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1. Introduction

Starting with Hamilton’s paper, who introduced the Ricci flow around 1990s [7], the theory of Ricci solitons has been developed continuously. The Ricci flow is an evolution equation for a Riemannian metric, whose stationary solutions are the Ricci solitons. Their study is totally justified by the fact that their properties can provide useful information about the flow. Different notions of geometric solitons have recently been considered in the Riemannian setting, on manifolds carrying various structures or having certain types of potential vector fields. Throughout, almost $\eta$-Ricci solitons and almost $\eta$-Yamabe solitons with torse-forming potential vector field have been treated by the authors in [1]. Another generalization of Ricci soliton, namely, $\eta$-Ricci-Bourguignon soliton, will be further considered. Precisely, if $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$, $V$ is a vector field and $\eta$ is a
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1-form on $M$, then the data $(g, V, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ define an $\eta$-Ricci-Yamabe soliton (which we shall call, $\eta$-Ricci-Bourguignon soliton and will denote it by $\eta$-RBS) if [8]

$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \text{Ric} = (\alpha + \beta \text{scal}) g + \gamma \eta \otimes \eta,
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_V g$ stands for the Lie derivative of $g$ in the direction of $V$, $\text{Ric}$ is the Ricci curvature, $\text{scal}$ is the scalar curvature and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are real numbers. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are smooth functions on $M$, then we talk about an almost $\eta$-RBS. In particular, if $\gamma = 0$, (1.1) defines a $\beta$-Einstein soliton [2].

In this short paper, we point out some properties of almost $\eta$-RBS solitons whose potential vector field is concurrent, with a special view towards submanifolds. We prove that, under certain assumptions, if the manifold is Ricci symmetric, then its scalar curvature is constant. For the 3-dimensional case, we obtain some conditions on the defining functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. Also, we discuss the case when we have such type of soliton on a submanifold isometrically immersed into a Riemannian manifold, and whose potential vector field is the tangential component of a concurrent vector field on the ambient manifold. For the particular cases of an umbilical submanifold, of a pseudosymmetric hypersurface or isometrically immersed into a space of constant curvature, we formulate some conclusions. Also, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for an orientable hypersurface immersed into the unit sphere to admit such type of soliton whose potential vector field is the tangential component of a concurrent vector field on the Euclidean space.

2. Solitons with concurrent vector field

Assume further that $V$ is a concurrent vector field [10], that is, $\nabla V = I$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ and $I$ is the identity map. Then $\mathcal{L}_V g = 2g$, hence $V$ is a conformal vector field, and from (1.1), we derive

$$
\text{Ric} = (\alpha + \beta \text{scal} - 1) g + \gamma \eta \otimes \eta,
$$

hence

$$
Q = (\alpha + \beta \text{scal} - 1) I + \gamma \eta \otimes \tau,
$$

where $g(QX_1, X_2) := \text{Ric}(X_1, X_2)$ and $i_\tau g = \eta$, and also

$$
\text{scal} = \frac{1}{1 - n \beta} \left[ n(\alpha - 1) + \gamma |\tau|^2 \right],
$$

provided $\beta \neq \frac{1}{n}$.

Therefore, in particular cases, the soliton equation is very similar to the equation that defines a quasi-Einstein manifold. Recall that a non-flat Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ $(n \geq 3)$ is said to be a quasi-Einstein manifold [3], if $\text{Ric}$ is not identically zero and satisfies

$$
\text{Ric} = a_1 g + a_2 A \otimes A,
$$
for \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) non-zero smooth functions and \(A\) a non-zero 1-form. The functions \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) are called associated functions.

Now, using (2.1), we obtain

**Proposition 2.1.** If \((g, V, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \(\eta\)-RBS on \(M\) with concurrent vector field \(V\), then \(M\) is a quasi-Einstein manifold and the associated functions are \((\alpha + \beta)\) and \(\gamma\).

