

## WEIGHTED STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF REAL VALUED SEQUENCES

Abdu Awel Adem and Maya Altınok

© by University of Niš, Serbia | Creative Commons Licence: CC BY-NC-ND  
**Abstract.** Functions defined in the form “ $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{g(n)} = 0$ ” are called weight functions. Using the weight function, the concept of weighted density, which is a generalization of natural density, was defined by Balcerzak, Das, Filipczak and Swaczyna in the paper “Generalized kind of density and the associated ideals”, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* 147(1) (2015), 97-115.

In this study, the definitions of  $g$ -statistical convergence and  $g$ -statistical Cauchy sequence for any weight function  $g$  are given and it is proved that these two concepts are equivalent. Also, some inclusions of the sets of all weight  $g_1$ -statistical convergent and weight  $g_2$ -statistical convergent sequences for  $g_1, g_2$  which have the initial conditions are given.

**Keywords:** weight functions; natural density; statistical convergent sequences.

### 1. Introduction

In [5], Fast introduced the concept of statistical convergence. In [15], Schoenberg gave some basic properties of statistically convergence and also studied the concept as a summability method. After this works many Mathematician have used these concept in their studies [8, 9, 10, 11]. In [2, 3], the authors proposed a modified version of density by replacing  $n$  by  $n^\alpha$  where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . In [1], the authors defined a more general kind of density by replacing  $n^\alpha$  by a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$ . In this paper, we will study the weighted  $g$ -statistically convergence concept.

Let  $K$  be a subset of natural numbers. Natural density of  $K$  is defined by

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |K(n)|$$

where  $K(n) = \{k \leq n : k \in K\}$  and the vertical bars denotes the number of elements of  $K(n)$ .

Let  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty$ . Let us remember that the definition of density of weight  $g(n)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The density of weight  $g$  defined by the formula

$$d_g(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{g(n)}$$

for  $A \subset \mathbb{N} [1, 4]$ .

After the study [1], the concept of  $g$ -density was applied to various problems related to sequences and interesting results were obtained in [4, 7, 12, 13, 14].

Basically in this study, it will be shown that the results given in [6] can be re-examined by using  $g$ -density.

In this paper, we are concerned with the subsets of natural numbers having weight  $g(n)$  density zero. To facilitate this, we have introduced the following notation: If  $x$  is a sequence such that  $x_k$  satisfies property  $P$  for all  $k$  except a set of weight  $g(n)$  density zero, then we say that  $x_k$  satisfies  $P$  for ( $g$  almost all  $k$ ) and it is denoted by ( $g - a.a.k$ ) for simplicity.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a real valued sequence.  $x$  is weight  $g$ -statistical convergent to the number  $L$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n)} = 0,$$

i.e.,  $|x_k - L| < \varepsilon$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). In this case we write  $g - st - \lim x_k = L$ .

$C_g^{st}$  denotes the set of all weight  $g$ -statistical convergent sequences.

If we take the function  $g(n) = n$  we obtain the usual statistical convergence.

It is clear that every convergent sequence is also weight  $g$ -statistical convergent. But the converse is not true in general.

**Example 1.1.** Let us define the function  $g(n) = 2n$  and the sequence as

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 3, & k = m^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $|k \leq n : x_k \neq 0| \leq \sqrt{n}$ . So,  $g - st - \lim x_k = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** If the sequence  $(x_n)$  is weight- $g$ -statistical convergent to  $L$  then there is a set  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\}$  such that  $d_g(K) = d_g(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = L$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $g - st - \lim x_k = L$ . Take  $K_i := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| < \frac{1}{i}\}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then by definition we have  $d_g(K_i^c) = 0$  and it is clear that  $d_g(K_i) = d_g(\mathbb{N})$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Also it is easy to control that

$$(1.1) \quad \dots \subset K_{i+1} \subset K_i \subset \dots \subset K_2 \subset K_1$$

