

## RADIUS CONSTANTS FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A MULTIPLIER LINEAR OPERATOR

Poonam Sharma and Ankita \*

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to find radius constants for a Janowski type class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  involving a multiplier linear operator for functions  $f$  satisfying certain conditions on its coefficients. The sharpness of the results are verified. Some consequent results are also mentioned.

**Keywords:** Univalent functions, subclasses of univalent functions, multiplier operator, subordination, coefficient inequality, radius constant.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes a class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . A subclass of univalent functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Bieberbach conjectured that a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  of the form (1.1) satisfies the coefficient condition:  $|a_n| \leq n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) which was proved by de Branges [4]. But it was observed that this coefficient condition is not sufficient for the functions  $f$  to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ . For example, functions

$$f_1(z) = z + 2z^2, \quad f_2(z) = 2z - \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$

satisfy coefficient condition  $|a_n| \leq n$  but their derivatives vanish inside  $\mathbb{U}$ , hence, the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus, we needed to find the least upper bound  $r(f)$  of  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition  $|a_n| \leq n$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}_r = \{z: |z| < r\}$  and is called the radius of univalence or the radius constant for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  or  $\mathcal{S}$ -radius. Gavrillov [10] showed that radius of univalence

---

Received March 24, 2015.; Accepted April 08, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80

\*The author was supported by SRF of CSIR, New Delhi India

for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1) satisfying  $|a_n| \leq n$ , is the real root  $r_0 = 0.164$  (approx.) of the equation  $2(1-r)^3 - (1+r) = 0$  and the result is sharp for the function  $f_2$ . Gavrilo also obtained the radius of univalence of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying another inequality  $|a_n| \leq M$  ( $M > 0, n \geq 2$ ). Landau [14] obtained the radius of univalence for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $|f(z)| \leq M$ . Various subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  have been defined and studied so far, well known out of which are the classes of starlike and convex functions, denoted, respectively, by  $\mathcal{ST}$  and  $\mathcal{CV}$  (see Duren [7]). Yamashita [28] showed that the radius of univalence obtained by Gavrilo is same as the radius of starlikeness for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $|a_n| \leq n$  or  $|a_n| \leq M$ . Yamashita [28] also determined the radius of convexity, for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $|a_n| \leq n$ , which is the real root  $r_0 = 0.090$  of the equation  $2(1-r)^4 - (1+4r+r^2) = 0$ , while the radius of convexity for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $|a_n| \leq M$  is the real root of  $(M+1)(1-r)^3 - M(1+r) = 0$ .

The second coefficient  $a_2$  of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1.1), determines some important properties such as growth and distortion estimates of the function  $f$ . By fixing the second coefficient, let  $\mathcal{A}_b$  denotes a subclass of the class  $\mathcal{A}$  whose members are of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (|a_2| = 2b, 0 \leq b \leq 1).$$

Several authors have investigated various properties of univalent functions and its subclasses by fixing the second coefficient; for detail see [1, 2, 11, 15, 16, 23, 26]. In [23], Ravichandran obtained the sharp radii of starlikeness and convexity of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_b$  satisfying the condition  $|a_n| \leq n$  or  $|a_n| \leq M$  or  $|a_n| \leq M/n$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Further, in [16], radius constants are obtained for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_b$  satisfying the condition  $|a_n| \leq cn + d$  ( $c, d \geq 0$ ) or  $|a_n| \leq c/n$  ( $c > 0$ ) for  $n \geq 3$ .

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then we say  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written  $f(z) < g(z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), if there is an analytic function  $w$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ , such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . In particular, if  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  provided  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{U})$ . The concept of subordination can be found in [17]. Involving subordination, a brief history for various subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  may be found in [1].

In geometric function theory, various linear operators, associated with some geometric properties of the image domain are studied. For the purpose of this paper, we consider a multiplier linear operator  $\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , defined recently in [21] (see also [22], [25]), for  $m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and for  $\mu > -1$ ,  $k > 0$ , by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = f(z), & m = 0, \\ \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = \frac{\mu+1}{k} z^{1-\frac{\mu+1}{k}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\mu+1}{k}-2} \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(t) dt, & m \in \mathbb{Z}^- = \{-1, -2, \dots\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = \frac{k}{\mu+1} z^{2-\frac{\mu+1}{k}} \frac{d}{dt} \left( z^{\frac{\mu+1}{k}-1} \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m-1} f(z) \right), & m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

The series representation of  $\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$  for  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1}\right)^m a_n z^n.$$

