose that
(i) $Q$ is starlike (univalent) in $\mathbb{U}$ with $Q(0)=0$ and $Q^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$,
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}+\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{U}$.

If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{U}) \subset D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z))<\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p<q$ and $q$ is the best dominant of (2.1).
Throughout this paper, we assume that only the principal values of the powers are considered in our investigations.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and $q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\ldots(\neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U})$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0 \text { in } \mathbb{U} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{p \beta q(z)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; h)$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d}<q(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(z)=\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\phi(w)=\frac{1}{p \beta w}, \theta(w)=1
$$

then $s(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $s(0)=q(0)$ and $\theta$ and $\phi$ are analytic in a domain $D$ $(0 \notin D)$. In order to apply Lemma 2.1, we observe from (2.3) that $h(z)=\theta(q(z))+$ $Q(z)$, where $Q(z)=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{p \beta q(z)}$ is such that $Q(0)=0, Q^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Using (2.2), we find that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(1-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0
$$

in $\mathbb{U}$. Further, on differentiating (2.5) logarithmically, we obtain in view of the subordination condition (1.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(s(z))+z s^{\prime}(z) \phi(s(z))=1+\frac{z s^{\prime}(z)}{p \beta s(z)}=1+d\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}-1\right) \prec h(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(z)$ is given by (2.3). Now applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that the subordination (2.6) implies the result (2.4), where $q(z)$ is the best dominant of this subordination. This proves Theorem 2.1.

In our next result, we use a lemma which is as follows:
Lemma 2.2. [22] The function $(1-z)^{\beta} \equiv e^{\beta \log (1-z)}, \beta \neq 0$, is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if and only if $\beta$ is either in the closed disk $|\beta-1| \leq 1$, or in the closed disk $|\beta+1| \leq 1$.

By choosing $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
q(z)=(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1(B \neq 0)
$$

in Theorem 2.1, we get the following result with the use of Lemma 2.2.
Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either }\left|\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}-1\right| \leq 1 \text { or }\left|\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}+1\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d}<(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}}$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ and $s(z)$ be given by (2.5). For $B \neq 0,0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $s(0)=q(0)$ and

$$
\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{p(A-B) \beta z}{1+B z}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, under the condition (2.7), $q(z)$ is univalent (see also [16], [22]) and on letting $Q(z)=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{p \beta q(z)}$, we get $Q(z)=\frac{(A-B) z}{1+B z}$, which is univalent with $Q(0)=0, Q^{\prime}(0)=$ $A-B \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1+B z}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Following similar lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get the result (2.8) on applying Lemma 2.1, which proves Theorem 2.2.

In the case, when $B=0,0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q(z)=e^{p \beta A z}, 0<A \leq 1$ in Theorem 2.1, then similar to Theorem 2.2, we can easily prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, 0)$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|\beta|<\frac{\pi}{p A}$, then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d}<e^{p \beta A z}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and $e^{p \beta A z}$ is the best dominant.

For a non-zero complex number $a$, with $\beta=\frac{a}{d}$, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, yield the following corollaries.

## Corollary 2.1.

(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$, a non-zero complex number a be such that

$$
\text { either }\left|\frac{p(A-B) a}{B d}-1\right| \leq 1 \text { or }\left|\frac{p(A-B) a}{B d}+1\right| \leq 1
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{a}<(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) a}{B d}}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) a}{B d}}$ is the best dominant.
(ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, 0)$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$, a non-zero complex number a be such that $\left|\frac{a}{d}\right|<\frac{\pi}{p A}$, then

$$
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{a}<e^{\frac{p a A}{d} z}, z \in \mathbb{U},
$$

and $e^{\frac{p a A}{d} z}$ is the best dominant.

## Remark 2.1.

(1) The results (i) of Corollary 2.1 coincide with the results of Aouf et al. [1, Theorem 1, p. 95 and Corollaries 1,2, p. 96] involving the classes $S^{\lambda}(b)$ and $C^{\lambda}(b)$, respectively, which also include the results of Obradovic et al. [15] for the classes $S(b), S^{\lambda}$ and $S^{\lambda}(1-\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha<1$.
(2) The results (i) and (ii) of Corollary 2.1 coincide with the result of Obradovic and Owa [16, Theorem 2, p. 363] for the class $S^{*}(A, B)$ and its subclass $S^{*}(\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha<1$.

