

THE n -DUAL STRUCTURE OF THE SPACE OF p -SUMMABLE SEQUENCE SPACES

Pradeep Kumar Singh and J K Srivastava

Abstract. In this paper, we shall investigate the n -dual structure of the sequence space l^p regarded as normed space and n -normed space, where the given norm is derived by n -norm and they have been studied in [5, 6, 7].

Keywords: Sequence space, normed space, multilinear n -functional, isometric linear bijection.

1. Introduction

Similar to the theory of the space of the bounded linear functionals defined on a normed space, bounded multilinear n -functionals have been defined on an n -normed space and these theories have been studied by White [1], Gunawan [9, 10].

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially investigated by Gähler [8]. After that, it has been generalized to n -normed spaces and has been studied by many others (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]).

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbf{X} be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}(= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$ of dimension $d \geq n(n \geq 2)$. A non-negative real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ defined on \mathbf{X}^n satisfying the four conditions:

(N1) $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = 0$ if and only if x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n are linearly dependent;

(N2) $\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$ is invariant under any permutation of x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n ;

(N3) $\|\alpha \cdot x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$;

(N4) $\|x^1 + y, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \leq \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| + \|y, x^2, \dots, x^n\|$;

for all $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, y \in \mathbf{X}$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, is called an **n -norm on \mathbf{X}** , and the pair $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an **n -normed space**.

Received July 06, 2015; Accepted October 10, 2015
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 46A20, 46A45, 46B10, 46B15

Definition 1.2. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space and $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ is a linearly independent set of n vectors, let us define:

1. $\|x\|_\infty^d = \max\{\|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\| : \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}\}$
2. $\|x\|_q^d = \left(\sum_{\{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \|x, e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_{n-1}}\|^q\right)^{1/q}; \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$

In [3, 4], Gunawan proved that these two functions $(\|\cdot\|_\infty^d$ and $\|\cdot\|_q^d)$ define *norms* (known as **derived norms**) on the vector space \mathbf{X} and they are equivalent.

Definition 1.3. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *normed space* then a linear functional $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is said to be **bounded** if \exists a real number $k > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq k\|x\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{X}.$$

The linear functional f is said to be **continuous** at a point $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ if for every given $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$x \in \mathbf{X}, \|x - x_0\| < \delta \quad \implies \quad |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Lemma 1.1. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *normed space* then a linear functional $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is **bounded** if and only if f is *continuous*.

Analogous to the above definitions a bounded multilinear n -functional has been defined on n -normed space (for detail see [1, 9, 10]).

Definition 1.4. Let \mathbf{X} be a *vector space* then a scalar valued function $f : \mathbf{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a **multilinear n -functional** if it satisfies:

1. $f(x^1 + y^1, \dots, x^n + y^n) = \sum_{h^i \in \{x^i, y^i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n} f(h^1, \dots, h^n),$
2. $f(\alpha_1 x^1, \dots, \alpha_n x^n) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n),$

for every $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}$ and for every $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{K}$.

A *multilinear n -functional* f defined on a *normed space* $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be **bounded** (i.e. bounded with respect *norm*) if $\exists K > 0$ such that

$$|f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)| \leq K\|x^1\| \cdots \|x^n\|, \quad \text{for every } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}.$$

Similarly, a *multilinear n -functional* f defined on an n -normed space $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be **bounded** (i.e. bounded with respect *n -norm*) if $\exists K > 0$ such that

$$|f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)| \leq K\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|, \quad \text{for every } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}.$$

As we know that, the set $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{K})$ of all bounded linear functional f defined on the *normed space* $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ forms a *normed space* with *norm* defined by

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbf{X}, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

The *normed space* $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{K})$ is called **dual space** of the *normed space* $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ and is usually denoted by \mathbf{X}^* .