Let  $\{T_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Let choose an arbitrary number  $a_1 \in K_1$ . By (1.1) we can choose an element  $a_2 \in K_2$ ,  $a_2 > a_1$  such that for each  $n \geq a_2$  we have  $\frac{K_2(n)}{g(n)} > T_2$ . Moreover choose  $a_3 > a_2$ ,  $a_3 \in K_3$  such that for each  $n \geq a_3$  we have  $\frac{K_3(n)}{g(n)} > T_3$ . If we proceed in this way we obtain a sequence  $a_1 < a_2 \dots < a_i < \dots$  of positive integers such that

$$(1.2) \quad a_i \in K_i, (i = 1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } \frac{K_i(n)}{g(n)} > T_i$$

for each  $n \geq a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Let us establish the set  $K$  as follows: each natural number of the interval  $[1, a_1]$  belong to  $K$ , moreover, any natural number of the interval  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$  belongs to  $K$  if and only if it belongs to  $K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). From (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$\frac{K(n)}{g(n)} \geq \frac{K_i(n)}{g(n)} > T_i$$

for each  $n, a_i \leq n < a_{i+1}$ . By last inequality it is clear that  $\bar{d}_g(K) = \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and choose  $i$  such that  $\frac{1}{i} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n \geq a_i, n \in K$ . There exists a number  $t \geq i$  such that  $a_t \leq n < a_{t+1}$ . But from the definition of  $K, n \in K_t$ . Thus  $|x_n - L| < \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{1}{i} < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = L$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.** The converse of Theorem 1.1 is not true.

**Example 1.2.** Let us consider the sequence

$$(x_k) := \begin{cases} 1, & k = n^2, \\ 0, & k \neq n^2, \end{cases}$$

and  $g(n) = n^{1/4}$ . It is clear that the set  $K = \{k : k = n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  has the property  $\bar{d}_g(K) = \infty$ . But  $g - st - \lim x_k \neq 1$ .

Let us note that every statistical convergent sequence is also weight- $g$ -statistical convergent to the same number. But the converse of this situation is not true.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $a_k = 2^{2^k}$ , and

$$g(n) := \begin{cases} a_{2k}, & n \in [a_k, a_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, \dots \\ 1, & n < 4. \end{cases}$$

Let  $A_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_k \leq n < 2a_k\}$  and  $A := \cup_{k \geq 1} A_k$ . Let us take account the sequence

$$x_n := \begin{cases} 1, & n \in A, \\ 0, & n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\frac{1}{2}a_k \leq |A_k| \leq a_k$ . Let us check that  $x_n \rightarrow 0(st)$ . If we put  $m_k = \max A_k$ , we obtain

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n} = \frac{|\{k \leq n : x_k \in A\}|}{n} = \frac{|A|}{m_k} \geq \frac{|A_k|}{m_k} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}a_k}{2a_k} = \frac{1}{4}$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Moreover,  $g - st - \lim x_k = 0$ . For sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n)} &= \frac{|\{k \leq n : x_k \in A\}|}{g(n)} = \frac{|A|}{g(n)} \\ &= \frac{|\{k \leq m_k : x_k \in A\}|}{g(m_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{|A_k|}{a_{2k}} \leq \frac{a_k}{a_{2k}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a real valued sequence.  $x$  is weight  $g$ -statistical Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a natural number  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - x_N| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n)} = 0,$$

i.e.,  $|x_k - x_N| < \varepsilon$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). In this case we write  $x$  is weight  $g$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Lemma 1.1.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x$  is a weight  $g$ -statistically convergent sequence,
- (ii)  $x$  is a weight  $g$ -statistically Cauchy sequence,
- (iii)  $x$  is a sequence for which there is a convergent sequence  $y$  such that  $x_k = y_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ).

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let us assume that  $x$  is a weight  $g$ -statistical convergent sequence. Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $g - st - \lim x = L$ . Then  $|x_k - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ) holds.

If we choose a natural number  $N$  such that  $|x_N - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , then we have

$$|x_k - x_N| < |x_k - L| + |x_N - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad (g - a.a.k).$$