Now from \(\nabla V = I\), we also obtain

\[R(X_1, X_2)V = 0\]  
for any \(X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)\). Differentiating covariantly (2.1), we infer

\[(\nabla X_i, \text{Ric})(X_2, X_3) = [X_i(\alpha) + X_1(\beta)\text{scal} + \beta X_1(\text{scal})]g(X_2, X_3)\]

\[+ \gamma[\eta(X_3)(\nabla X_i, \eta)X_2 + \eta(X_2)(\nabla X_i, \eta)X_3] + X_1(\gamma)\eta(X_2)\eta(X_3),\]

for any \(X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)\) and we obtain

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \((g, V, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) define an \(\eta\)-RBS on \(M\) \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \neq 0)\) with concurrent potential vector field \(V\) and \(\nabla \eta = 0\). Then

(a) \(R(X_1, X_2)V = 0\), for any \(X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)\), in particular, \(V \in \ker Q\);

(b) \(M\) is Ricci symmetric (that is, \(\nabla \text{Ric} = 0\)) if and only if \(M\) has constant scalar curvature;

(c) \(\text{Ric}\) is a Codazzi tensor field (that is, \(\nabla X_i, \text{Ric})(X_2, X_3) = (\nabla X_i, \text{Ric})(X_1, X_3)\),

for any \(X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)\) if and only if \(d(\text{scal}) \otimes I = I \otimes d(\text{scal})\).

Proof. If \(V\) is concurrent and \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are constant, from (2.4) follows (a). From (2.5), we deduce

\[(\nabla X_i, \text{Ric})(X_2, X_3) = \beta X_1(\text{scal})g(X_2, X_3),\]

for any \(X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)\) and we find (b) and (c). \(\square\)

Next we shall make some remarks on the 3-dimensional case. It is known that the Riemann curvature tensor of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) is given by

\[R(X_1, X_2)X_3 = \text{Ric}(X_2, X_3)X_1 - \text{Ric}(X_1, X_3)X_2\]

\[+ g(X_2, X_3)QX_1 - g(X_1, X_3)QX_2 - \frac{\text{scal}}{2}[g(X_2, X_3)X_1 - g(X_1, X_3)X_2],\]

for any \(X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)\).

For \(\nabla V = I\), (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6) imply

\[R(X_1, X_2)X_3 = \left[2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{4\beta - 1}{2}\text{scal}\right]g(X_2, X_3) + \gamma\eta(X_2)\eta(X_3)\]

\[\times X_1\]
\[- \left[ \left( 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{4\beta - 1}{2} \text{scal} \right) g(X_1, X_3) + \gamma \eta(X_1) \eta(X_3) \right] X_2 \]
\[+\gamma[\eta(X_1)g(X_2, X_3) - \eta(X_2)g(X_1, X_3)]V.\]

Therefore, by means of (2.4) and (2.7), we infer
\[\left( 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{4\beta - 1}{2} \text{scal} \right) + \gamma |V|^2 \]
\[\eta(X_2)X_1 \]
\[= \left( 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{4\beta - 1}{2} \text{scal} \right) + \gamma |V|^2 \eta(X_1)X_2,\]

for any \(X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)\), which implies
\[(2.8) \quad \left( 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{4\beta - 1}{2} \text{scal} \right) + \gamma |V|^2 = 0\]

and we can state:

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \((g, V, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) define an \(\eta\)-RBS on a 3-dimensional manifold \(M\) with concurrent potential vector field \(V\).

(a) If \(\beta = \frac{1}{2}\), then \(\alpha = 1, \gamma = -\frac{1}{2|V|^2} \text{scal}\).

(b) If \(\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}\), then the scalar curvature equals to \(\frac{2(\alpha - 1)}{1-2\beta}\).

**Proof.** From (2.3) we have
\[(2.9) \quad \gamma |V|^2 = (1 - 3\beta)\text{scal} - 3(\alpha - 1).\]

Combining (2.9) with (2.8), we find
\[(2.10) \quad (1 - 2\beta)\text{scal} = 2(\alpha - 1).\]

For \(\beta = \frac{1}{2}\), (2.10) implies \(\alpha = 1\) and we get (a) by means of (2.9). \(\square\)

**Remark 2.1.** From the previous proposition, we deduce that if \(\beta = \frac{1}{2}\), then \(\gamma \text{scal} \leq 0\) and if \(\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}\), then \((\alpha - 1)(2\beta - 1)\gamma \geq 0\).

