

TAUBERIAN CONDITIONS FOR q -CESÀRO INTEGRABILITY

Sefa A. Sezer and İbrahim Çanak

© 2020 by University of Niš, Serbia | Creative Commons Licence: CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract. Given a q -integrable function f on $[0, \infty)$, we define $s(x) = \int_0^x f(t)d_q t$ and $\sigma(s(x)) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x s(t)d_q t$ for $x > 0$. It is known that if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x)$ exists and is equal to A , then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(s(x)) = A$. But the converse of this implication is not true in general. Our goal is to obtain Tauberian conditions imposed on the general control modulo of $s(x)$ under which the converse implication holds. These conditions generalize some previously obtained Tauberian conditions.

Keywords: q -integrable function; Tauberian conditions; q -derivative; q -integrals; quantum calculus.

1. Introduction

The first formulae of what we now call quantum calculus or q -calculus were introduced by Euler in the 18th century. Many notable results were obtained in the 19th century. In the early 20th century, Jackson defined the notions of q -derivative [9] and definite q -integral [10]. Also, he was the first to develop q -calculus in a systematic way. Following Jackson's papers, q -calculus has received an increasing attention of many researchers due to its vast applications in mathematics and physics.

We will now give some concepts of the q -calculus necessary for the understanding of this work. We follow the terminology and notations from the book of Kac and Cheung [11]. In what follows, q is a real number satisfying $0 < q < 1$.

The q -derivative $D_q f(x)$ of an arbitrary function $f(x)$ is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x - qx}, \text{ if } x \neq 0,$$

where $D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ provided $f'(0)$ exists. If $f(x)$ is differentiable, then $D_q f(x)$ tends to $f'(x)$ as q tends to 1.

Notice that the q -derivative satisfies the following q -analogue of Leibniz rule

$$D_q(f(x)g(x)) = f(qx)D_q g(x) + g(x)D_q f(x).$$

The q -integrals from 0 to a and from 0 to ∞ are given by

$$\int_0^a f(x)d_q x = (1-q)a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n)q^n$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x)d_q x = (1-q)a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(q^n)q^n$$

provided the sums converge absolutely. On a general interval $[a, b]$, the q -integral is defined by

$$\int_a^b f(x)d_q x = \int_0^b f(x)d_q x - \int_0^a f(x)d_q x.$$

The q -integral and the q -derivative are related by the fundamental theorem of quantum calculus as follows:

If $F(x)$ is an anti q -derivative of $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ is continuous at $x = 0$, then

$$\int_a^b f(x)d_q x = F(b) - F(a), \quad 0 \leq a < b \leq \infty.$$

In addition, we have

$$D_q \left(\int_0^x f(t)d_q t \right) = f(x).$$

A function $f(x)$ is said to be q -integrable on $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ if the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n f(q^n)$ converges absolutely. We denote the set of all functions that are q -integrable on \mathbb{R}_+ by $L_q^1(\mathbb{R}_{q,+})$, where

$$\mathbb{R}_{q,+} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

One may consult the recent books [2, 1] for further results and several applications of q -calculus.

Throughout this paper we assume that $f(x)$ is q -integrable on \mathbb{R}_+ and $s(x) = \int_0^x f(t)d_q t$. The symbol $s(x) = o(1)$ means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = 0$. The q -Cesàro mean of $s(x)$ are defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(s(x)) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x s(t)d_q t.$$

The integral $\int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t$ is said to be q -Cesàro integrable (or $(C_q, 1)$ integrable) to a finite A , in symbols: $s(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$, if

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x) = A.$$

If the q -integral

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t = A$$

exists, then the limit (1.1) also exists [6]. That is, q -Cesàro integrability method is regular. The converse is not necessarily true (see [15], Example 1). Adding some suitable condition to (1.1), which is called a Tauberian condition, may imply (1.2). Any theorem which states that the convergence of the q -integral follows from its q -Cesàro integrability and some Tauberian condition is called a Tauberian theorem.

The difference between $s(x)$ and its q -Cesàro mean is given by the identity [6]

$$(1.3) \quad s(x) - \sigma(x) = qv(x),$$

where $v(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x tf(t)d_q t$. The identity (1.3) will be used in the various steps of proofs.

