EFFECTS OF AN EXERCISE PROGRAM ON THE COORDINATION AND EXPLOSIVE POWER OF UNIVERSITY DANCE STUDENTS
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Abstract. The aim of this research is to determine the effects of a ten-week modern and recreational dance exercise program and trunk and leg muscle strengthening exercises on the coordination and explosive power of student-age female dancers. The total number of participants was 54, of which 27 made up the experimental group who participated in an experimental exercise program and 27 the control group. The experimental group performed Hip Hop and Dancehall dances and trunk and leg muscle strengthening exercises 3 times a week for 90 min each. The control group had no additional forms of exercise other than regular daily activities. The coordination of the participants was evaluated on the basis of six tests (Side Steps, 20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton, Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope, Turning in 6 squares, Hand-Foot Drumming and Agility test with a Baton) and two tests for determining explosive power parameters (the squat jump and countermovement jump). Results showed statistical significance between the groups in 5 variables of coordination at the multivariate and univariate level (p<.05, p<.01), and in both variables of explosive power at the univariate level (p<.05). A large and intermediate effect size of the experimental program was determined for 5 variables of coordination, and intermediate effect size for both variables of explosive power. The results of this study showed that a ten-week exercise program for recreational and modern dance and exercises for strengthening the muscles of the torso and legs have a positive effect on the changes in the parameters of coordination and explosive power in student-age female dancers.
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INTRODUCTION

Each dance style engages a dancer's muscles differently, thus the development of appropriate motor skills and improvement of certain fitness components will depend on the type of dance (Pepper, 1984; Kirkendal & Calabrese, 1983). A great deal of research has examined the motor skills of dancers and physiological demands of different dance styles (Jin et al., 2019; Rodrigues-Krause et al., 2014; Liiv et al., 2012; Macura, Pešić, Đorđević-Nikić, Stojiljković, & Dabović, 2007; Wyon & Redding, 2005). Most of this research was conducted on a sample of ballet dancers, contemporary dancers and sports dancers who had a longer dance experience, while a small number of studies covered modern dances that have become popular in recent decades, such as Hip Hop, Dancehall, etc., as well as the effects of those dances on those who engaged in these dances recreationally, rather than competitively.

Coordination is a complex ability necessary for the realization of virtually every motor operation, from the simplest to the most complex movements, and is necessary for the formation of a good motor foundation (Metikoš, Marković, Prot, & Jukić, 2003), which makes its development very important for the general population. It is also considered the basis necessary for athletes to develop sport-specific techniques (Stošić, Uzunović, Kostić, Ljubojević, & Marković, 2013), thus improving coordination skills is of great importance for athletes and competitors as well. Precisely because the development of coordination can bring various benefits to both athletes and the general population, it is important to plan and systematically implement activities that can influence its increase.

Muscle force indicates the ability of a muscle to cope with a load or force, while muscle power is defined as exerting force in the shortest possible time (Brown et al., 2007). Dancers need muscle force to master slow and controlled movements, as well as muscle power to perform jumps, acrobatic elements and other explosive movements. Although it is necessary for the performance of many dance elements, force and force training are not generally accepted in dance circles; mostly for fear that force parameters and muscle hypertrophy may adversely affect the aesthetic appearance of the dancer. Certain studies have proven that it is possible to increase power parameters without proportional changes in the muscle and impairment of the dancers' aesthetic appearance (Vetter & Dorgo, 2009; Koutedakis & Sharp, 2004). Such changes are possible due to the central nervous system adaptation and activation of more muscles and motor units (Siddique, Rahman, Frazer, Howatson, & Kidgell, 2019); however, subjective thinking about the negative effects of power training are still grounded in the dance world. Given that conventional dance training does not bring much benefit in improving power parameters (Rimmer, Jay, & Plowman, 1994), there is a need to introduce additional exercises to provide adequate training stimulus, which will benefit both competitors and recreationists.

Dance is dominated by complex movements which require a high level of coordination of dancers, good speed and rhythmic ability, as well as the connection of complex motor tasks in space and time. Research has shown that professional dancers have better coordination stability which they achieve through neuromuscular control and perceptual sensitivity (Kiefer et al., 2011), as well as better sensorimotor synchronization of whole body movements (Jarvis, Smith, & Kulig, 2014).