Also, differentiating covariantly (2.10) and (2.9) with respect to \(X_1\), we consequently obtain
\[(2.11) \quad \beta X_1(\text{scal}) + X_1(\beta)\text{scal} = -X_1(\alpha)\]
and
\[(2.12) \quad \gamma X_1(|V|^2) + X_1(\gamma)|V|^2 = -3[\beta X_1(\text{scal}) + X_1(\beta)\text{scal} + X_1(\alpha)]\]
and we can state:
Proposition 2.4. Let \((g,V,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\) define an \(\eta\)-RBS on a 3-dimensional manifold \(M\) with concurrent potential vector field \(V\).

(a) If \(M\) is connected and \(\alpha,\beta\) are constant, then the scalar curvature of \(M\) is constant provided \(\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}\).

(b) If \(\alpha,\beta\) and \(\gamma\) are constants, then the vector field \(V\) is collinear with \(\text{grad}(\text{scal})\) provided \(\gamma \neq 0\).

Proof. (a) follows from (2.11) and for (b), notice that, if \(\alpha,\beta\) and \(\gamma\) are constants, then, for any \(X_1 \in \chi(M)\), we get
\[
\gamma X_1(|V|^2) = -3\beta X_1(\text{scal}),
\]
from (2.12), which is equivalent to
\[
2\gamma g(X_1,V) = -3\beta g(X_1,\text{grad}(\text{scal})),
\]
hence the conclusion. \(\square\)

3. Submanifolds as almost \(\eta\)-RBS

Let \((\tilde{M},\tilde{g})\) be a Riemannian manifold, let \(M\) be an \(n\)-dimensional isometrically immersed submanifold and denote by \(g\) the induced Riemannian metric on \(M\). Then the two Levi-Civita connections, \(\nabla\) and \(\tilde{\nabla}\), on \((M,g)\) and \((\tilde{M},\tilde{g})\), respectively, satisfy the Gauss and Weingarten equations:
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X X_2 = \nabla_X X_2 + h(X_1,X_2),
\]
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X N = -B_N(X_1) + \nabla_{\tilde{X}_1} N,
\]
where \(h\) is the second fundamental form and \(B_N\) is the shape operator in the direction of the normal vector field \(N\), that is, \(\tilde{g}(B_N(X_1),X_2) = \tilde{g}(h(X_1,X_2),N)\) for \(X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)\).

The submanifold \(M\) is said to be \(N\)-umbilical \([4]\) (with respect to a normal vector field \(N\)), if its shape operator satisfies \(B_N = \phi I\), for \(\phi\) a function on \(M\) and \(I\) is the identity map.

Now we shall describe almost \(\eta\)-RBS on a submanifold \(M\) having as potential vector field the tangential component of a concurrent vector field \(V\) on \(\tilde{M}\), that is, \(\tilde{\nabla} V = I\).

Let \(X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)\). Then
\[
X_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} V = \nabla_{X_1} V^T + h(X_1,V^T) - B_{V^\perp}(X_1) + \nabla_{\tilde{X}_1} V^\perp.
\]
and we infer
\[ \nabla X_1 V^T = X_1 + B_{V^\perp}(X_1). \]

Then we get
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_{V^T} g)(X_1, X_2) = \frac{1}{2}[g(\nabla X_1 V^T, X_2) + g(\nabla X_2 V^T, X_1)] \\
= g(X_1, X_2) + \tilde{g}(h(X_1, X_2), V^\perp)
\]
and we can state:

**Theorem 3.1.** If \( V \) is a concurrent vector field on \( (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \), then \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \) if and only if
\[
\text{Ric}(X_1, X_2) = (\alpha + \beta \text{scal} - 1)g(X_1, X_2) + \gamma \eta(X_1)\eta(X_2) \\
- \tilde{g}(h(X_1, X_2), V^\perp),
\]
for any \( X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M) \), where \( \text{Ric} \) is the Ricci curvature tensor of \( M \).