Similarly, the space of *bounded multilinear n -functionals* on $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ [on $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$] is called the **n -dual space** of the *normed space* $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ [the **n -dual space** of the *n -normed space* $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$] respectively] see [9, 10], with norms

$$\|f\|_{n,1} := \sup_{\|x^1\| \cdots \|x^n\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)|}{\|x^1\| \cdots \|x^n\|};$$

$$\left[\|f\|_{n,n} := \sup_{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)|}{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|} \text{ respectively} \right].$$

Here, we shall consider the well-known sequence space $\mathcal{P}, 1 \leq p < \infty$; where

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty \mid \sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty \text{ and } x_i \in \mathbb{K}; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

with norms

$$(1.1) \quad \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \|x\|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq i < \infty} |x_i|.$$

We know that $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a *Banach space* whereas $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not a *Banach space*.

In [5], for our convenience and need, we have denoted the set of whole numbers as $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ will also be written in the form of a sequence $\mathbb{N} = (0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ as well as in the form of *n -consecutive terms notation* as:

$$\mathbb{N} = (nl, nl + 1, \dots, nl + (n - 1))_{l=0}^\infty$$

where “ n ” is fixed positive integer and refer to the integer “ n ” of *n -normed space*.

Taking $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = (\overline{m}_{nk}, \overline{m}_{nk+1}, \dots, \overline{m}_{nk+(n-1)})_{k=0}^\infty$ as a rearrangement of the sequence \mathbb{N} . In [5], we have seen that $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p), 1 \leq p < \infty$ is an *n -normed space*, but not complete where

$$(1.3) \quad \overline{\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}} = \sup \left\{ \left| \overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n \right| : \overline{\overline{x}}^1, \overline{\overline{x}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{x}}^n \text{ are parallel rearrangements of } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \text{ respectively} \right\},$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \overline{\overline{X}}^1, \overline{\overline{X}}^2, \dots, \overline{\overline{X}}^n \right| = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} X_{\overline{m}_{nk}}^1 & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+1}}^1 & \dots & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^1 \\ X_{\overline{m}_{nk}}^2 & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+1}}^2 & \dots & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{\overline{m}_{nk}}^n & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+1}}^n & \dots & X_{\overline{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^n \end{pmatrix} \right|^p \right)^{1/p};$$

$$\overline{\overline{X}}^t = \left(X_{\overline{m}_{nk}}^t, X_{\overline{m}_{nk+1}}^t, \dots, X_{\overline{m}_{nk+(n-1)}}^t \right)_{k=0}^{\infty};$$

$$x^t = \left(X_{n^t}^t, X_{n^t+1}^t, \dots, X_{n^t+(n-1)}^t \right)_{l=0}^{\infty}; \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Besides it, the function

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_{\infty} := \sup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} X_{i_1}^1 & X_{i_2}^1 & \dots & X_{i_n}^1 \\ X_{i_1}^2 & X_{i_2}^2 & \dots & X_{i_n}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{i_1}^n & X_{i_2}^n & \dots & X_{i_n}^n \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

also defines an n -norm on \mathcal{P} , where $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [5, 7], we have already proved that these two n -norms $\overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}}$ and $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_{\infty}$ are non-equivalent. Where as their **derived norms** with respect to the linearly independent set $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ are equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, where $e^t = (\delta_j^t)_{j=0}^{\infty}$. For details see [5, 6, 7].

Here we shall consider the *normed space* $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and *n -normed space* $(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}})$.

It is well known that, $\mathcal{P} \subset C_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq \|\cdot\|_p$, by applying usual methods for finding *dual spaces* of sequence spaces we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 1.2. *The dual space of $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ $1 \leq p < \infty$ is identified by $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Moreover, the mapping $f \rightarrow (f(e^i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a linear isometric bijection.*

Proof. It is easy to check that the sequence $(e^i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ constitutes a Schauder basis for the space $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ also where $e^i = (\delta_j^i)_{j=0}^{\infty}$; $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, every $x = (x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i e^i.$$

i.e. $\|s_n - x\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i e^i$. Let f be bounded linear functional on $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ [It should be noted that f is bounded with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$

]. Since f is continuous and $s_n \rightarrow x$ it follows that $f(s_n) \rightarrow f(x)$. Hence, $f(x)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i f(e^i).$$

Now, we shall show that $(f(e^i))_{i=0}^{\infty} \in ({}^1P, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary, define $y^n = (y_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in P$ as follows