For real $\beta$, Theorem 2.2 simplifies to the following form:
Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ and for positive real

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta=\frac{|B|}{p(A-B)},  \tag{2.10}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d}>\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1-p(A-B) \beta, B>0 \\
\frac{1}{1+p(A-B) \beta}, B<0
\end{array}, z \in \mathbb{U} .\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. From (2.8), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\beta d} & \geq \inf _{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}(1+B z)^{\frac{p(A-B) \beta}{B}} \\
& >\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1-|B|, B>0 \\
\frac{1}{1+|B|}, B<0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the result (2.11) upon using (2.10).
Remark 2.2. By setting $b=1=p$, replacing $\beta$ by $\beta \cos \lambda$, Corollary 2.2 for $B=-1, A=1-2 \alpha$, and for $g(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$, corresponds to the known results of Obradovic and Owa [17, Theorem 1, p. 440], (for the case when $n=1$ ). Also, on setting $b=1=p$, replacing $\beta$ by $\frac{\beta}{2}$, Corollary 2.2 for $B=-1, A=1$, and for $g(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$, correspond to the known result [17, Corollary 1, p. 442] (for the when case $n=1$ ).

A more compact form of the result occurs when $\beta=\frac{B}{p(A-B)}$ in (2.8) of Theorem 2.2, and this result is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}<1+B z, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and hence, the Marx-Strohhacker type inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}-1\right|<|B|, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Corollary 2.3 is the known result of Dileep and Latha ([5], Theorem 3.3, p. 543) for the class $S_{p}^{\lambda}(A, B, b)$.

Further, for real $\beta=\frac{B}{p(A-B)}$, and setting $g(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{1-z}$ and $g(z)=\frac{(p+(1-p) z) z^{p}}{p(1-z)^{2}}$, respectively, Theorem 2.2 yields the following results:

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}<1+B z, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and hence, the Marx-Strohhacker type inequality:

$$
\left|\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}-1\right|<|B|, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $f \in Q_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; A, B)$ with $B \neq 0$ be such that $\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, then for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}<1+B z, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and hence, the Marx-Strohhacker type inequality:

$$
\left|\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{d B}{p(A-B)}}-1\right|<|B|, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Remark 2.4. For $p=1$, Corollary 2.4 gives the known result of Polatoglu and Sen ([18], Theorem 2, p. 93).

## 3. Coefficient Inequality

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ be of the form (1.1) and $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{C},|\lambda|<\pi / 2$, $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. If the coefficients of $f(z)$ satisfy for some $g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ of the form (1.3) and for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right) \frac{(1+|B|)|d|}{A-B}+1\right\}\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$. Furthermore, the inequality (3.1) is necessary if $f * g \in \mathcal{T}_{p}$ satisfies for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}(|b| \leq 1), B<0$, the subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}<\frac{1+\left(B+\frac{A-B}{|d|}\right) z}{1+B z}, z \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality is sharp for the functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{A-B}{\left\{\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right)(1+|B|)|d|+A-B\right\}\left|b_{n}\right|} z^{n}, n \in\{p+1, p+2, \ldots\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, respectively, be of the form (1.1) and (1.3). To show that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$, we need to show in view of (1.7) that for some Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ :

$$
|w(z)|=\left|\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)-p(f * g)(z)}{B z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)-p\left(B+\frac{A-B}{d}\right)(f * g)(z)}\right|<1, z \in \mathbb{U},
$$

which yields that

$$
|w(z)|<\frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right)\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right|}{\frac{A-B}{|d|}-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right)|B|+\frac{A-B}{|d|}\right\}\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right|} \leq 1
$$

provided that (3.1) holds. Conversely, if $f * g \in \mathcal{T}_{p}$ satisfies for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}$ ( $|b| \leq 1$ ), $B<0$, the subordination (3.2), then we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}\right)>\frac{1-\left(B+\frac{A-B}{|d|}\right)}{1-B}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}\right)>\frac{1+|B|-\frac{A-B}{|d|}}{1+|B|}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)}$ to be real for real values of $z$, and using the inequality (3.4), we get

$$
(1+|B|) z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)-p\left(1+|B|-\frac{A-B}{|d|}\right)(f * g)(z)>0
$$

and this inequality upon using the corresponding series expansions, and then letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$along the real line gives the desired inequality (3.1). Sharpness of the result can be verified for the function given by (3.3).