For each integer, $m \geq 0$, $\sigma_m(x)$ and $v_m(x)$ are defined by

$$\sigma_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sigma_{m-1}(t)d_q t & , m \geq 1 \\ s(x) & , m = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x v_{m-1}(t)d_q t & , m \geq 1 \\ v(x) & , m = 0 \end{cases}$$

The relationship between $\sigma_m(x)$ and $v_m(x)$ can be easily obtained by (1.3) as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_m(x) - \sigma_{m+1}(x) = qv_m(x).$$

The classical control modulo of $s(x) = \int_0^x f(t)d_q t$ is denoted by

$$\omega_0(x) = xD_q(s(x)) = xf(x),$$

and the general control modulo of integer order $m \geq 1$ of $s(x)$ is defined by

$$\omega_{m-1}(x) - \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = q\omega_m(x).$$

Note that the concepts of classical and general control modulo were first introduced by Çanak and Totur [3] for the integrals in standard calculus.

A function $f(x)$ is said to satisfy the property (P) (see [7]), if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(qx)| < \epsilon$$

for all $x > K$.

Recently, Fitouhi and Brahim [7], Çanak et al. [6] and Totur et al. [15] have determined Tauberian conditions using this property. Moreover, Çanak et al. [6] showed that if $s(x)$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) , its q -Cesàro mean $\sigma(x)$ then also satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) .

Slowly oscillating real-valued functions were introduced by Schmidt [14]. A function $f(x)$ is said to be slowly oscillating, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $x > y > K$ and $x/y \rightarrow 1$. Slow oscillation condition were used in a number of Tauberian theorems for the Cesàro integrability [4, 5], logarithmic integrability [12, 16] and weighted mean integrability [13, 17] in standard calculus. Consider that, as q tends to 1, the property (\mathcal{P}) corresponds to slow oscillation of a function.

The following theorems are the q -analogues of classical Tauberian theorems due to the Hardy [8] and Schmidt [14], respectively.

Theorem 1.1. ([7]) *If $s(x)$ is q -Cesàro integrable to A and*

$$(1.5) \quad \omega_0(x) = o(1),$$

then $\int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t = A$.

Theorem 1.2. ([6],[7]) *If $s(x)$ is q -Cesàro integrable to A and satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) , then $\int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t = A$.*

The purpose of this study is to generalize the above theorems by imposing Tauberian conditions on the general control modulo of integer order $m \geq 1$.

2. Main Results

In this paper, we shall prove the following Tauberian theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *If $s(x)$ is q -Cesàro integrable to A and*

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_m(x) = o(1)$$

for some integer $m \geq 0$, then $\int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t = A$.

Remark 2.1. It follows from the definition of the general control modulo that condition (1.5) implies the condition (2.1).

Theorem 2.2. *If $s(x)$ is q -Cesàro integrable to A and $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) for some integer $m \geq 0$, then $\int_0^\infty f(t)d_q t = A$.*

Remark 2.2. Let the function $s(x)$ satisfy the property (\mathcal{P}) , then so does the function $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ for any non-negative integer m .

Remark 2.3. For the case $m = 0$ in Theorem 2.2, we observe that $v(x)$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) which means that it is a Tauberian condition for the q -Cesàro integrability [6].

3. Auxiliary Results

In this section we state and prove some lemmas which are needed for the brevity of proofs of our main results.

Lemma 3.1. *For every integer $m \geq 1$,*

$$(3.1) \quad xD_q(\sigma_m(x)) = v_{m-1}(x).$$

Proof. Taking the q -derivative of $\sigma_m(x)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(\sigma_m(x)) &= D_q \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sigma_{m-1}(t) d_q t \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{qx} \sigma_{m-1}(x) - \frac{1}{qx^2} \int_0^x \sigma_{m-1}(t) d_q t \\ &= \frac{1}{qx} (\sigma_{m-1}(x) - \sigma_m(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying the identity (1.3) to $\sigma_{m-1}(x)$, we get $D_q(\sigma_m(x)) = \frac{v_{m-1}(x)}{x}$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For every integer $m \geq 1$,*

- (i) $xf(x) - v(x) = qx D_q(v(x))$
- (ii) $v_{m-1}(x) - v_m(x) = qx D_q(v_m(x))$.

Proof. (i) Taking the q -derivative and then multiplying both sides of identity (1.3) by x , we get

$$xD_q(s(x)) - xD_q(\sigma(x)) = qx D_q(v(x)).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$xf(x) - v(x) = qx D_q(v(x)).$$

(ii) Taking the q -derivative of both sides of (1.4), we have

$$(3.2) \quad D_q(\sigma_m(x)) - D_q(\sigma_{m+1}(x)) = q D_q(v_m(x)).$$

Then, multiplying (3.2) by x yields

$$xD_q(\sigma_m(x)) - xD_q(\sigma_{m+1}(x)) = qx D_q(v_m(x)).$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we prove that

$$v_{m-1}(x) - v_m(x) = qx D_q(v_m(x)).$$

\square

Lemma 3.3. For every integer $m \geq 1$,

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma(xD_q(v_{m-1}(x))) = xD_q(v_m(x)).$$