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{f(e^i)}}{|f(e^i)|} & ; \quad f(e^i) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & ; \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously $y^n \in P$ and

$$\|y^n\|_{\infty} = \sup_{0 \leq i < \infty} |y_i| \leq 1$$

(But $\|y^n\|_p \leq (n+1)^{1/p}$.) Now,

$$f(y^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i f(e^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n |f(e^i)| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|y^n\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|.$$

Thus, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sum_{i=0}^n |f(e^i)| \leq \|f\|$ therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f(e^i)| \leq \|f\|$$

and hence

$$(f(e^i))_{i=0}^{\infty} \in ({}^1P, \|\cdot\|_1).$$

Now, define a mapping $T: (P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^* \rightarrow ({}^1P, \|\cdot\|_1)$ as follows

$$T(f) = (f(e^i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$$

where $(P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^*$ is dual space of $(P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Clearly T is well-defined and linear and from above it follows that $T(f) = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$ therefore T is one-one.

To prove T is onto, let $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in ({}^1P, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in P$ be arbitrary, clearly x is bounded in \mathbb{K} , therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |x_i \lambda_i| < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \lambda_i < \infty.$$

Define $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i \lambda_i < \infty.$$

Obviously, f is linear and for every $x \in P$:

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |x_i \lambda_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \right) \|x\|_{\infty} < \infty$$

i.e. f is bounded and $T(f) = \left(f(e^j) \right)_{j=0}^{\infty} = (\lambda_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and

$$\|f\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f(e^j)|.$$

Moreover, above inequalities give

$$\|f\| = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f(e^j)|.$$

Thus T is a linear isometric bijection. \square

Remark : Moreover, above Lemma 1.2 says that if $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ then

$$(P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^* \cong (P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^*$$

and

$$(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^* \cong (c, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^* \cong (P, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})^* \cong (I^1, \|\cdot\|_1).$$

But it need not be true for $(P, \|\cdot\|_p)^*$ and $(P, \|\cdot\|_q)^*$.

From [9, 10], we have the following results:

Lemma 1.3. Every bounded multilinear n -functional f defined on the n -normed space $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ satisfies

1. $f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) = 0$; whenever x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n are linearly dependent
2. $f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) f(x^{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x^{\sigma(n)})$ for every $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X}$

for every permutation σ of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ where $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$ if σ is an even permutation and $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -1$ if σ is an odd permutation.

Lemma 1.4. The norm of every bounded multilinear n -functional f defined on an n -normed space $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is given by:

$$\|f\|_{n,n} := \sup_{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)|}{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|}$$

or equivalently

$$\|f\|_{n,n} := \sup_{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|=1} |f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)|$$

or equivalently

$$\|f\|_{n,n} := \sup_{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\| \leq 1} |f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)|$$

or equivalently

$$\|f\|_{n,n} := \inf \left\{ K : |f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)| \leq K \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|, \text{ for every } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbf{X} \right\}.$$

A similar result can be obtained for *bounded multilinear n -functional defined on a normed space*.

2. Results

In [5, 7], we have already investigated the equivalence relations between different norms and n -norms defined on \mathbb{P} as

$$1. \overline{\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}} \leq n! \|x^1\|_p \|x^2\|_p \cdots \|x^n\|_p$$

$$2. \overline{\overline{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p}} \leq (n!)^{1/p} \|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p.$$

for every $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathbb{P}$; where the n -norm $\|\dots\|_p$ is defined by Gunawan [4] as

$$\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p = \left[\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1} \cdots \sum_{j_n} |\det(x_{j_k}^t)|^p \right]^{1/p} \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The above relations give the following propositions:

Proposition 2.1. *A bounded multilinear n -functional defined on $(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}})$ is a bounded multilinear n -functional on $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$.*

Proposition 2.2. *A bounded multilinear n -functional defined on $(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}})$ is a bounded multilinear n -functional on $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$.*

In [10], Gunawan investigated the n -dual structure of the *Banach space* $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$ and *n -Banach space* $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$, respectively. In [7] we have investigated that the two n -normed spaces $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p)$ and $(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}})$ are non-equivalent. Inspired by Gunawan [10] here, we shall investigate the n -dual spaces of the *normed space* $(\mathbb{P}, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty)$ and *n -normed space* $(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p}})$, respectively.