Hence,  $x$  is a weight  $g$ -statistical Cauchy sequence.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let us assume that  $x$  is a weight  $g$ -statistical Cauchy sequence. Choose  $N(1)$  such that the interval  $I = [x_{N(1)} - 1, x_{N(1)} + 1]$  contains  $x_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). Also apply (ii) to choose  $M$  such that  $I' = [x_M - \frac{1}{2}, x_M + \frac{1}{2}]$  contains  $x_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). We claim that

$$I_1 = I \cap I' \text{ contains } x_k \quad (g - a.a.k),$$

for

$$\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I \cap I'\} = \{k \leq n : x_k \notin I\} \cup \{k \leq n : x_k \notin I'\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I \cap I'\}| \leq \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I\}| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I'\}| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $I_1$  is closed interval of length less than or equal to 1 and contains  $x_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). Now we continue by choosing  $N(2)$  such that  $I'' = [x_{N(2)} - \frac{1}{4}, x_{N(2)} + \frac{1}{4}]$  contains  $x_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ), by the previously argument  $I_2 = I_1 \cap I''$  contains  $x_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ), and  $I_2$  has length less than or equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Proceeding inductively we construct a sequence  $\{I_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  of closed intervals such that for each  $m$ ,  $I_{m+1} \subseteq I_m$ , and the length of  $I_m$  is not greater than  $2^{1-m}$ , and  $x_k \in I_m$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). From the Nested Interval Theorem there is a number  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty I_m$ . If we use  $x_k \in I_m$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ), we can choose an increasing positive sequence  $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I_m\}| < \frac{1}{g(m)} \text{ if } n > T_m.$$

Next define a subsequence  $z$  of  $x$  consisting of all terms  $x_k$  such that  $k > T_1$  and if  $T_m < k \leq T_{m+1}$  then  $x_k \notin I_m$ .

Now define the sequence  $y$  by

$$y_k = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } x_k \text{ is a term of } z, \\ x_k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\lim y_k = \alpha$ ; for, if  $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{g(m)} > 0$  and  $k > T_m$  then either  $x_k$  is a term of  $z$ , which means  $y_k = \alpha$  or  $y_k = x_k \in I_m$  and  $|y_k - \alpha| \leq \text{length of } I_m < 2^{1-m}$ . We also assert that  $x_k = y_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ). To confirm this we observe that if  $T_m < n < T_{m+1}$  then

$$\{k \leq n : y_k \neq x_k\} \subseteq \{k \leq n : x_k \notin I_m\}$$

so from (1.3)

$$\frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : y_k \neq x_k\}| \leq \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \notin I_m\}| < \frac{1}{g(m)}$$

is obtained. Thus, the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is 0 and  $x_k = y_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let us assume that  $x_k = y_k$  ( $g - a.a.k$ ) and  $\lim y_k = L$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for each  $n$ ,

$$\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| > \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{k \leq n : x_k \neq y_k\} \cup \{k \leq n : |y_k - L| > \varepsilon\}$$

from the assumption  $\lim y_k = L$ , the second set contains a fixed number of integers, say  $l = l(\varepsilon)$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| > \varepsilon\}| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{g(n)} |\{k \leq n : x_k \neq y_k\}| + \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{g(n)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $x_k = y_k$  ( $g$ -a.a.k). Hence,  $|x_k - L| \leq \varepsilon$  ( $g$ -a.a.k). So, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $x$  be a real valued sequence. If  $g$ -st- $\lim x_k = L$ , then  $x$  has a subsequence  $y$  such that  $\lim y_k = L$ .*

## 2. Inclusion Between Two $g$ -st-Convergence

Let  $G$  denotes the set of all functions  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the condition  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{n}{g(n)} \rightarrow 0$ . In this section, we will introduce some inclusions between various  $g \in G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  such that there exist  $M, m > 0$  and  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \leq \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq M$  for all  $n \geq k_0$ . Then  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) = C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the sequence  $x$  is weight  $g_1$ -statistical convergence to  $L$ . This implies that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_1(n)} = 0.$$

Together with the fact that  $\frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq M$ , this implies that

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{Mg_2(n)} \leq \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_1(n)}.$$

for all  $n \geq k_0$ . This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{Mg_2(n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_1(n)} = 0.$$

From the hypothesis we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_2(n)} = 0.$$

Thus, the sequence  $x$  is weight  $g_2$ -statistical convergent to  $L$ . So,  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) \subset C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ . We can prove the inclusion  $C_{g_2}^{st}(x) \subset C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$  by similar way.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** For each function  $f \in G$  there exists a nondecreasing function  $g \in G$  such that  $C_f^{st}(x) = C_g^{st}(x)$ . Moreover,

$$(2.1) \quad g(n) \leq f(n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is nondecreasing, it is clear. Otherwise, define the related function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follows. Let  $a_1 = \min\{f(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $i_1 = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} : f(i) = a_1\}$  and  $g(i) = a_1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq i_1$ . Next, let  $a_2 = \min\{f(n) : n > i_1\}$ ,  $i_2 = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} : f(i) = a_2\}$  and  $g(i) = a_2$  for  $i_1 < i \leq i_2$ . Rest of the function  $g$  is established by induction.