Dance is an activity that has always been present in people's lives, whether it is a sports or art, but the development of media and social networks has led people to take it as a form of fun physical exercise. The aim of this research is to determine the effects of a
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The aim of this research is to determine the effects of a ten-week modern and recreational dance exercise program and trunk and leg muscle strengthening exercises on the coordination and explosive power of student-age female dancers.

METHODS

Sample of female participants

The sample consisted of female students from the University of Niš, aged 19-24. The basic criteria for inclusion in the experimental program were: that the participants were of good health status and did not suffer from any cardiovascular or respiratory disease, that they had not been included in any form of organized recreational or professional form of exercise over the last 6 months, that they were not in the rehabilitation or recovery process from any type of injury. The total number of participants was 54, of which 27 made up the experimental group - EG (height 165.4±5.81 cm, mass 60.1±6.97 kg and BMI 22.01±2.34 kg/m²) and 27 the control group - CG (height 166.3±6.09 cm, mass 60.6±8.21 kg and BMI 22.1±2.36 kg/m²). The CG had no additional forms of exercise other than regular daily activities.

Sample of measuring instruments

The coordination of the participants was evaluated on the basis of six tests, namely:
1. Side Steps (Metikoš, Prot, Hoffman, Pintar, & Oreb, 1989);
2. 20 Steps forward Twirling a Batton (Kurelić, Momirović, Stojanović, Šturm, Radiojević, & Viskić-Štalec, 1975);
3. Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope (Marĉelja, Hošek, Viskić-Štalec, Horga, Gredelj, & Metikoš, 1973);
4. Turning in 6 Squares (Kostić, 1995);
5. Hand-Foot Drumming (Hošek, Horga, Viskić-Štalec, Metikoš, Gredelj, & Marĉelja, 1973);
6. Agility Test with a Baton (Kurelić et al., 1975).

To estimate the explosive power parameters, the following tests were applied:
1. Squat Jump (SJ);
2. Countermovement Jump (CMJ).

Explosive power parameters were measured by Optojump (Perform Better Limited, UK). The parameter to be evaluated is the jump height of the female participants.

The experimental exercise program

The experimental program whose structure is shown in Table 1 involved the application of the dance elements of Hip Hop and Dancehall and exercises to strengthen the trunk and leg muscles for 10 weeks. The program was implemented 3 times a week for 90 minutes each. Each class had a three-part structure where, in the introductory part, mild warm-up exercises in the form of dance aerobics, dynamic warm-up and exercises for strengthening the muscles of the torso and legs were applied. The main part consisted of modern and recreational dance exercise program and trunk and leg muscle strengthening exercises on the coordination and explosive power of student-age female dancers.
the dance elements of Hip Hop and Dancehall, which were rehearsed in the form of choreography on specific music, while in the final part stretching exercises were applied.

Exercises for strengthening the muscles of the legs and trunk during the first four weeks were aimed at raising the general level of strength and basic physical preparation. The organization of the exercises was based on the principle of the circuit exercising with one's own weight. The total volume of strength training was 15-25 sets, i.e., 1-3 exercises were applied to each muscle group. In order to maximize the metabolic effect of the exercise and to ensure that 15 to 20 regular repetitions are performed, the exercises were performed for 30 seconds. The rest time between exercises was 30-60 seconds. For the next four weeks, plyometric exercises consisting of jumps, hops, skips and other moderate intensity exercises were applied. The total number of contacts with the ground during the plyometric part of the training ranged from 90 to 140 contacts. The last two weeks multi-joint and isolation strengthening exercises, and plyometric exercises were combined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Structure of the experimental program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class structure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductory part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final part</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical analysis**

All data were processed by the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software package (v17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Basic descriptive statistical parameters were calculated for all the variables, and the results of the arithmetic means and standard deviation are presented in table form.

Statistically significant differences between the groups at the initial and final measurements were determined using a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), while a univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine differences between the groups for each variable. Difference testing was performed by the F-test, and the significance level was expressed as p.

The differences between the initial and final measurements of the EG and CG were determined by the t-test for the dependent samples, i.e., Effect Size. The criterion for determining the degree of the impact (Effect size) was: .01=small effect size; .06=intermediate effect size; .14=large effect size (Cohen, 1988).
RESULTS

Table 2 shows the basic statistical parameters for the experimental and control groups. Based on the presented data, it can be observed that the participants of the experimental group achieved better results on all the measured parameters for the evaluation of coordination and explosive power.