Contracting now (3.1), if we denote by \( \rho_{\overrightarrow{H}} \) the restriction of \( \tilde{g}(\overrightarrow{H}, V^\perp) \) to \( M \), we get the expression of the scalar curvature of \( M \)
\[
\text{scal} = \frac{n(\alpha - 1 - \rho_{\overrightarrow{H}}) + \gamma |\tau|^2}{1 - n\beta},
\]
provided \( \beta \neq \frac{1}{n} \).

Now, for a \( V^\perp \)-umbilical submanifold, by means of (3.1), we state:

**Corollary 3.1.** If \( V \) is a concurrent vector field on \( (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \) and \( M \) is \( V^\perp \)-umbilical, then \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \) if and only if \( M \) is a quasi-Einstein manifold with associated functions \((\alpha + \beta \text{scal} - 1 - \phi)\) and \( \gamma \).

In the particular case of a hypersurface, since
\[
\tilde{g}(h(X_1, X_2), V^\perp) = g(B(X_1), X_2)\tilde{g}(N, V^\perp) = H(X_1, X_2)\tilde{g}(N, V^\perp),
\]
where \( N \) is the unit normal vector field of \( M \) and \( H \) is the second fundamental tensor field, if we denote by \( \rho \) the restriction of \( \tilde{g}(N, V^\perp) \) to \( M \), then we obtain:

**Corollary 3.2.** If \( V \) is a concurrent vector field on \( (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \) and \( M \) is a hypersurface of \( \tilde{M} \), then \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \) if and only if the equality
\[
\text{Ric} = (\alpha + \beta \text{scal} - 1)g - \rho H + \gamma \eta \otimes \eta
\]
holds on \( M \).
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If the ambient space is of constant curvature, we prove the next result.

**Theorem 3.2.** If \( V \) is a concurrent vector field on an \((n+1)\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold \((\tilde{M}(c), \tilde{g})\) of constant curvature \( c \), \( M \) is a hypersurface of \( \tilde{M} \) and \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \), then the second fundamental tensor field \( H \) of \( M \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
H^2 &= [\rho + \text{trace}(H)] H - \gamma \eta \otimes \eta \\
&+ \left[ (n-1)c - \alpha - \beta \left( \text{trace}(H) \right)^2 - \text{trace}(H^2) + n(n-1)c \right] - 1 \right] g
\end{align*}
\] (3.3)

**Proof.** From the Gauss equation, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ric}(X_1, X_2) &= \text{trace}(H)H(X_1, X_2) - H^2(X_1, X_2) + (n-1)c g(X_1, X_2)
\end{align*}
\] (3.4)

and

\[
\text{scal} = (\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2) + n(n-1)c.
\]

Comparing the right hand sides of (3.2) and (3.4), we find

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \alpha + \beta \left( (\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2) + n(n-1)c \right) - 1 \right] g(X_1, X_2)
&- \rho H(X_1, X_2) + \gamma \eta(X_1) \eta(X_2)
= \text{trace}(H)H(X_1, X_2) - H^2(X_1, X_2) + (n-1)c g(X_1, X_2),
\end{align*}
\]

thus we obtain (3.3). \( \square \)

It is known that the position vector field of a hypersurface isometrically immersed into an Euclidean space is a concurrent vector field. Therefore, Theorem 3.2 can be given, in this case, like follows.

**Proposition 3.1.** If \( V \) is the position vector field of an isometrically immersed hypersurface \( M \) in an Euclidean space and \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \), then the second fundamental tensor field \( H \) of \( M \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
H^2 &= [\rho + \text{trace}(H)] H - \gamma \eta \otimes \eta - \left[ \alpha + \beta \left( (\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2) \right) - 1 \right] g
\end{align*}
\] (3.3)

Moreover, it is a gradient soliton with the potential vector field \( V^T = \text{grad}(f) \), for

\[
f := \frac{1}{2}(|V^T|^2 + \rho^2).
\]