Obviously, the function  $g$  is nondecreasing and  $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . By the construction,  $g(n) \leq f(n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\frac{n}{f(n)} \leq \frac{n}{g(n)}$  for all  $n$  which implies that  $\frac{n}{g(n)} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $g \in G$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  be a weight  $g$ -statistical convergent sequence to  $L$ . So, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n)} = 0$$

holds. From (2.1) we have following inequality

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)} \leq \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n)}.$$

If we take limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $f - st - \lim x_k = L$ . Thus, the inclusion  $C_g^{st} \subset C_f^{st}$ .

By construction, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $m \geq n$  such that  $g(n) = g(m) = f(m)$ . Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow L (g - st)$ . Then there exists  $a$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  and an increasing sequence  $(n_i)$  of indices such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \leq n_i : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n_i)} = a > 0.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $m_i \geq n_i$  such that  $g(n_i) = g(m_i) = f(m_i)$ . Hence

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n_i : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(n_i)} \leq \frac{|\{k \leq m_i : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(m_i)}$$

holds. So,  $x_n \rightarrow L (f - st)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f \in G$  be such that  $\frac{n}{f(n)} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $L, \varepsilon$  real numbers with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $\left(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)}\right)$  is bounded but not convergent to zero.

*Proof.* Firstly, let us assume that  $f$  is nondecreasing. Take to the smallest non negative integer,  $k_0$ , such that for  $n \geq k_0$ ,  $f(n) > 2$ . Let us define a set  $A \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k_0 - 1\}$  inductively, deciding whether  $n \geq k_0$  should belong to  $A$  or not. Let  $n \notin A$  for all  $n < k_0$ . Suppose that  $n \geq k_0$  and then we have defined  $A(n)$ . If  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} < 1$  then let  $n \in A$ . Otherwise, let  $n \notin A$ . So, we construct the set  $A$ . From this construction and the condition  $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $A$  is infinite.

We assert that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$  is also infinite. Let us assume that it is finite and choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in A$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{n - n_0}{f(n+1)} \leq \frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} < 1$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . But this is impossible because of the assumption,  $\frac{n - n_0}{f(n+1)} \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, we will show that  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n)} < 2$  for each  $n \geq k_0$ . It is clear that if  $n = k_0$  it is true. Suppose that  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n)} < 2$  for a fixed  $n \geq k_0$ .

If  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A(n+1)|}{f(n+1)} &= \frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} + \frac{1}{f(n+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} + \frac{1}{f(n)} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} < 2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} > 1$ , then  $n \notin A$  and so,

$$\frac{|A(n+1)|}{f(n+1)} = \frac{|A(n)|}{f(n+1)} \leq \frac{|A(n)|}{f(n)} < 2.$$

Now, let us define a sequence  $(x_n)$  as follows:

$$x_n := \begin{cases} n & n \in A \\ L & n \notin A \end{cases}$$

where  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed number. It is clear that the sequence  $\left(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)}\right)$  is bounded from the first part of this proof.

Now, we will show that the sequence  $\left(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)}\right)$  is not convergent to 0. For this aim consider any  $n \geq k_0$ . We will find  $m \geq n$  such that  $\frac{|A(m)|}{f(m)} \geq 1$ . If  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n)} \geq 1$ , put  $m := n$ . Otherwise, choose the smallest  $m \geq n$  such that  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ . Then  $\frac{|A(m)|}{f(m+1)} \geq 1$  and so,  $\frac{|A(m)|}{f(m)} \geq 1$ . Thus, the sequence  $\left(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)}\right)$  is not convergent to 0.



