FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ)
Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 31, No 3 (2016), 609–627

A STUDY OF THE STABILITY IN NEUTRAL NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FUNCTIONAL DELAY VIA FIXED POINTS

Mouataz Billah Mesmouli, Abdelouaheb Ardjouni and Ahcene Djoudi

Abstract. In this paper, we use a modification of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem introduced by Burton (see [8] Theorem 3) to obtain stability results of the zero solution of totally nonlinear neutral differential equations with functional delay

$$x'(t) = -a(t)\,h(x(t-\tau(t))) + c(t)\,x'(t-\tau(t)) + G(t, x(t), x(t-\tau(t))).$$

The stability of the zero solution of this equation provided that $h(0) = G(t,0,0) = 0$. The Caratheodory condition is used for the function G.

Key words: Fixed point, stability, nonlinear neutral equation, large contraction mapping, integral equation.

1. Introduction

Lyapunov functions and functionals have been successfully used to obtain boundedness, stability and the existence of periodic solutions of differential equations with functional delays and functional differential equations. In a study of differential equations with functional delays by using Lyapunov functionals, many difficulties arise if the delay is unbounded or if the differential equation in question has unbounded terms, see [7]–[12] and [14, 23, 28, 29]. In recent years, several investigators have tried stability by using a new technique. Particularly, Burton, Furumochi, Zhang and others began a study in which they noticed that some of these difficulties vanish or might be overcome by means of fixed point theory (see [1]–[6], [12, 13], [15]–[22] and [25, 26]). The most striking object is that the fixed point method does not only solve the problem on stability but has a significant advantage over Lyapunov's direct method. The conditions of the former are often averages but those of the latter are usually pointwise (see [9]). While it remains an art to construct a Liapunov's functional when it exists, a fixed point method, in one step, yields existence, uniqueness and stability. All we need to use the fixed point method is a

Received July 24, 2015; accepted February 08, 2016
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 34K20, 34K30, 34K40.

complete metric space, a suitable fixed point theorem and an elementary integral methods to solve problems that have frustrated investigators for decades.

This paper is mainly concerned with the the stability and asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the nonlinear neutral differential equation with functional delay expressed as follows

$$x'(t) = -a(t)\,h(x(t-\tau(t))) + c(t)\,x'(t-\tau(t)) + G(t, x(t), x(t-\tau(t))), \tag{1.1}$$

with an assumed initial function $x(t) = \psi(t)$, $t \in [m_0, 0]$, where $\psi \in C([m_0, 0], \mathbb{R})$, $m_0 = \inf\{t - \tau(t) : t \geq 0\}$. Throughout this paper we assume that $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $c \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $\tau \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\tau'(t) \neq 1,\ \ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{1.2}$$

and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition with $h(0) = G(t, 0, 0) = 0$.

Our purpose here is to use a modification of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem due Burton (see [8], Theorem 3) to give a study of boundedness and stability of the zero solution which concerns the neutral type of totally nonlinear differential equation (1.1). So, we resort to the idea of adding and subtracting a linear term, as noted by Burton in [9], the added term destroys a contraction already present in part of the equation but it replaces it with the so called a large contraction mapping which is suitable for fixed point theory. During the process we have to transform (1.1) into an integral equation written as a sum of two mappings; one is a large contraction and the other is compact.

The outline of this work is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the functional setting of the problem and fix the different notations and facts needed in the sequel. Section 3 is devoted to the stability and the asymptotic stability of the zero solution.