The multiplier operator  $\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m$  generalizes several previously studied operators in various papers some of which are as follows:

- (i)  $\mathcal{J}_{k,0}^m = D_k^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ) [18]
- (ii)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,0}^m = D^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [24]
- (iii)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,1}^m = \mathcal{D}^m$  [27]
- (iv)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,\mu}^m = I_{\mu}^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mu \geq 0$ ) [5, 6]
- (v)  $\mathcal{J}_{k,0}^{-n} = I_k^{-n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, k > 0$ ) [3, 20]
- (vi)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,a}^{-n} = L_{a+1}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, a \geq 0$ ) [13]
- (vii)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,1}^{-n} = I^{-n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) [8]
- (viii)  $\mathcal{J}_{1,0}^{-n} f(z) = I^{-n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda > 0$ ) [24]

Involving the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m$ , we define a Janowski type class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ , if it satisfies for  $\lambda \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , a subordination:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) + \lambda z \left(\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Note that on giving appropriate values to the parameters involved in the aforementioned class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ , we find several previously defined classes. Some of these are as follows:

- (i)  $H_{1,0}^0(0, A, B) = \mathcal{ST}[A, B], H_{1,0}^1(0, A, B) = \mathcal{CV}[A, B]$  studied by Janowski [12].
- (ii)  $H_{1,0}^0(\alpha, 1 - 2\beta, -1) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ ) studied by Nargesi *et al.* [16] ([19]).
- (iii)  $H_{1,0}^0(0, 1 - \alpha, 0), H_{1,0}^1(0, 1 - \alpha, 0)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) studied by Ravichandran [23].

Denote  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, 1 - 2\beta, -1) = H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, \beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ) and  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, 0) = H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda)$ . Functions in the class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, \beta)$  satisfy

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda) \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) + \lambda z \left( \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) \right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Since, for  $-1 \leq D \leq B < A \leq C \leq (1 - 2\beta) \leq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} < \frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz} < \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

we observe that

$$H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, C, D),$$

and

$$H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, \beta) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda).$$

But the reverse inclusion is true in some disk  $\mathbb{U}_r$ . According to [9], we have following inclusions:

- (i)  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, C, D) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  in  $\mathbb{U}_{r_1}$ , where  $r_1 = \min\left(\frac{A-B}{C-D-|AD-BD|}, 1\right)$ .
- (ii)  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, \beta) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  in  $\mathbb{U}_{r_2}$ , where  $r_2 = \min\left(\frac{A-B}{2(1-\beta)-|A+B(1-2\beta)|}, 1\right)$ .
- (iii)  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda) \subset H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  in  $\mathbb{U}_{r_3}$ , where  $r_3 = \min\left(\frac{A-B}{2-|A+B|}, 1\right)$ .

We note that the functions belonging to a class, satisfy certain coefficient condition, for example, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1) is convex (univalent) in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $|a_n| \leq n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and if it is starlike in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $|a_n| \leq 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Also, if  $f$  satisfies  $|f(z)| \leq M$  ( $M > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), then  $|a_n| \leq M$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and if  $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z)) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then  $|a_n| \leq 2/n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).

The purpose of this paper is to find results on  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius for the functions satisfying certain conditions on the coefficients  $a_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), which presumably arise for the functions belonging to various classes. Motivated with the work [16] and [23], for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1), satisfying certain conditions on the coefficients  $a_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius is obtained by using the sufficient coefficient condition for the class  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$  which is also obtained in this paper. The sharpness of the radii results are verified. Some consequent results are also mentioned.

## 2. Coefficient Inequality

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mu > -1, k > 0, \lambda \geq 0$  and let  $-1 \leq B < 0, B < A \leq 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1) satisfies the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A - 1 + (1 - B)(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n| \leq A - B,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) = \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right)^m,$$

then  $f \in H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ .

*Proof.* To prove  $f \in H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ , from the class condition (1.4), we need to show

$$(2.3) \quad S_1 := \left| \frac{1 - P(z)}{BP(z) - A} \right| < 1,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad P(z) = \frac{(1 - \lambda) \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) + \lambda z \left( \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(z) \right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}.$$

Observe from (1.1) that if  $a_n = 0 (n \geq 2)$ , then  $P(z) = 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$  which verifies (2.3), and if there is some  $a_n \neq 0 (n \geq 2)$ , then from (2.1) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ A - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right\} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n| \\ & < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A - 1 + (1 - B)(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n| \\ & \leq A - B. \end{aligned}$$

Now, on writing the series expressions from (1.3) in (2.4), we get

$$S_1 = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) - 1 \right\} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^{n-1}}{A - B + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ A - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right\} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^{n-1}} \right|$$

which in view of (2.5), proves

$$S_1 < \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ (1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) - 1 \right\} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n|}{A - B - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ A - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right\} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n|} \leq 1$$

if (2.1) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

### 3. Radius Constant

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be of the form (1.1) and let for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mu > -1$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be given by (2.2). If  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)}$  ( $0 \leq b \leq 1$ ) and  $|a_n| \leq \frac{cn+d}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $d \geq 0$ ), then  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius is the real root in  $(0, 1)$ , given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [(c+d+1)(A-B) + (2c-2b+d)\{(1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K) + A-1\}r](1-r)^4 \\ &= (1-B)\lambda cK(1+4r+r^2) + (1-B)\{c(\lambda+K-2\lambda K) + \lambda dK\}(1-r^2) \\ &+ \{[c(1-\lambda)(1-K) + d(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)](1-B) + c(A-1)\}(1-r)^2 \\ (3.1) \quad &+ d\{(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B) + A-1\}(1-r)^3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$ . The result is sharp.

*Proof.* Let  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  be the  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius. Then, we show that

$\frac{f(r_0z)}{r_0} \in H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ . Hence, from the coefficient inequality (2.1), we show

$$S_2 := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A-1 + (1-B)(1-\lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n| r_0^{n-1} \leq A-B.$$

Applying conditions  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)}$  ( $0 \leq b \leq 1$ ) and  $|a_n| \leq \frac{cn+d}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $d \geq 0$ ), on putting  $\frac{k}{\mu+1} = K$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq \{A-1 + (1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K)\} 2br_0 + \lambda cK(1-B) \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^3 r_0^{n-1} \\ &+ (1-B) [c\{\lambda(1-2K) + K\} + d\lambda K] \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^2 r_0^{n-1} \\ &+ \{[c(1-\lambda)(1-K) + d(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)](1-B) + c(A-1)\} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n r_0^{n-1} \\ &+ d\{A-1 + (1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B)\} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} r_0^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and on using the expansions

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{1-r_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_0^{n-1},$$

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{(1-r_0)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr_0^{n-1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1+r_0}{(1-r_0)^3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 r_0^{n-1},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1+4r_0+r_0^2}{(1-r_0)^4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^3 r_0^{n-1},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq \{A-1+(1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K)\} 2br_0 \\ &\quad + \lambda cK(1-B) \left\{ \frac{1+4r_0+r_0^2}{(1-r_0)^4} - 1 - 8r_0 \right\} \\ &\quad + (1-B) [c\{\lambda(1-2K)+K\} + d\lambda K] \left\{ \frac{1+r_0}{(1-r_0)^3} - 1 - 4r_0 \right\} \\ &\quad + [\{c(1-\lambda)(1-K) + d(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)\} (1-B) + c(A-1)] \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-r_0)^2} - 1 - 2r_0 \right\} \\ &\quad + d\{A-1+(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B)\} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-r_0)} - 1 - r_0 \right\} \\ &= (c+d)(B-A) + (2b-2c-d)\{(1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K)+A-1\}r_0 \\ &\quad + [\lambda cK(1-B)(1+4r_0+r_0^2) + (1-B)\{c\{\lambda(1-2K)+K\} + d\lambda K\}(1-r_0^2) \\ &\quad + [\{c(1-\lambda)(1-K) + d(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)\} (1-B) + c(A-1)](1-r_0)^2 \\ &\quad + d\{(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B)+A-1\}(1-r_0)^3] \frac{1}{(1-r_0)^4} \\ &= A-B \end{aligned}$$

if  $r_0$  satisfy (3.1). Sharpness can be verified for the function  $f_0(z)$  such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m(f_0(z)) = z - 2bz^2 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (cn+d)z^n.$$