**Proof.** Just remark that, since for any vector field \( X_1 \) on \( M \), we have

\[
\nabla_{X_1} V^T = X_1 + \rho B_N(X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{grad}(\rho) = -B_N(V^T),
\]

we infer

\[
X_1(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_1(|V^T|^2) + X_1(\rho^2) \right) = g(\nabla_{X_1} V^T, V^T) + \rho X_1(\rho) = g(X_1, V^T).
\]

\( \square \)
Now we will look at pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature and prove that, under certain assumptions, if the hypersurface admits an \( \eta \)-RBS having as potential vector field the tangential component of a concurrent vector field on the ambient space, then it must be a \( \beta \)-Einstein soliton. Let \( (M,g) \) be a Riemannian manifold and let \( R \) be its Riemann curvature tensor field. Then the tensor fields \( R \cdot R \) and \( Q(g,R) \) are defined by

\[
(R(X_1, X_2) \cdot R)(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4) := -R(R(X_1, X_2)U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4)
\]

\[-... - R(U_1, U_2, U_3, R(X_1, X_2)U_4)\]

and

\[
Q(g,R)(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4; X_1, X_2) := -R((X_1 \wedge X_2)U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4)
\]

\[-... - R(U_1, U_2, U_3, (X_1 \wedge X_2)U_4),\]

respectively, where \( X_1 \wedge X_2 \) is given by

\[
(X_1 \wedge X_2)X_3 := g(X_2, X_3)X_1 - g(X_1, X_3)X_2,
\]

for \( X_1, X_2, X_3, U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 \in \chi(M) \) [5].

An \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( (M,g) \) is said to be pseudosymmetric, if the condition

\[
R \cdot R = fQ(g,R)
\]

holds on the set \( U \) defined by

\[
U := \left\{ p \in M : \left( R - \frac{\text{scal}}{n(n-1)} G \right) \neq 0 \text{ at } p \right\},
\]

where \( f \) is some smooth function on \( U \) and the \((0,4)\)-tensor field \( G \) is defined by

\[
G(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4) := g((U_1 \wedge U_2)U_3, U_4),
\]

for \( U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 \in \chi(M) \) [5]. In [6], it was proved the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \tilde{M}(c, \tilde{g}) \) be an \( (n+1) \)-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature \( c \) \((n \geq 3)\) and let \( M \) be a hypersurface of \( \tilde{M} \). If at a point \( p \in U \subset M \), the second fundamental tensor field \( H \) satisfies

\[
H^2 = \alpha H + \beta g,
\]

with \( \alpha, \beta \) smooth functions, then the relation

\[
R \cdot R = \left( \frac{\tilde{\text{scal}}}{n(n-1)} - \beta \right) Q(g,R),
\]

holds at \( p \), where \( \tilde{\text{scal}} \) is the scalar curvature of \( \tilde{M} \) restricted to \( M \).
Then we can state the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \left( \tilde{M}(c), \tilde{g} \right) \) be an \((n + 1)\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature \( c \) \((n \geq 3)\), let \( M \) be a pseudosymmetric hypersurface of \( \tilde{M} \), whose second fundamental tensor field \( H \) satisfies

\[
H^2 = [\rho + \text{trace}(H)] H + \left[(n-1)c - \alpha - \beta \left((\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2)\right) + n(n-1)c\right] g
\]

and let \( V \) be a concurrent vector field on \( \tilde{M} \). If \((g, V^T, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \), then it is a \( \beta \)-Einstein soliton.

**Proof.** Notice that (3.3) combined with (3.5) implies

\[
\gamma \eta \otimes \eta = 0,
\]

hence \( \gamma = 0 \), which means that the soliton is a \( \beta \)-Einstein soliton. \( \square \)

Further, a similar result as the one obtained in Theorem 3.3 given in [9], can be formulated in our setting.