We shall begin our investigations by finding the *2-dual structure* of \mathcal{P} with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and *2-norm* $\overline{\|\cdot\|_p}$, respectively.

First of all, let us define the *normed space* $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^1, \|\cdot\|_2^1)$ of *double indexed sequences* as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta := (\theta_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^1; \quad \theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{K} \quad \text{if and only if} \\ \|\Theta\|_2^1 = \sup_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i \theta_{ij} \right| \right) < \infty, \quad \text{where } x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty.$$

and the *normed space* $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^A, \|\cdot\|_2^A)$ as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \Theta := (\theta_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^A; \quad \theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{K} \quad \text{if and only if} \\ \|\Theta\|_2^A = \sup_{\overline{\|x,y\|_p} \neq 0} \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i y_j \theta_{ij} \right|}{\overline{\|x,y\|_p}} \right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ji}$$

where $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ and $y = (y_j)_{j=0}^\infty$.

Theorem 2.1. *The 2-dual space of $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$; $1 \leq p < \infty$ is identified by $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^1, \|\cdot\|_2^1)$. Moreover, the mapping $f \rightarrow \Theta := (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty$ is an isometric linear bijection.*

Proof. Let f is a *bounded bilinear 2-functional* on $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, then for every $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ and $y = (y_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ $f(x, y)$ can be expressed as

$$(2.3) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty y_j \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i f(e^i, e^j)$$

We shall first show that $(f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^1$. Since for any arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ the function f_x defined on \mathcal{P} as $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$ is bounded linear functional on $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, that is

$$(2.4) \quad |f_x(y)| = |f(x, y)| \leq \|f\|_{2,1} \|x\|_\infty \|y\|_\infty = \|f\|_{2,1} \|y\|_\infty$$

Therefore by lemma 1.2, f_x can be identified as $f_x \equiv (f_x(e^j))_{j=0}^\infty$ with *norm* $\|f_x\| = \sum_{j=0}^\infty |f_x(e^j)| = \sum_{j=0}^\infty |f(x, e^j)| = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i f(e^i, e^j) \right|$, as well as $\|f_x\| = \sup \{ |f_x(y)| : \|y\|_\infty = 1 \}$, therefore from (2.4), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i f(e^i, e^j) \right| \leq \|f\|_{2,1}; \quad \text{for every arbitrary } \|x\|_\infty = 1.$$

Which shows that, $\Theta := (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}^1$ with

$$(2.5) \quad \|\Theta\|_2^1 = \|f(e^i, e^j)\|_2^1 \leq \|f\|_{2,1}.$$

Next, let us define a function T on the 2-dual space of $(P, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ to $l_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^1$ such that $T(f) = (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty$ then obviously, T is well defined and linear. From (2.3), it is clear that f is zero function, whenever $T(f) = O$, thus T is one-one.

Next, let $\Theta := (\theta_{ij})_{i,j=0}^\infty \in l_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^1$ is arbitrary, for $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ and $y = (y_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ define $f : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ as follows:

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty y_j \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i \theta_{ij};$$

obviously $f(e^i, e^j) = \theta_{ij}$. For $x, y \in P$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ and $\|y\|_\infty = 1$, we have

$$|f(x, y)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |y_j| \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i \theta_{ij} \leq \|y\|_\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=0}^\infty x_i \theta_{ij} \right| \leq \|\Theta\|_2^1.$$

Therefore for every $\|x\|_\infty \neq 0$ and $\|y\|_\infty \neq 0$

$$\frac{|f(x, y)|}{\|x\|_\infty \|y\|_\infty} \leq \|\Theta\|_2^1$$

or equivalently,

$$(2.6) \quad |f(x, y)| \leq \|\Theta\|_2^1 \|x\|_\infty \|y\|_\infty,$$

which exhibits that f is bounded bilinear 2-functional on $(P, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and $T(f) = \Theta$ with

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\|_{2,1} \leq \|\Theta\|_2^1 = \|f(e^i, e^j)\|_2^1.$$

From (2.5) and (2.7) it is clear that, T is isometric linear bijection. \square

Theorem 2.2. The 2-dual space of the 2-normed space $(P, \overline{\|\cdot\|_p})$ is identified as $(l_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^A, \|\cdot\|_2^A)$. Moreover, the mapping $f \rightarrow \Theta := (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty$ is an isometric linear bijection.