If we put $A=1-2 \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1, B=-1, b=1$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following coefficient inequality for the class $\mathcal{S}_{p, \alpha}^{\lambda}(g)$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ be of the form (1.1) and $|\lambda|<\pi / 2,0 \leq \alpha<1$. If the coefficients of $f(z)$ satisfy for some $g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ of the form (1.3) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{n-p}{1-\alpha}\right) \frac{\sec \lambda}{p}+1\right]\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right| \leq 1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p, \alpha}^{\lambda}(g)$. Inequality (3.5) is necessary for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, if $f * g \in \mathcal{T}_{p}$ satisfies the subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(f * g)^{\prime}(z)}{p(f * g)(z)}<1+\frac{2(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. For $p=1, g(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$, the above inequality (3.5) of Corollary 3.1 coincides with the result of Kwon and Owa [8, Theorem 2.3, p. 21] which was obtained by a different method.

## 4. Subordination Result

In this section we obtain a subordination theorem involving convolution by using the definition and a lemma due to Wilf [25], which is presented here in the following form.

Definition 4.1. A sequence $\left\{A_{n+p-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if whenever $h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} z^{n}, c_{p}=1$ is analytic, univalent and convex in $\mathbb{U}$, we have the subordination:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n+p-1} c_{n+p-1} z^{n}<h(z), z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Lemma 4.1. The sequence $\left\{A_{n+p-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n+p-1} z^{n}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ denote a subclass of the class $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$, if the functions, therein, are such that $f * g \in \mathcal{T}_{p}$ satisfies for $d=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{b \cos \lambda}(|b| \leq 1, B<0$,) the subordination condition (3.2).

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ and $\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}$ be a convex function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} \frac{(f * g * t)(z)}{z^{p-1}} \prec \frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}, z \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right)>-\frac{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

The quantity

$$
\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}
$$

cannot be replaced by any larger value.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ be of the form (1.1) with $g(z)$ given by (1.3) and $\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}} \in K$ (a class of convex functions) be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} z^{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it easily follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} \frac{(f * g * t)(z)}{z^{p-1}} \\
= & \frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]}\left(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1} c_{n+p-1} z^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Definition 4.1, the assertion of the theorem holds if the sequence

$$
\left\{\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}
$$

with $a_{p}=1=b_{p}$ is a subordinating factor sequence. In view of Lemma 4.1, this will be the case if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1} z^{n}\right]>0, z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $|z|=r$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1} z^{n}\right] \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} z+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{p(A-B)}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{(1+|B|)|d|}{p(A-B)}\right) a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1} z^{n}\right] \\
\geq & 1-\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} r- \\
& \frac{p(A-B)}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{(n-p)(1+|B|)|d|}{p(A-B)}\right)\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right| r^{n-p+1} \\
\geq & 1-\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} r-\frac{p(A-B)}{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} r^{2}, \text { by (3.1) } \\
> & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (4.3) is true, which proves the desired assertion (4.1).
In particular, if $f \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ and $\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}=\frac{z}{1-z}$, we obtain from (4.1):

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right)>-\frac{2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}, z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Sharpness can be seen for the function $f_{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}^{\lambda}(b ; g ; A, B)$ given by

$$
\left(f_{p} * g\right)(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p(A-B)}{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|} z^{p+1}
$$

Since, for this function $f_{p}$, and for $\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}=\frac{z}{1-z}$, from the relation (4.1), we get

$$
F(z):=\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} \frac{\left(f_{p} * g\right)(z)}{z^{p-1}}<\frac{z}{1-z}, z \in \mathbb{U},
$$

and it can be verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{|z| \leq 1} \operatorname{Re}(F(z)) \\
& =\min _{|z| \leq 1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} z-\frac{p(A-B)}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|]} z^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the quantity $\frac{p(A-B)+(1+|B|)|d|}{2[2 p(A-B)+(1+|B| \mid d]]}$ is best possible.
By putting $g(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{1-z}, A=1-2 \alpha, B=-1, b=1$ in Theorem 4.1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p, \alpha}^{\lambda}(g)$ and $\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}$ be a convex function $\forall z \in \mathbb{U}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda}{2(p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda)} \frac{(f * t)(z)}{z^{p-1}}<\frac{t(z)}{z^{p-1}}, z \in \mathbb{U} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right)>-\frac{2 p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda}{p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda}, z \in \mathbb{U} .
$$

The quantity

$$
\frac{p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda}{2(p(1-\alpha)+\sec \lambda)}
$$

cannot be replaced by any larger value.

Remark 4.1. For $p=1$, Corollary 4.1 coincides with the result of Kwon and Owa ([8], Theorem 2.4, p.22) which also includes the result of Singh [23] (for the case when $\alpha=0$ ); see also [6] and [19].
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