Since, for this function

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}(f_0(z)) = z - 2b(1+K)z^2 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \{1+K(n-1)\} (cn+d)z^n,$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$  and at  $z = r_0 \in (0, 1)$ , satisfying (3.1), we get

$$(3.6) \quad 1 - \frac{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}f_0(z) + \lambda z\left(\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}f_0(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f_0(z)} = \frac{N_{r_0}}{D_{r_0}} = \frac{A-B}{1-B} > 0,$$

where  $N_{r_0}$  and  $D_{r_0}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_{r_0} &= (2b-2c-d)\{(1+\lambda)K+\lambda\}r_0 + \lambda cK\frac{1+4r_0+r_0^2}{(1-r_0)^4} \\ &\quad + \{c(\lambda+K-2\lambda K) + d\lambda K\}\frac{1+r_0}{(1-r_0)^3} \\ &\quad - \{c(\lambda+K-\lambda K) - d(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)\}\frac{1}{(1-r_0)^2} \\ &\quad - d(\lambda+K-\lambda K)\frac{1}{1-r_0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_{r_0} = \mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m(f_0(z)) = (1+c+d) - (2b-2c-d)r_0 - \frac{c}{(1-r_0)^2} - \frac{d}{1-r_0}.$$

Thus, for the function  $f_0(z)$  at  $z = r_0$ , satisfying (3.1),

$$P_1(z) := \frac{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}f_0(z) + \lambda z\left(\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}f_0(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f_0(z)} = \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)}$$

where

$$w(z) = \frac{1-P_1(z)}{BP_1(z)-A} = -1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.**

- (i) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we get the radius result obtained by Nargesi *et al.* [16, Theorem 6, p. 4].
- (ii) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, A = 1 - 2\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ),  $B = -1$  in Theorem 3.1, we get the radius result obtained by Nargesi *et al.* [16, Theorem 2, p. 2].

On giving special values:  $c = 1, d = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we get following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be of the form (1.1) and let for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu > -1, k > 0, \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be given by (2.2). If  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)}$  ( $0 \leq b \leq 1$ ),  $|a_n| \leq \frac{n}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), then  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius is the real root in  $(0, 1)$ , given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [2(A-B) + 2(1-b)\{(1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K) + A-1\}r](1-r)^4 \\ &= \lambda K(1-B)(1+4r+r^2) + (1-B)(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)(1-r^2) \\ & \quad + \{(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B) + A-1\}(1-r)^2, \end{aligned}$$



where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$ . The result is sharp.

Further, giving special values:  $c = 0, d = M$  in Theorem 3.1, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be of the form (1.1) and let for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu > -1, k > 0, \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) (n \geq 2)$  be given by (2.2). If  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)} (0 \leq b \leq 1), |a_n| \leq \frac{M}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} (n \geq 3, M \geq 0)$ , then  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ - radius is the real root in  $(0, 1)$ , given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [(M+1)(A-B) + (M-2b)\{(1-B)(1+\lambda)(1+K) + A-1\}r](1-r)^4 \\ &= \lambda MK(1-B)(1-r^2) + M(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)(1-B)(1-r)^2 + \\ & M\{(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B) + A-1\}(1-r)^3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$ . The result is sharp.

**Remark 3.2.**

- (i) For  $b = 1$  Corollary 3.1 provides the  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ - radius if the function  $\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$  is univalent (convex) in  $\mathbb{U}$ .
- (ii) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1), B = 0$  in Corollary 3.1, we get the radius result obtained by Ravichandran [23, Theorem 2.1, p. 29] for starlikeness of order  $\alpha$  and for parabolic-starlikeness, which also includes the cases when  $b = 0$  and 1, respectively, [23, Corollaries 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, p. 31, 32], and when  $b = 1, \alpha = 0$  [28, Theorem 2, p. 454].
- (iii) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1), B = 0$  in Corollary 3.2, we get the radius result obtained by Ravichandran [23, Theorem 2.2, p. 32] which also includes the case when  $b = \frac{M}{2}$  [23, Corollary 2.2.1, p. 33] ([28, Theorem 2, p. 454] if  $b = \frac{M}{2}, \alpha = 0$ ).
- (iv) Taking  $m = 1, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1), B = 0$  in Corollary 3.1, we get result [23, Theorem 3.1, p. 34] for convexity of order  $\alpha$  and for uniform convexity, which includes the cases when  $b = 1$  and 0, respectively, [23, Corollaries 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, p. 35, 36], and when  $b = 1, \alpha = 0$  [28, Theorem 2, p. 454].
- (v) On taking  $m = 1, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1), B = 0$  in Corollary 3.2, we get result [23, Theorem 3.2, p. 36] which includes the cases when  $b = \frac{M}{2}$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , respectively, in [23, Corollary 3.2.1, p. 37] and [28, Theorem 2, p. 454].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be of the form (1.1) and let for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu > -1, k > 0, \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) (n \geq 2)$  be given by (2.2). If  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)} (0 \leq b \leq 1), |a_n| \leq \frac{c}{n \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} (n \geq 3, c \geq 0)$ , then  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ - radius is the real root in  $(0, 1)$ , given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ (c+1)(A-B) - \left( 2b - \frac{c}{2} \right) \{ (1+\lambda)(1+K)(1-B) + A-1 \} r \right] (1-r)^2 \\ &= \lambda cK(1-B) + c(\lambda+K-2\lambda K)(1-B)(1-r) \\ (3.7) \quad & -c\{(1-\lambda)(1-K)(1-B) + A-1\} \frac{\log(1-r)}{r} (1-r)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$ . The result is sharp.