Proof. Since $\overline{\|x, y\|_p} \leq 2\|x\|_p \|y\|_p$ see[5], therefore $f(x, y)$ can be expressed as

$$(2.8) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{i=0}^\infty y_j x_i f(e^i, e^j).$$

Since f is bounded therefore

$$|f(x, y)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{i=0}^\infty y_j x_i f(e^i, e^j) \right| \leq \|f\|_{2,2} \overline{\|x, y\|_p}.$$

Defining $\Theta := (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty$ above equation exhibits that $\Theta := (f(e^i, e^j))_{i,j=0}^\infty \in l_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^A$ and

$$(2.9) \quad \|\Theta\|_2^A = \|f(e^i, e^j)\|_2^A \leq \|f\|_{2,2}$$

Now for any arbitrary $\Theta := (\theta_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \in I_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^A$ define *bilinear functional*

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_j x_i \theta_{ij};$$

it is easy to show that f is *bounded bilinear 2-functional* on $(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p})$ with $f(e^j, e^i) = \theta_{ij}$ and $\|f\|_{2,2} \leq \|\Theta\|_2^A = \|f(e^j, e^i)\|_2^A$

Now proceeding as in *theorem 2.1*, we have the result. \square

To achieve the *n-dual spaces* of $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\infty})$; $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $(\mathcal{P}, \overline{\|\cdot, \cdot\|_p})$. Let us generalize the definitions of $(I_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^A, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_2^A)$ and $(I_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}^A, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_2^A)$ to following *normed space of n-indexed sequence spaces* as follows:

The *normed space* $(I_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_n^A)$ of *n-indexed sequences* $\Theta := (\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n})_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbb{K}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &:= (\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \in I_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A; \quad \text{if and only if} \\ \|\Theta\|_n^A &= \sup_{\|x^1\|_{\infty}, \dots, \|x^{n-1}\|_{\infty}=1} \left(\sum_{i_n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1} \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \right| \right) < \infty, \\ (2.10) \quad &\text{where } x^t = (x_i^t)_{i=0}^{\infty}; t = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

and the *normed space* $(I_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_n^A)$ of *n-indexed sequences* $\Theta := (\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n})_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbb{K}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &:= (\theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \in I_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A; \quad \text{if and only if } \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \theta_{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_n)} \quad \text{and} \\ \|\Theta\|_n^A &= \sup_{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p \neq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{i_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_n}^n \theta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}{\|x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n\|_p} < \infty, \\ (2.11) \quad &\text{where } x^t = (x_i^t)_{i=0}^{\infty}; t = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

and for every permutation σ of (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) where $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$ if σ is an even permutation and $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -1$ if σ is an odd permutation.

Theorem 2.3. *The n-dual space of $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\infty})$ is identified by $(I_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_n^A)$. Moreover, the mapping $f \rightarrow \Theta := (f(e^{i_1}, \dots, e^{i_n}))_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^{\infty}$ is an isometric linear bijection.*

Proof. The proof is similar to case $n=2$. For any $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n \in \mathcal{P}$; $x^t = (x_i^t)_{i=0}^{\infty}$; $1 \leq t \leq n$ the *bounded multilinear n-functional* $f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$ can be expressed as

$$f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) = \sum_{i_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_1=0}^{\infty} x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_n}^n f(e^{i_1}, \dots, e^{i_n}).$$