Proof. Taking Cesàro means of both sides of the identity in Lemma 3.2 (ii), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(xD_q(v_{m-1}(x))) &= q^{-1}[\sigma(v_{m-2}(x)) - \sigma(v_{m-1}(x))] \\ &= q^{-1}(v_{m-1}(x) - v_m(x)) \\ &= xD_q(v_m(x)). \end{aligned}$$

□

For a function $f(x)$, we define

$$(xD_q)_m(f(x)) = (xD_q)_{m-1}(xD_q(f(x))) = xD_q((xD_q)_{m-1}(f(x))),$$

where $(xD_q)_0(f(x)) = f(x)$ and $(xD_q)_1(f(x)) = xD_q(f(x))$.

Lemma 3.4. For every integer $m \geq 1$,

$$(3.4) \quad \omega_m(x) = (xD_q)_m(v_{m-1}(x)).$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by using mathematical induction. From the definition of the general control modulo for $m = 1$ and Lemma 3.2 (i), we get

$$\omega_1(x) = q^{-1}(\omega_0(x) - \sigma(\omega_0(x))) = q^{-1}(xf(x) - v(x)) = xD_q(v(x)).$$

Assume the assertion holds for some positive integer $m = k$. That is, assume that

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_k(x) = (xD_q)_k(v_{k-1}(x)).$$

We show that the assertion is true for $m = k + 1$. That is,

$$\omega_{k+1}(x) = (xD_q)_{k+1}(v_k(x)).$$

By definition of the general control modulo for $m = k + 1$, we have

$$\omega_{k+1}(x) = q^{-1}(\omega_k(x) - \sigma(\omega_k(x))).$$

Considering Lemma 3.2 (ii) and Lemma 3.3 together with (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k+1}(x) &= q^{-1}[(xD_q)_k(v_{k-1}(x)) - (xD_q)_k(v_k(x))] \\ &= q^{-1}(xD_q)_k(v_{k-1}(x) - v_k(x)) \\ &= (xD_q)_{k+1}(v_k(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that Lemma 3.4 is true for each integer $m \geq 1$. □

Lemma 3.5. If $s(x)$ is q -Cesàro integrable to some finite number A , then for each non-negative integer m , $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ is q -Cesàro integrable to 0.

Proof. If $s(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$, then it is known that $\sigma(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$. Thus, it follows from the identity (1.3) that $v(x) = \sigma(\omega_0(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Replacing $s(x)$ with $v(x)$ in (1.3), we write

$$(3.6) \quad v(x) - v_1(x) = qx D_q(v_1(x)) = q\sigma(\omega_1(x)).$$

Then, (3.6) implies $\sigma(\omega_1(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Now, applying (1.3) to $x D_q(v_1(x))$, we get

$$(3.7) \quad x D_q(v_1(x)) - x D_q(v_2(x)) = q(x D_q)_2 v_2(x) = q\sigma(\omega_2(x)).$$

Hence from (3.7), $\sigma(\omega_2(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Continuing in the same manner, we obtain $\sigma(\omega_m(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$ for each non-negative integer m .

□

Lemma 3.6. *For every non-negative integer m and k ,*

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma_k(\omega_m(x)) = \omega_m(\sigma_k(x)).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 respectively, it follows

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\omega_m(x)) &= \sigma_k((x D_q)_m v_{m-1}(x)) \\ &= (x D_q)_{m+1} \sigma_{m+k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.1 into account we find

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_m(\sigma_k(x)) &= (x D_q)_m v_{m-1}(\sigma_k(x)) \\ &= (x D_q)_{m+1}(\sigma_{m+k}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is completed from the equality of (3.9) and (3.10). □

The following lemma shows a different representation of the difference $s(x) - \sigma(x)$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any function $s(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$, we have the identity*

$$(3.11) \quad s(x) - \sigma(x) = \frac{q}{1-q}(\sigma(x) - \sigma(qx)),$$

where $\sigma(qx) = \frac{1}{qx} \int_0^{qx} s(t) d_q t$.

Proof. By the definition of the q -integral, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{qx} s(t) d_q t &= (1-q)qx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(xq^{n+1})q^n \\ &= (1-q)x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s(xq^n)q^n \\ &= (1-q)x \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s(xq^n)q^n - s(x) \right) \\ &= \int_0^x s(t) d_q t - (1-q)xs(x). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the both sides of the last equality by qx , we get

$$\frac{q}{1-q}(\sigma(x) - \sigma(qx)) = s(x) - \sigma(x).$$

□

It is clear from Lemma 3.7 that, even if $\sigma(x)$ is convergent, $\sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(qx)$ do not tend to same value when $s(x)$ is not convergent.