**Table 2** Basic statistical parameters of the experimental (EG) and control group (CG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Initial Mean±SD</th>
<th>Final Mean±SD</th>
<th>Initial Mean±SD</th>
<th>Final Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Side Steps</td>
<td>11.03±0.84</td>
<td>9.74±0.71</td>
<td>11.68±0.96</td>
<td>12.02±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton</td>
<td>12.02±1.85</td>
<td>10.73±1.58</td>
<td>15.02±2.82</td>
<td>14.16±2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope</td>
<td>16.33±6.44</td>
<td>18.63±5.86</td>
<td>14.18±7.19</td>
<td>15.11±6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning in 6 Squares</td>
<td>5.33±1.90</td>
<td>7.74±1.31</td>
<td>3.96±1.19</td>
<td>4.96±1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand-Foot Drumming</td>
<td>9.14±2.03</td>
<td>12.59±2.18</td>
<td>8.11±2.11</td>
<td>9.00±1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agility test with a Baton</td>
<td>7.01±1.43</td>
<td>6.75±1.82</td>
<td>7.03±1.18</td>
<td>7.28±1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ</td>
<td>20.85±4.35</td>
<td>23.65±4.58</td>
<td>18.97±2.66</td>
<td>20.91±3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ</td>
<td>22.50±4.21</td>
<td>25.47±4.95</td>
<td>20.75±3.84</td>
<td>22.61±3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the multivariate analysis of variance at the initial measurement (Table 3) showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the coordination parameters between the experimental and control participants at the multivariate level (p=.002).

Analysis of the results of the univariate analysis of variance (Table 3) revealed that the experimental group of participants achieved better results in all coordination parameters, with the variables Side Steps (p=.011), 20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton (p=.000) and Turning in 6 Squares (p=.003) were statistically significant, while for the other variables a numerical difference was observed in favor of the experimental group. It can be stated that the participants of the experimental group had better coordination skills at the initial measurement.

**Table 3** Results of the ANOVA and MANOVA of coordination at the initial and final measurement between the experimental (EG) and control group (CG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Initial Mean±SD</th>
<th>Final Mean±SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Initial Mean±SD</th>
<th>Final Mean±SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Side Steps</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>7.023</td>
<td>.011*</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>75.805</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>21.327</td>
<td>.000**</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>35.691</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>.253</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>4.237</td>
<td>.045*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning in 6 squares</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>10.066</td>
<td>.003**</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>53.028</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand-Foot Drumming</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>.349</td>
<td>.557</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>43.452</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agility test with a Baton</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.974</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>.673</td>
<td>.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the multivariate analysis of variance of explosive power at the initial measurement (Table 4) showed that there was no statistically significant difference at the multivariate level between the participants of the experimental and control groups.
By examining the results at the univariate level (Table 4), we found that there was a numerical difference in favor of the experimental group, but that it was not sufficient to be statistically significant. Based on these results, it can be concluded that the experimental and control participants were approximately at the same level of explosive power at the initial measurement.

Table 4 Results of the ANOVA and MANOVA of explosive power at the initial and final measurement between the experimental (EG) and control group (CG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG Mean+SD</td>
<td>CG Mean+SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squat Jump</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>3.691</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>23.65</td>
<td>.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countermovement Jump</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>2.532</td>
<td>.118</td>
<td>25.47</td>
<td>.022*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wilk’s = .934 F= 1.810 p=.174 Wilk’s = .895 F= 3.004 p=.058

The differences between the initial and final measurements for all the parameters were calculated on the basis of the t-test for dependent samples while calculating the degree of the differences (Cohen’s d). The criterion for determining the degree of the impact (Effect Size) was: .01=small effect size; .06=intermediate effect size; .14=large effect size.

According to the data in Graph 1, it was observed that the experimental group of participants improved their results on the final measurement in the coordination parameters, and that statistically significant differences were observed for all the variables except the Agility test with a Baton (p=.412), for which an improvement was observed in the results, but not enough to make this difference statistically significant. Also, the influence of the experimental treatment on the changes in coordination parameters is large, which is shown by the degree of the influence of all statistically significant variables that are over .14.

Graph 1 Effect size of coordination (experimental group)

Legend: *p<.05, **p<.01;
ES-Effect Size .01=small effect size, .06=intermediate effect size, .14=large effect size
In Graph 2, the t-test results show that for both variables estimating the explosive power of SJ (p=.000) and CMJ (p=.000) there is statistical significance at the .01 level. Effect size results for the explosive power parameters indicate that the 10-week experimental exercise program had a large effect size on both variables for estimating explosive power: SJ (ES=.51) and CMJ (ES=.57).