2. Preliminaries

We begin this section by the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $v : [m_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary bounded continuous function and suppose that (1.2) hold. Then x is a solution of (1.1) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
& x(t) \\
= {} & \left[\psi(0) - \frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)}\psi(-\tau(0)) - \int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s)\,h(\psi(s))\,ds\right] e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} \\
& + \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)} x(t-\tau(t)) + \int_0^t v(s)\,e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} H(x(s))\,ds \\
& + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s)\,h(x(s))\,ds - \int_0^t v(s)\,e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\,h(x(u))\,du\right] ds \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\left[p(s)\,h(x(s-\tau(s))) - b(s)\,x(s-\tau(s))\right. \\
& \left. + G(s, x(s), x(s-\tau(s)))\right] ds. \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$b(s) = \frac{\left(c'(s) - c(s)\, v(s)\right)\left(1 - \tau'(s)\right) + \tau''(s)\, c(s)}{\left(1 - \tau'(s)\right)^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$p(s) = \left(1 - \tau'(s)\right) v\left(s - \tau(s)\right) - a(s), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$H(x) = x - h(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let x be a solution of (1.1). Rewrite the equation (1.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
x'(t) + v(t)\, x(t) &= v(t)\, x(t) - v(t)\, h(x(t)) + v(t)\, h(x(t)) - a(t)\, h(x(t - \tau(t))) \\
&\quad + c(t)\, x'(t - \tau(t)) + G(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t)) \\
&= v(t)\left[x(t) - h(x(t))\right] + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u)\, h(x(u))\, du \\
&\quad + \left[(1 - \tau'(t))\, v(t - \tau(t)) - a(t)\right] h(x(t - \tau(t))) \\
&\quad + c(t)\, x'(t - \tau(t)) + G(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))),
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides of the above equation by $\exp\left(\int_0^t v(u)\, du\right)$ and then integrate from 0 to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \left[x(s)\, e^{\int_0^s v(u)du}\right]' ds = \int_0^t v(s)\left[x(s) - h(x(s))\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \left[\frac{d}{ds}\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, h(x(u))\, du\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \left[p(s)\, h(x(s - \tau(s))) + c(s)\, x'(s - \tau(s))\right. \\
&\left. + G(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

where $p(\cdot)$ is given by (2.3). As a consequence, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&x(t)\, e^{\int_0^t v(u)du} - \psi(0) = \int_0^t v(s)\left[x(s) - h(x(s))\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \left[\frac{d}{ds}\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, h(x(u))\, du\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \left[p(s)\, h(x(s - \tau(s))) + c(s)\, x'(s - \tau(s))\right. \\
&\left. + G(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))\right] e^{\int_0^s v(u)du} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By dividing both sides of the above equation by $\exp\left(\int_0^t v(u)du\right)$ we obtain

$$x(t) - \psi(0) e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} = \int_0^t v(s)\left[x(s) - h(x(s))\right] e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \left[\frac{d}{ds}\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) h(x(u)) du\right] e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \left[p(s) h(x(s-\tau(s))) + c(s) x'(s-\tau(s))\right.$$
$$\left. + G(s, x(s), x(s-\tau(s)))\right] e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds. \tag{2.5}$$

Rewrite

$$\int_0^t c(s) x'(s-\tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$= \int_0^t (1-\tau'(s)) x'(s-\tau(s)) \frac{c(s)}{1-\tau'(s)} e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds.$$

Integration by parts on the above integral with

$$U = \frac{c(s)}{1-\tau'(s)} e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}, \quad \text{and } dV = (1-\tau'(s)) x'(s-\tau(s)),$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^t c(s) x'(s-\tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$= \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)} x(t-\tau(t)) - \frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)} \psi(-\tau(0)) e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du}$$
$$- \int_0^t b(s) x(s-\tau(s)) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds, \tag{2.6}$$

where $b(\cdot)$ is given by (2.2), and in the same way, the integral

$$\int_0^t \left[\frac{d}{ds}\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) h(x(u)) du\right] e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$= \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) h(x(u)) du e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\right]_0^t$$
$$- \int_0^t \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) h(x(u)) du\right] v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds$$
$$= \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s) h(x(s)) ds - \int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s) h(\psi(s)) ds e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du}$$
$$- \int_0^t \left[\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u) h(x(u)) du\right] v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} ds. \tag{2.7}$$

Then substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5) we obtain(2.1). The converse implication is easily obtained and the proof is complete. $\square$

Now, we give some definitions which its to use in following it

Definition 2.1. The map $f : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy Carathéodory conditions with respect to $L^1[0,\infty)$ if the following conditions hold.

(i) For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(t,z)$ is Lebesgue measurable.

(ii) For almost all $t \in [0,\infty)$, the mapping $z \mapsto f(t,z)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(iii) For each $r > 0$, there exists $\alpha_r \in L^1([0,\infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that for almost all $t \in [0,\infty)$ and for all z such that $|z| < r$, we have $|f(t,z)| \le \alpha_r(t)$.

T.A. Burton studied the theorem of Krasnoselskii (see [9, 27]) and observed (see [7, 13]) that Krasnoselskii's result can be more interesting in applications with certain changes and formulated the Theorem 2.1 below (see [7] for its proof).