Now, let us back to the general case where  $f \in G$  need not be nondecreasing. Then we assume the associated function  $g \in G$  from Lemma 2.2. Note that  $\frac{n}{g(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\frac{n}{g(n)} \geq \frac{n}{f(n)}$  for all  $n$  and  $\frac{n}{f(n)} \rightarrow \infty$ . By the above reasons we obtain the respective set  $A$  for  $g$ . Thus,  $\frac{|A(n)|}{g(n)} \rightarrow 0$  and the sequence  $(\frac{|A(n)|}{g(n)})$  is bounded. Then  $\frac{|A(n)|}{f(n)} \rightarrow 0$ , and the sequence  $(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)})$  is bounded since  $g(n) \leq f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $g_1, g_2$  belong to  $G$  such that  $\frac{g_2(n)}{g_1(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  then  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) \subsetneq C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ . If  $g \in G$  and  $\frac{n}{g(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  then  $C_g^{st}(x) \subsetneq C^{st}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the first claim note that the inclusion  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) \subset C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$  follows from Lemma 2.1. Set  $f := \sqrt{g_1 \cdot g_2}$ . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g_1(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_2(n)}{f(n)} = \infty.$$

Also we have

$$\frac{n}{g_1(n)} = \frac{n}{g_2(n)} \cdot \frac{g_2(n)}{g_1(n)} \rightarrow \infty.$$

So  $\frac{n}{f(n)} = \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{g_1(n)g_2(n)}} \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $f$  have the assumption of Lemma 2.3. Take the sequence  $(x_n)$  obtained in this lemma. Then  $x_n \in C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$  but  $x_n \notin C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$ . Indeed, using (2.2) we have

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_2(n)} = \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)} \cdot \frac{f(n)}{g_2(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

because  $(\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded from Lemma 2.3. Thus,  $x_n \in C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ .

To prove that  $x_n \notin C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$  observe that

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g_1(n)} = \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)} \cdot \frac{f(n)}{g_1(n)}.$$

So,  $x_n \notin C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$  because  $\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{f(n)} \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\frac{f(n)}{g_1(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  from (2.2).

If we take  $g_2(n) = n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , second assertion proved easily from the same way.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$  and  $g_1(n) = n^\alpha, g_2 = n^\beta$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) \subsetneq C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ .*

**Example 2.1.** Let

$$g_1(n) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{for even } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n}, & \text{for odd } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

and  $g_2(n) = \sqrt{n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} = \infty$ . However,  $C_{g_1}^{st}(x) = C_{g_2}^{st}(x)$ . Indeed, construct a nondecreasing function  $g \in G$  such that  $C_g^{st}(x) = C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$ , according to the method used in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Then it follows from simple calculations that  $g$  is given by

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n+1} & \text{for even } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} & \text{for odd } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{g(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 we have  $C_g^{st}(x) = C_{g_1}^{st}(x)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists a function  $g \in G$  such that  $C_g^{st}$  is different from  $C_{n^\alpha}^{st}$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_k$  and  $g(n)$  defined as in Example 1.3. Let  $A_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_{k+1} - (a_{k+1})^{1/4} \leq n < a_{k+1}\}$  and  $A = \cup_{k \geq 2} A_k$ . Let us take account the sequence

$$x_n = \begin{cases} n, & n \in A \\ 0, & n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\frac{1}{2}(a_{k+1})^{1/4} \leq |B_k| \leq (a_{k+1})^{1/4}$ . Let us check that  $g-st-\lim x_k \neq 0$ . For  $k > 0$  we have

$$\frac{|\{k \leq a_{k+1} - 1 : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{g(a_{k+1} - 1)} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}|B_k|}{g(a_k)} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{4}(a_{k+1})^{1/4}}{(a_{k+1})^{1/4}} = \frac{1}{4},$$

so,  $g-st-\lim x_k \neq 0$ . Furthermore,

$$|\{k \leq a_{k+1} : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq (a_k)^{1/4} + (a_{k+1})^{1/4} \leq 2(a_{k+1})^{1/4}$$

and so,

$$\frac{|\{k \leq a_{k+1} : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(a_{k+1})^{1/3}} \leq \frac{2(a_{k+1})^{1/4}}{(a_{k+1})^{1/3}} = 2(a_{k+1})^{-1/12} \rightarrow 0, \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds.