The results of the multivariate analysis of variance at the final measurement between the EG and CG participants (Table 3) in the coordination parameters revealed that there was a statistically significant difference between the groups at the .01 level (p=.000). At the univariate level, a statistically significant difference was also found for all variables except the Agility test with a Baton (p = .416). The Side Steps (p = .000), 20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton (p=.000), Turning in 6 Squares (p = .000) and Hand-Foot Drumming (p=.000) variables were statistically significant at the .01 level, while the Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope variable (p=.045) had statistical significance at the .05 level (Table 3).

Based on the results of the multivariate analysis of variance between the experimental and control participants on the final measurement (Table 4), it was found that the intergroup differences were within the scope of statistical significance (p=.058). At the univariate level, the results showed that the participants of the experimental group achieved better results, and that there was a statistically significant difference between the SJ variable (p=.017) and the CMJ variable (p=.022) at the level of statistical significance of .05 (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

While comparing the EG and CG at the initial measurement, it was found that the participants of the experimental group had better coordination abilities. Similar results were observed in the studies of Uzunović (2009) and Miljkovac (2015), where the experimental group of participants performed better in the Side Steps and Hand-Foot Drumming tests, while there were no statistically significant differences in the other variables. There were no statistically significant differences in the parameters for the estimation of explosive power between the experimental and control groups at the initial measurement, which coincides with the results of Koutedakis et al. (2007).

The results of this study indicate that after the implementation of a ten-week exercise program of modern Hip Hop and Dancehall dances, there was an improvement in the coordination parameters of the experimental group. The results between the experimental and control groups at the final measurement showed that the experimental group was
better, and that a statistically significant difference was found in all the estimated coordination parameters, except for the Agility test with a Baton. Similar results were obtained by Viskić-Štalec, Katić, Podvorac, & Katović (2007) who noted the positive impact of an experimental program based on dance, aerobics and rhythmic gymnastics on the parameters of coordination and agility. A large influence of the experimental treatment was observed in the Turning in 6 squares and Hand-Foot Drumming variables. For their realization, it is necessary to have a pronounced coordination in rhythm because it is essential to harmonize the movement of the body with the given rhythm of the metronome, which is a basic prerequisite for successful performance of Hip Hop and Dancehall (Su, 2016). In order to perform this, dancers must have a developed sensorimotor synchronization (SMS) which represents the coordination of rhythmic movements with an external rhythm or beat (Repp, 2005). Dance training facilitates SMS (Karpati, Giacosa, Foster, Penhune, & Hyde, 2016) and allows dancers to have more accurate and stable SMS than non-dancers (Jin et al., 2019), which is particularly expressed in Street Dancing (Miura, Fujii, Okano, Kudo, & Nakazawa, 2016). The specific technique and movement structures of modern dances imply a large number of rapid changes of direction and weight transfer, harmonization of movements to the rhythm of music, and coordination of movements with other dancers on the dance floor. The technique of certain figures is very similar to test movements such as Side Steps 20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton, therefore it is expected that the results will be improved with these variables. Lateral movement and step-step is common in modern dances, while stepping back with rapid weight transfer and returning to the starting position is one of the basic movements in Dancehall. Constant repetition of these movements and techniques can contribute to the transformation of certain indicators of coordination, which is in line with the results of Uzunović (2008), who recorded an improvement in the results of certain tests of 20 Steps forward Twirling a Baton, Skipping the Horizontal Jump Rope, Turning in 6 Squares and Hand-Foot Drumming after applying a program of modern sports dance.