Let \( M \) be an immersed hypersurface of the sphere \( S^{n+1}(1) \). Denote by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) the Euclidean metric on the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \) and by \( g \) the induced metric on \( M \), as well as, on \( S^{n+1}(1) \). Consider a concurrent vector field \( V \) on \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \). If \( N \) and \( B \) are the unit normal vector field and the shape operator of \( M \) in \( S^{n+1}(1) \), and \( N_S \) is the unit normal vector field of \( S^{n+1}(1) \) in \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \), then we define the functions \( \delta, \varrho \) on \( M \) by

\[
\delta = \langle V, N \rangle \big|_M \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho = \langle V, N_S \rangle \big|_M
\]

and the restriction of \( V \) to \( M \) can be represented as \( V \big|_M = U + \delta N + gN_S \), for \( U \in \chi(M) \). Then we prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( V \) be a concurrent vector field on the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \) and let \( M \) be an orientable hypersurface of the unit sphere \( S^{n+1}(1) \). Denote by \( \xi \) the component of \( V \) tangent to \( S^{n+1}(1) \) and by \( U \) the component of \( \xi \) tangent to \( M \). Then \((g, U, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) defines an almost \( \eta \)-RBS on \( M \) if and only if the equality

\[
H^2 = [\text{trace}(H) + \delta] H + \left[n - \varrho - \alpha - \beta \left((\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2)\right) + n(n-1)c\right] g - \gamma \eta \otimes \eta
\]

holds on \( M \).

**Proof.** Let \( \nabla, \bar{\nabla} \) and \( D \) be the Levi-Civita connections on \( M, S^{n+1}(1) \) and \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \), respectively. We have

\[
V \big|_{S^{n+1}(1)} = \xi + gN_S,
\]
and for any $X_1 \in \chi(M)$, by taking the covariant derivative with respect to $X_1$, we obtain

$$X_1 = D_{X_1}V = D_{X_1}\xi + X_1(\varphi)N_S + \varphi D_{X_1}N_S.$$

By means of Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we get

$$X_1 = \nabla_{X_1}\xi - g(X_1,\xi)N_S + X_1(\varphi)N_S + \varphi D_{X_1}N_S.$$

Looking at the tangential and the normal components, we deduce

$$\nabla_{X_1}\xi + (\varphi - 1)X_1 = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$X_1(\varphi) - g(X_1,\xi) = 0.$$

The vector field $\xi$ on $S^{n+1}(1)$ can be written as

$$\xi = U + \delta N$$

which combined with (3.7), gives

$$\nabla_{X_1}(U + \delta N) + (\varphi - 1)X_1 = 0.$$

Again, from Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we infer

$$-(\varphi - 1)X_1 = \nabla_{X_1}U + g(B(X_1),U)N + X_1(\delta)N - \delta B(X_1).$$

Looking again at the tangential and the normal components, we deduce

$$\nabla_{X_1}U = -(\varphi - 1)X_1 + \delta B(X_1) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$0 = g(B(X_1),U) + X_1(\delta).$$

Therefore, for any $X_1, X_2 \in \chi(M)$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_U g \rangle (X_1, X_2) = g(\nabla_{X_1}U, X_2) + g(\nabla_{X_2}U, X_1)$$

$$= -2(\varphi - 1)g(X_1, X_2) + 2\delta H(X_1, X_2).$$

Since the Gauss equation for a hypersurface $M$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$ gives

$$(3.10) \quad Ric(X_1, X_2) = (n - 1)g(X_1, X_2) + trace(H)H(X_1, X_2) - H^2(X_1, X_2),$$

by means of (3.9) and (3.10), we infer

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{L}_U g \rangle (X_1, X_2) + Ric(X_1, X_2) = (n - \varphi)g(X_1, X_2)$$

$$+ [trace(H) + \delta] H(X_1, X_2) - H^2(X_1, X_2).$$
Contracting now (3.10), we get

$$\text{scal} = n(n - 1) + (\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2).$$

If there exist smooth functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ on $M$ such that (3.6) holds, then we get

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_U g)(X_1, X_2) + \text{Ric}(X_1, X_2)$$
$$= \left[ \alpha + \beta (n(n - 1) + (\text{trace}(H))^2 - \text{trace}(H^2)) \right] g(X_1, X_2) + \gamma \eta(X_1) \eta(X_2),$$

hence $(M, g)$ is an almost $\eta$-RBS. The other implication immediately follows. 

REFERENCES