*Proof.* Let  $r_0$  be  $H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ -radius. Then, we show that  $\frac{f(r_0z)}{r_0} \in H_{k,\mu}^m(\lambda, A, B)$ . From the coefficient inequality (2.1), we show that

$$S_3 := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A - 1 + (1 - B)(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \left( 1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right) \right] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) |a_n| r_0^{n-1} \leq A - B.$$

Applying the conditions  $|a_2| = \frac{2b}{\theta_{k,\mu}^m(2)} (n \geq 2, 0 \leq b \leq 1)$  and  $|a_n| \leq \frac{c}{n\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} (n \geq 3, c \geq 0)$ , a calculation shows on using the expansions (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (on integrating (3.2)):

$$-\frac{\log(1-r_0)}{r_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^{n-1}}{n},$$

on putting  $\frac{k}{\mu+1} = K$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &\leq \{(1 + \lambda)(1 + K)(1 - B) + A - 1\} 2br_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - K + Kn)(1 - B) + A - 1\} \frac{c}{n} r_0^{n-1} \\ &= \{(1 + \lambda)(1 + K)(1 - B) + A - 1\} 2br_0 \\ &\quad + \lambda cK(1 - B) \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - r_0)^2} - 1 - 2r_0 \right\} \\ &\quad + c(\lambda + K - 2\lambda K)(1 - B) \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - r_0)} - 1 - r_0 \right\} \\ &\quad - c\{(1 - \lambda)(1 - K)(1 - B) + A - 1\} \left\{ \frac{\log(1 - r_0)}{r_0} + 1 + \frac{r_0}{2} \right\} \\ &= c(B - A) + \left( 2b - \frac{c}{2} \right) \{(1 + \lambda)(1 + K)(1 - B) + A - 1\} r_0 \\ &\quad + \lambda cK(1 - B) \frac{1}{(1 - r_0)^2} + c(\lambda + K - 2\lambda K)(1 - B) \frac{1}{1 - r_0} \\ &\quad - c\{(1 - \lambda)(1 - K)(1 - B) + A - 1\} \frac{\log(1 - r_0)}{r_0} \\ &= A - B \end{aligned}$$

if  $r_0$  satisfy (3.1). Sharpness can be verified for the function  $f_1(z)$  such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m(f_1(z)) = z - 2bz^2 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{c}{n} z^n.$$

Since, for the function  $f_1(z)$ ,

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1}(f_1(z)) = z - 2b(1 + K)z^2 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (1 - K + Kn) \frac{c}{n} z^n,$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{\mu+1}$ , at  $z = r_0 \in (0, 1)$ , satisfying (3.7), we get

$$1 - \frac{(1 - \lambda)\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f_1(z) + \lambda z \left(\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f_1(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{J}_{k,\mu}^m f_1(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{r_0}}{\mathcal{D}_{r_0}} = \frac{A - B}{1 - B} > 0,$$

$\mathcal{N}_{r_0}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{r_0}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{r_0} &= \left(2b - \frac{c}{2}\right)(\lambda + K + \lambda K)r_0 + \lambda cK \frac{1}{(1 - r_0)^2} \\ &\quad + c(\lambda + K - 2\lambda K) \frac{1}{1 - r_0} + c(\lambda + K - \lambda K) \frac{\log(1 - r_0)}{r_0} \\ \mathcal{D}_{r_0} &= 1 + c - \left(2b - \frac{c}{2}\right)r_0 + c \frac{\log(1 - r_0)}{r_0}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.**

- (i) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = \alpha, A = 1 - 2\beta, B = -1$  in Theorem 3.2, we get the result of Nargesi *et al.* [16, Theorem 3, p. 3] for the class  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)$
- (ii) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we get result [16, Theorem 7, p. 5] for the class  $\mathcal{ST}[A, B]$ .
- (iii) Taking  $m = 0, k = 1, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - \alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1), B = 0, c = M$  in Theorem 3.2, we get a result of Ravichandran [23, Theorem 2.3, p. 34].