The results of the parameters for the estimation of explosive power showed significant progress between the two tests. In this study, additional exercises were performed to strengthen the muscles of the torso and legs with their own load, the volume and intensity of the exercises being similar to the recommendations of Koutedakis, Clarke, Wyon, Aways, & Owolabi (2009). The participants of the experimental group recorded an increase in jump height of 13.4% for SJ and 13.2% for CMJ. These results are in agreement with the study of Brown et al. (2007) who reported a slight improvement in the jump height of 8.3% for the plyometric training group, and 3.76% for the traditional load training group. In the study, the duration of the experimental treatment was shorter. Dowse, McGuigan, & Harrison (2017) showed the smallest improvement in jump height after exercise training, with a jump height increase of 4.5% for SJ, and 3.2% for CMJ, while similar results were reported by Stalder, Noble, & Wilkinson (1990). Vibration training has also proven to be an effective means of improving the parameters of explosive power in dance. Annino et al. (2007) reported a 6.3% improvement in CMJ values in a sample of elite ballerinas, while Marshal & Wyon (2012) and Wyon, Guinan, & Hawkey (2010) confirmed that vibration training could also be used as an effective training tool to increase explosive power in dancers. The exercise program used in this research has led to greater improvements in jump height than in other studies, indicating that the additional strength exercises involved in dance training can effectively increase the explosive power. At the final measurement, a statistically significant difference was found between the participants of the experimental and control group for both explosive power variables at the univariate level, with the
participants of the experimental group achieving better results than the control group. The results obtained are in contrast to the study of Harley et al. (2002) where dancers generated greater force during the isometric contraction of quadriceps but did not record higher values of vertical jump. Also, in the study of Bennell et al. (1999) the control group had greater flexor, adductor, and internal and external hip rotator muscle strength relative to dancers, indicating the importance of additional strength training in dancers. Strength training in dancers should be focused not only on muscle training, but also on nerve path training. By training the proper nerve pathways, dancers are able to activate a greater proportion of their musculature while performing dance movements (Koutedakis et al., 2009). In the realized program, a combination of leg and trunk muscles exercises and plyometric exercises was applied. Combining strength exercises such as squats with fast and explosive movements stimulates the neuromuscular system more effectively (Ebben & Watts, 1998), while plyometric training increases muscle strength of the torso and leg flexors and improves the activation of more motor units (Adams, O'Shea, O'Shea, & Climstein, 1992). Strength training was used in this research as an additional form of exercise along with the dance part of the training.

CONCLUSION

The results of the research showed that a ten-week exercise program for recreational and modern dance and exercises for strengthening the muscles of the torso and legs have a positive effect on changes in the parameters of coordination and explosive power in student-age female dancers. The use of Hip Hop and Dancehall as a fun form of physical exercise can influence the development of coordination skills by performing and repeating specific dance movements and figures, while the exercises to strengthen the trunk and leg muscles provide additional training stimulus that is lacking in conventional forms of dance training without additional exercises.

Future research should focus on a more appropriate examination of the impact of these dances on other motor skills, as well as on other components of physical fitness.
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UTICAJI PROGRAMA VEŽBANJA NA KOORDINACIJU I EKSPLOZIVNU SNAGU UNIVERZITETSKIH STUDENATA PLESA

Cilj ovog istraživanja je da se utvrdi uticaj programa vežbanja modernog i rekreativnog plesa i vežbi na koordinaciju i eksplozivnu snagu plesača studentskog uzrasta. Ukupan uzorak činil je 54 ispitanica, od čega je 27 išpanica bilo podeljeno u eksperimentalnu grupu koja je primenjivala eksperimentalni program vežbanja i 27 ispitanica kontrolne grupe. Eksperimentalna grupa izvodila je časove Hip Hop-a i Dancehall-a kao i vežbe za jačanje mišića trupa i nogu, tri puta nedeljno po 90 minuta. Kontrolna grupa nije primenjivala nikakve dodatne oblike vežbanja. Koordinacija je procenjena na osnovu 6 testova (Koraci u stranu, 20 iskoraka provlačenjem palice, Preskakanje horizontalne vijače, Okreti u 6 kvadrata i Test okretnosti sa palicom) i na osnovu 2 testa za procenu eksplozivne snage (Squat Jump i Countermovement Jump). Rezultati su pokazali statistički značajne razlike između grupa na finalnom merenju kod 5 varijabli koordinacije na multivarijantnom i univarijantnom nivou (p<0.05, p<0.01) i kod obe varijable eksplozivne snage (p<0.05) na univarijantnom nivou. Velika i srednja veličina uticaja eksperimentalnog programa utvrđena je kod 5 varijabli koordinacije, dok je umerena veličina uticaja utvrđena kod obe varijable eksplozivne snage. Rezultati istraživanja su pokazali da desetonedeljni program vežbanja rekreativnog i modernog plesa i vežbi za jačanje mišića trupa i nogu pozitivno utiče na koordinaciju i eksplozivnu snagu kod plesačica studentskog uzrasta.

Ključne reči: koordinacija, eksplozivna snaga, rekreacija, moderni plesovi

In Serbian