First of all we shall show that $(f(e^{i_1}, e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n}))_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{\mathbb{N}^n}^1$. To do this we shall use mathematical induction on n . For $n=2$, we have already showed it. Let us assume that it is true for $n-1$, we have to prove it for n . Let f is *bounded multilinear n -functional* and $x^1 \in \ell^p$ with $\|x^1\|_\infty = 1$, if we define $f_{x^1} : \ell^p \times \ell^p \cdots \times \ell^p$ ($n-1$ times) $\rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ as

$$f_{x^1}(x^2, \dots, x^n) = f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n),$$

then f_{x^1} is *bounded multilinear $(n-1)$ -functional* on ℓ^p and

$$|f_{x^1}(x^2, \dots, x^n)| = |f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)| \leq \|f\|_{n,1} \|x^2\|_\infty \cdots \|x^n\|_\infty;$$

which implies that

$$\|f_{x^1}\|_{n-1,1} \leq \|f\|_{n,1}$$

therefore it can be identified by $(f_{x^1}(e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n})) \in \ell_{\mathbb{N}^{n-1}}^1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{x^1}\|_{n-1,1} &= \sup_{\|x^2\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{n-1}\|_\infty=1} \left(\sum_{i_n=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{i_2=0}^\infty x_{i_2}^2, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1} f_{x^1}(e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n}) \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|x^2\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{n-1}\|_\infty=1} \left(\sum_{i_n=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^\infty x_{i_1}^1 x_{i_2}^2, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1} f(e^{i_1}, e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n}) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\sup_{\|x^2\|_\infty, \dots, \|x^{n-1}\|_\infty=1} \left(\sum_{i_n=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{i_1=0}^\infty x_{i_1}^1 x_{i_2}^2, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1} f(e^{i_1}, \dots, e^{i_n}) \right| \right) \leq \|f\|_{n,1}$$

for every arbitrary $\|x^1\|_\infty = 1$ therefore

$$(2.12) \quad \|(f(e^{i_1}, e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n}))\|_n^1 \leq \|f\|_{n,1}.$$

Thus $(f(e^{i_1}, e^{i_2}, \dots, e^{i_n}))_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{\mathbb{N}^n}^1$. Rest part is similar to the case $n=2$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *The n -dual space of the n -normed space $(\ell^p, \overline{\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_p})$ is identified by $(\ell_{\mathbb{N}^n}^A, \|\cdot\|_n^A)$. Moreover, the mapping $f \rightarrow \Theta := (f(e^{i_1}, \dots, e^{i_n}))_{i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^\infty$ is an isometric linear bijection.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of *theorem 2.2*. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. G. WHITE: *2-Banach spaces*. Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 43–69.
2. A. MALĆESKI: *F^∞ as n -normed space*. Math. Bilten. **21** (1997), 103–110.
3. H. GUNAWAN and M. MASHADI: *On n -normed spaces*. Int. Jour. Math. Math. Sci. **27** (2001), 631–639.

4. H. GUNAWAN: *The space of p -summable sequences and its natural n -norm*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **64** (2001), 137–147.
5. P. K. SINGH and J. K. SRIVASTAVA: *A study of an n -norm on P space*. Int. Journal. of Math. Archive. **6(2)** (2015), 35–41.
6. P. K. SINGH and J. K. SRIVASTAVA: *A study of Cauchy sequences defined in the n -normed space of p -summable sequences*. To appear in J. of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society.
7. P. K. SINGH and J. K. SRIVASTAVA: *Equivalent norms derived by non-equivalent n -norms on the space of p -summable sequences*. To Appear in Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.).
8. S. GÄHLER: *Linear 2-normierte Räume*. Math. Nachr. **28** (1964), 1–43.
9. S. M. GOZALI, H. GUNAWAN and O. NESWAN: *On n -norms and bounded n -linear functionals in a Hilbert space*. Ann. Funct. Anal. **1(1)** (2010), 72–79.
10. YOSAFAT E. P. PANGALELA and H. GUNAWAN : *The n -dual space of the space of p -summable sequences*. Mathematica Bohemica. **138(4)** (2013), 439–448.

Pradeep Kumar Singh
Faculty of Science
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
D D U Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur
UP India 273009
pradeep3789@gmail.com

J K Srivastava
Faculty of Science
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
D D U Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur
UP India 273009
jks_ddugu@yahoo.com