**REFERENCES**

1. ROSIHAN M. ALI, NAK EUN CHO, NAVEEN KUMAR JAIN AND V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Radii of starlikeness and convexity for functions with fixed second coefficient defined by subordination.* Filomat **26**(3), (2012), 553–561.
2. ROSIHAN M. ALI, S. NAGPAL AND V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Second order Differential Subordinations for analytic functions with fixed initial coefficients.* Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **2**(34), (2011), 611–629.
3. M. K. AOUF AND T. M. SEOUDY: *On differential Sandwich theorems of analytic functions defined by generalized Salagean integral operator.* Appl. Math. Lett. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.03.011

4. L. DE BRANGES: *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*. Acta Mathematica **154**(1-2), (1985), 137–152.
5. N. E. CHO AND T. H. KIM: *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 399–410.
6. N. E. CHO AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA: *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*. Math. Comput. Modelling **37** (2003), 39–49.
7. P. L. DUREN: *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, Springer, New York, (1983).
8. T. M. FLETT: *The dual of an identity of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **38** (1972), 746–765.
9. A. GANGADHARAN AND V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Radii of convexity and strong starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **211** (1997), Art. AY975463, 301–313 .
10. V. I. GAVRILOV: *Remarks on the radius of univalence of holomorphic functions*. Akademiya Nauk SSSR: Matematicheskie Zametki **7** (1970), 295–298.
11. R. M. GOEL: *The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with fixed second coefficients*. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A. **25** (1971) 33–39.
12. W. JANOWSKI: *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I* Ann. Polon. Math. **28** (1973), 298–326.
13. Y. KOMATU: *On analytical prolongation of a family of operators*. Mathematica (Cluj) **32** (55), (1990), 141–145.
14. E. LANDAU: *Der Picard-Schottkysche Satz und die Blochsche Konstante*. Sitzungsberichte der Akad. der Wiss. zu Berlin, Phys. Mat. Klass (1925), 467–474.
15. S. NAGPAL AND V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Applications of theory of differential subordination for functions with fixed initial coefficient to univalent functions*. Ann. Polon. Math. **105**(3), (2012), 225–238.
16. MAHNAZ M. NARGESI, ROSHAN M. ALI AND V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Radius constants for analytic functions with fixed second coefficient*. The Scientific World J. **2014**, Art. ID 898614, 6 pages, (2014).
17. Z. NEHARI: *Coformal Mappings*. McGraw-Hill, New York, (1952).
18. F. M. AL-OBOUDI: *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*. Indian J. Math. Math. Sci. **25-28** (2004), 1429–1436.
19. M. OBRADOVIC AND S. B. JOSHI: *On certain classes of strongly starlike functions*. Taiwanese J. Math. **2**(3), (1998), 297–302.
20. J. PATEL: *Inclusion relations and convolution properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized Sălăăgean operator*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stavin **15** (2008), 33–47.
21. R. K. RAINA AND POONAM SHARMA: *Subordination properties of univalent functions involving a new class of operators*. Electr. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **2**(1), (2014), 37–52.
22. R. K. RAINA AND POONAM SHARMA: *Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators*, Le Matematiche **68**(1), (2013), 217–228.
23. V. RAVICHANDRAN: *Radii of starlikeness and convexity of analytic functions satisfying certain coefficient inequalities*. Math. Slovaca **64**(1), (2014), 27–38.
24. G. S. SĂLAĂGEAN: *Subclasses of univalent functions, in Complex Analysis*. Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part I (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York (1983).

25. POONAM SHARMA, RAVINDER KRISHNA RAINA AND JANUSZ SOKOL: *Certain subordination results involving a class of operators*. *Analele Univ. Oradea Fasc. Matematica* **21**(2), (2014), 89–99.
26. D. E. TEPPER: *On the radius of convexity and boundary distortion of Schlicht functions*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (1970), 519–528.
27. B. A. URALEGADDI AND C. SOMANATHA: *Certain classes of univalent functions*. in: H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, (1992), 371–374.
28. S. YAMASHITA: *Radii of univalence, starlikeness, and convexity*. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **25**(3), (1982), 453–457.

Poonam Sharma  
Department of Mathematics & Astronomy  
University of Lucknow  
Lucknow 226007 UP, India  
sharma\_poonam@lkouniv.ac.in

Ankita  
Department of Mathematics & Astronomy  
University of Lucknow  
Lucknow 226007 UP, India  
ankita.maths06@gmail.com