Now, fix any  $n \geq 4$  and choose a unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in [a_k, a_{k+1})$ . If  $n < a_{k+1} - (a_{k+1})^{1/4}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n^{1/3}} &= \frac{|\{k \leq a_k : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n^{1/3}} \\ &\leq \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(a_k)^{1/3}} \leq 2(a_k)^{-1/12}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_{k+1} - (a_{k+1})^{1/4} \leq n < a_{k+1}$  then for  $b > a > 0$ , the function

$$f(x) := \frac{a+x}{(b+x)^{1/3}}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is increasing, thus

$$\frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n^{1/3}} \leq \frac{|\{k \leq a_{k+1} : |x_k - 0| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(a_{k+1})^{1/3}}.$$

So,  $x_n \in C_{n^{1/3}}^{st}(x)$ .

Now, let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{3}$ . If  $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  then from Corollary 2.1  $C_{n^\alpha}^{st} \subsetneq C_{n^{1/3}}^{st}$  and  $C_g^{st} \setminus C_{n^\alpha}^{st} \neq \emptyset$  because  $C_g^{st} \setminus C_{n^{1/3}}^{st} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$  then  $C_{n^\alpha}^{st} \setminus C_g^{st} \neq \emptyset$ . By the same way we can show that  $x_n \in C_g^{st} \setminus C_{n^\alpha}^{st}$ . So  $C_g^{st} \subsetneq C^{st}$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor Mehmet Küçükaslan for his discussions some steps during the preparation of this paper.

### REFERENCES

1. M. BALCERZAK, P. DAS, M. FILIPCZAK and J. SWACZYNA: *Generalized kinds of density and the associated ideals*. Acta Math. Hungar. **147(1)** (2015), 97–115.
2. S. BHUNIA, P. DAS and S. K. PAL: *Restricting statistical convergence*. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, **134(1-2)** (2012), 153–161.
3. R. ÇOLAK: *Statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$* . Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications, Anamaya Pub., New Delhi, India (2010), 121–129.
4. P. DAS and E. SAVAŞ: *On generalized statistical and ideal convergence of metric-valued sequences*. Reprinted in Ukrainian Math. J. **68(12)** (2017), 1849–1859. Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **68(12)** (2016), 1598–1606.
5. H. FAST: *Sur la convergence statistique*. Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
6. J. A. FRIDY: *On statistical convergence*. Analysis **5** (1985), 301–313.
7. Ş. KONCA, M. KÜÇÜKASLAN and E. GENÇ: *I-statistical convergence of double sequences defined by weight functions in a locally solid Riesz space*. Konuralp J. Math. **7(1)** (2019), 55–61.
8. M. KÜÇÜKASLAN and M. YILMAZTÜRK: *On deferred statistical convergence of sequences*. Kyungpook Math. J., **56** (2006), 357–366.
9. M. YILMAZTÜRK, O. MIZRAK and M. KÜÇÜKASLAN: *Deferred statistical cluster points of real valued sequences*. Univ. J. Appl. Math., **1** (2013), 1–6.
10. E. SAVAŞ: *On some generalizd sequence spaces defined by modulus*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **30(5)** (1999), 973–978.
11. E. SAVAŞ: *Strong almost convergence and almost  $\lambda$ -statistical convergence*. Hokkaido Math., **29(3)** (2000), 531–536.
12. E. SAVAŞ and P. DAS: *On I-statistical and I-lacunary statistical convergence of weight g*. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **11(2)** (2019), 2–11.
13. E. SAVAŞ: *On I-lacunary statistical convergence of weight g of sequences of sets*. Filomat **31(16)** (2017), 5315–5322.

14. E. SAVAŞ:  *$I_\theta$ -statistical convergence of weight  $g$  in topological groups*. Mathematics and computing, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 253, Springer, Singapore, 2018, 43–51.
15. I. J. SCHOENBERG: *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*. The American Mathematical Monthly **66**(5) (1959), 361–775.

Abdu Awel Adem  
Mersin University  
Faculty of Science and Arts  
Department of Mathematics  
33343 Mersin, Turkey  
abdua90@gmail.com

Maya Altnok  
Tarsus University  
Faculty of Technology  
Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences  
33460 Mersin, Turkey  
mayakantar@gmail.com or mayaaltinok@tarsus.edu.tr