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{M}, d)$ be a metric space and assume that $B : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$. B is said to be a large contraction, if for $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$, with $\varphi \neq \phi$, we have $d(B\varphi, B\phi) < d(\varphi,\phi)$, and if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta < 1$ such that

$$[\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M},\ d(\varphi,\phi) \ge \epsilon] \Longrightarrow d(B\varphi, B\phi) < \delta d(\varphi,\phi).$$

It is proved in [8] that a large contraction defined on a bounded and complete metric space has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed bounded convex nonempty subset of a Banach space $(\mathcal{X}, \|.\|)$. Suppose that A and B map $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

(i) *A is continuous and $A\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$,*

(ii) *B is large contraction,*

(iii) *$x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, implies $Ax + By \in \mathcal{M}$,*

Then there exists $z \in \mathcal{M}$ with $z = Az + Bz$.

Here we manipulate function spaces defined on infinite t-intervals. So, for compactness we need an extension of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. This extension is taken from [9, Theorem 1.2.2 p. 20] and is as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $q : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $q(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. If $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$ is an equicontinuous sequence of $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $|\varphi_n(t)| \le q(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there is a subsequence that converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^+$ to a continuous function $\varphi(t)$ with $|\varphi(t)| \le q(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where $|.|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

3. Main results

From the existence theory, which can be found in [9] or [24], we conclude that for each continuous initial function $\psi \in C([m_0, 0], \mathbb{R})$, there exists a continuous solution $x(t, 0, \psi)$ which satisfies (1.1) on an interval $[0, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma > 0$ and $x(t, 0, \psi) = \psi(t)$, $t \in [m_0, 0]$. We refer the reader to [9] for the stability definitions.

To apply Theorem 2.1, we need to define a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, a closed bounded convex subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ and construct two mappings; one large contraction and the other is compact operator. So, let $w : [m_0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ be any strictly increasing and continuous function with $w(m_0) = 1$, $w(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Let $(\mathcal{S}, |\cdot|_w)$ be the Banach space of continuous $\varphi : [m_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$|\varphi|_w = \sup_{t \in [m_0, \infty)} \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{w(t)} \right| < \infty.$$

Let $R \in (0, 1]$ and define the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} \ : \ &= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} : \varphi \text{ is Lipschitzian, } |\varphi(t)| \leq R,\ t \in [m_0, \infty), \\ &\quad \varphi(t) = \psi(t) \text{ if } t \in [m_0, 0]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, if $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of k-Lipschitzian functions converging to some function φ, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| &= |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t) + \varphi_n(t) - \varphi_n(s) + \varphi_n(s) - \varphi(s)| \\ &\leq |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)| + |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi_n(s)| + |\varphi_n(s) - \varphi(s)| \\ &\leq k|t - s|, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$, which implies φ k-Lipschitzian. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}$ is closed convex and bounded. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \geq 0$, we define by (2.1) the mapping $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{S}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{P}\varphi)(t) \\ = \ &\left[\psi(0) - \frac{c(0)}{1 - \tau'(0)}\psi(-\tau(0)) - \int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s) h(\psi(s)) ds\right] e^{-\int_0^t v(u) du} \\ &+ \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)}\varphi(t - \tau(t)) + \int_0^t v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u) du} H(\varphi(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s) h(\varphi(s)) ds - \int_0^t v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u) du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) h(\varphi(u)) du\right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u) du} [p(s) h(\varphi(s - \tau(s))) - b(s)\varphi(s - \tau(s)) \\ &+ G(s, \varphi(s), \varphi(s - \tau(s)))] ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, we express equation (3.2) as

$$\mathcal{P}\varphi = \mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\varphi,$$

where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{S}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) \quad = \quad & \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)}\varphi(t-\tau(t)) + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s)\, h(\varphi(s))\, du \\
& - \int_0^t v(s)\, e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, h(\varphi(u))\, du\right] ds \\
& + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[p(s)\, h(\varphi(s-\tau(s))) - b(s)\,\varphi(s-\tau(s))\right. \\
& \left. + G(s, \varphi(s), \varphi(s-\tau(s)))\right] ds, \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) \quad = \quad & \left[\psi(0) - \frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)}\psi(-\tau(0)) - \int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s)\, h(\psi(s))\, ds\right] e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} \\
& + \int_0^t v(s)\, e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} H(\varphi(s))\, ds. \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Theorem 2.1, we need to prove that $\mathcal{P}$ has a fixed point φ on the set $\mathcal{M}$, where $x(t,0,\psi) = \varphi(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $x(t,0,\psi) = \psi(t)$ on $[m_0, 0]$, $x(t,0,\psi)$ satisfies (1.1) and $|x(t,0,\psi)| \leq R$ with $R \in (0,1]$. For $t \geq 0$, we will assume that the following conditions hold.