4. Proofs

In this section, we give proofs of our main results.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

From the hypothesis we have

$$(4.1) \quad \omega_m(x) = xD_q\sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = o(1),$$

for some integer $m \geq 1$. On the other hand, from Lemma 3.5, $\sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Hence, applying Theorem 1.1 to $\sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x))$ we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = o(1).$$

Considering (4.1) and (4.2) together with the identity

$$\omega_{m-1}(x) - \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = q\omega_m(x),$$

we get

$$(4.3) \quad \omega_{m-1}(x) = xD_q\sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = o(1).$$

By Lemma 3.5, we also have $\sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Now, applying Theorem 1.1 to $\sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x))$ we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = o(1).$$

From (4.3), (4.4) and the identity

$$\omega_{m-2}(x) - \sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = q\omega_{m-1}(x),$$

we find

$$(4.5) \quad \omega_{m-2}(x) = xD_q\sigma(\omega_{m-3}(x)) = o(1).$$

Taking (4.1), (4.3) and (4.5) into account and proceeding likewise, we observe that $\omega_0(x) = o(1)$. Therefore, the proof follows from Theorem 1.1. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Considering Lemma 3.7 we may construct the identity

$$\sigma(\omega_m(x)) - \sigma_2(\omega_m(x)) = \frac{q}{1-q}[\sigma_2(\omega_m(x)) - \sigma_2(\omega_m(qx))].$$

Since $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) , its q -Cesàro mean $\sigma_2(\omega_m(x))$ also satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad -\epsilon < \sigma_2(\omega_m(x)) - \sigma(\omega_m(x)) < \epsilon$$

for every $x > K$. By (4.6), we write

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma(\omega_m(x)) - \epsilon < \sigma_2(\omega_m(x)) < \sigma(\omega_m(x)) + \epsilon.$$

Since $s(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$, we have by using Lemma 3.5 that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(\omega_m(x)) = 0$. Thus, it follows from (4.7)

$$-\epsilon < \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_m(x)) < \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_m(x)) < \epsilon,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_m(x)) = 0.$$

It yields from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\omega_m(x)) &= \sigma((xD_q)_m v_{m-1}(x)) \\ &= xD_q(xD_q)_{m-1} v_m(x) \\ &= xD_q \sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

that $xD_q \sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = o(1)$. Also, by Lemma 3.5, $\sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Further, regularity of q -Cesàro integrability implies $\sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$. Then, if we apply Theorem 1.1 to $\sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x))$ we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = 0.$$

From the definition of the general control modulo, it is easy to see

$$(4.10) \quad \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) - \sigma_2(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = q\sigma(\omega_m(x)).$$

Combining (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), we reach

$$(4.11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) = 0.$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)) &= \sigma((xD_q)_{m-1} v_{m-2}(x)) \\ &= xD_q(xD_q)_{m-2} v_{m-1}(x) \\ &= xD_q \sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

we find $x D_q \sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = o(1)$. Besides, we have $\sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x)) \rightarrow 0(C_q, 1)$ from Lemma 3.5 and the regularity of q -Cesàro integrability. Now, applying Theorem 1.1 to $\sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x))$ we get

$$(4.12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = 0.$$

Considering (4.11), (4.12) and the identity

$$(4.13) \quad \sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) - \sigma_2(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = q\sigma(\omega_{m-1}(x)),$$

we have

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_{m-2}(x)) = 0.$$

In the light of (4.8), (4.11) and (4.14), continuing in the same fashion we conclude

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\omega_0(x)) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, since $s(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$, we obtain via (1.3) that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = A$. \square

5. Extensions

In this section, we will present the q -Hölder or (H_q, k) integrability method which is an obvious generalization of the q -Cesàro integrability. Later, we extend our main results to this method.

If

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k(x) = A,$$

then $\int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t$ is said to be integrable by the q -Hölder method of order $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (shortly, (H_q, k) integrable) to A , and this fact is denoted by $s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, k)$. In particular, the method $(H_q, 0)$ indicates the convergence in the ordinary sense and the method $(H_q, 1)$ is equivalent to $(C_q, 1)$. The (H_q, k) methods are regular for any k and are compatible for all k . The power of the method increases with increasing k : The (H_q, k) integrability implies (H_q, k') integrability for any $k' > k$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, k + 1)$. If*

$$(5.1) \quad \omega_m(x) = o(1)$$

for some integer $m \geq 0$, then $\int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t = A$.

Proof. By (5.1) and the regularity of the $(C_q, 1)$ method, we obtain $\sigma_k(\omega_m(x)) = o(1)$ for each integer $k \geq 0$. Then, from Lemma 3.6 it is clear that

$$(5.2) \quad \omega_m(\sigma_k(x)) = o(1) \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Besides, from the assumption since $\sigma_k(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$, Theorem 2.1 implies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k(x) = A$$

which is also equivalent to $\sigma_{k-1}(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$. From (5.2), we know that $\omega_m(\sigma_{k-1}(x)) = o(1)$. Now, applying Theorem 2.1 to $\sigma_{k-1}(x)$ yields

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{k-1}(x) = A$$

which is also equivalent to $\sigma_{k-2}(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$. Repeating the same steps k -times we conclude

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_0(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t = A.$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let $s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, k + 1)$. If $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) for some integer $m \geq 0$, then $\int_0^\infty f(t) d_q t = A$.*

Proof. If $\sigma(\omega_m(x))$ satisfies the property (\mathcal{P}) , then so does $\sigma_k(\omega_m(x))$ for every non-negative integer k . From Lemma 3.6, since

$$\sigma_k(\omega_m(x)) = \omega_m(\sigma_k(x))$$

we find that $\sigma(\omega_m(\sigma_k(x)))$ also satisfies (\mathcal{P}) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Considering the hypothesis $\sigma_k(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$ and Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, k)$$

which requires $\sigma_{k-1}(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$. Moreover, since $\sigma(\omega_m(\sigma_{k-1}(x)))$ satisfies (\mathcal{P}) , we get

$$s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, k - 1)$$

which requires $\sigma_{k-2}(x) \rightarrow A(C_q, 1)$. Applying the same reasoning k -times we reach that

$$s(x) \rightarrow A(H_q, 0)$$

which means $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = A$. □

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for many helpful corrections.

REFERENCES

1. M. H. ANNABY and Z. S. MANSOUR: *q-fractional calculus and equations*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
2. A. ARAL, V. GUPTA and R. P. AGARWAL: *Applications of q-calculus in operator theory*. Springer, New York, 2013.
3. İ. ÇANAK and Ü. TOTUR: *Tauberian conditions for Cesàro summability of integrals*. Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 891–896.
4. İ. ÇANAK and Ü. TOTUR: *A Tauberian theorem for Cesàro summability of integrals*. Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 391–395.
5. İ. ÇANAK and Ü. TOTUR: *Alternative proofs of some classical type Tauberian theorems for the Cesàro summability of integrals*. Math. Comput. Modelling **55** (2012), 1558–1561.
6. İ. ÇANAK, Ü. TOTUR and S. A. SEZER: *Cesàro integrability and Tauberian theorems in quantum calculus*. An Ştiinţ. Univ. Al I Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.). **64** (2018), 9–19.
7. A. FITOUHI and K. BRAHIM: *Tauberian theorems in quantum calculus*. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **14** (2007), 316–332.
8. G. H. HARDY: *Divergent series*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
9. F. H. JACKSON: *On q-functions and certain difference operator*. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. **46** (1909), 253–281.
10. F. H. JACKSON: *On q-definite integrals*. Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math. **41** (1910), 193–203.
11. V. KAC and P. CHEUNG: *Quantum calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
12. M. A. OKUR and Ü. TOTUR: *Tauberian theorems for the logarithmic summability methods of integrals*. Positivity **23** (2019), 55–73.
13. F. ÖZSARAÇ and İ. ÇANAK: *Tauberian theorems for iterations of weighted mean summable integrals*. Positivity **23** (2019), 219–231.
14. R. SCHMIDT: *Über divergente Folgen und lineare Mittelbildungen*. Math. Z. **22** (1925), 89–152.
15. Ü. TOTUR, İ. ÇANAK and S. A. SEZER: *Weighted integrability and its applications in quantum calculus*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci. **89** (2019), 791–797.
16. Ü. TOTUR and M. A. OKUR: *On Tauberian conditions for the logarithmic methods of integrability*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **41** (2018), 879–892.
17. Ü. TOTUR, M. A. OKUR and İ. ÇANAK: *One-sided Tauberian conditions for the (\overline{N}, p) summability of integrals*. Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys. **80** (2018), 65–74.

Sefa Anıl Sezer
İstanbul Medeniyet University
Department of Mathematics
İstanbul, Turkey
sefaanil.sezer@medeniyet.edu.tr

İbrahim Çanak
Ege University
Department of Mathematics
İzmir, Turkey
`ibrahim.canak@ege.edu.tr`