The function h is locally Lipschitz continuous, then for x, $y \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist a constant $E > 0$, such that

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq E\,\|x-y\|, \qquad (3.5)$$

The function G satisfies Carathéodory conditions with respect to $L^1[0,\infty)$, such that

$$|G(t, \varphi(t), \varphi(t-\tau(t)))| \leq g_{\sqrt{2}R}(t), \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \left|\frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)}\right|, \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\beta_1\beta_2 E_1 \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{2}, \qquad (3.8)$$

where $\beta_1 = \max_{t\in[0,\infty)} |\tau(t)|$, $\beta_2 = \max_{t\in[0,\infty)} \{v(t)\}$,

$$|p(t)|\, E_1 \leq \alpha_3 v(t), \qquad (3.9)$$

$$|b(t)| \leq \alpha_4 v(t), \qquad (3.10)$$

$$g_{\sqrt{2}R}(t) \leq \alpha_5 v(t) R, \tag{3.11}$$

$$J[\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5] \leq 1, \tag{3.12}$$

where α_i, $1 \leq i \leq 5$ are positive constants and $J > 3$. Now, let $\alpha(t) = \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)}$ and assume that there are constants $l_1, l_2, l_3 > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$

$$|\alpha(t_2) - \alpha(t_1)| \leq l_1 |t_2 - t_1|, \tag{3.13}$$

$$|\tau(t_2) - \tau(t_1)| \leq l_2 |t_2 - t_1|, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(u)\, du \right| \leq l_3 |t_2 - t_1|. \tag{3.15}$$

By a series of steps we will prove the fulfillment of (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. *For $\mathcal{A}$ defined in (3.3), suppose that (1.2) and (3.5)–(3.15) hold. Then, $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}$ is contained in a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be defined by (3.3). Observe that in view of (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x)| &= |h(x) - h(0) + h(0)| \\ &\leq |h(x) - h(0)| + |h(0)| \\ &\leq E\|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

So, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}\varphi(t)| \leq{}& \left| \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)} \varphi(t-\tau(t)) \right| + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u) |h(\varphi(u))|\, du \\ &+ \int_0^t v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) |h(\varphi(u))|\, du \right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} |p(s)| |h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))|\, ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} [|b(s)\varphi(s-\tau(s))| + |G(s, \varphi(s), \varphi(s-\tau(s)))|]\, ds, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}\varphi(t)| &\leq \left|\frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)}\right| R + R\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u)\, E du \\
&\quad + R\int_0^t v(s)\, e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, E du\right] ds \\
&\quad + R\int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\, |p(s)|\, E_1 ds \\
&\quad + R\int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\left(|b(s)| + \frac{g_{\sqrt{2}R}(s)}{R}\right) ds \\
&\leq \alpha_1 R + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}R + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}R + \alpha_3 R + \alpha_4 R + \alpha_5 R \\
&\leq \frac{R}{J} < R.
\end{aligned}$$

That is $\|\mathcal{A}\varphi\| < R$. Second we show that, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ the function $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ is Lipschitzian. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, and let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{A}\varphi(t_2) - \mathcal{A}\varphi(t_1)| \\
&\leq \left|\frac{c(t_2)}{1-\tau'(t_2)}\varphi(t_2-\tau(t_2)) - \frac{c(t_1)}{1-\tau'(t_1)}\varphi(t_1-\tau(t_1))\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_{t_2-\tau(t_2)}^{t_2} v(s)\, h(\varphi(s))\, du - \int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_1} v(s)\, h(\varphi(s))\, ds\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{t_2} v(s)\, e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du}\left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, h(\varphi(u))\, du\right] ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} v(s)\, e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du}\left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u)\, h(\varphi(u))\, du\right] ds\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} p(s)\, h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\, ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du} p(s)\, h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\, ds\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\int_0^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du}\left[-b(s)\,\varphi(s-\tau(s)) + G(s,\varphi(s),\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\right] ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du}\left[-b(s)\,\varphi(s-\tau(s)) + G(s,\varphi(s),\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\right] ds\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By hypotheses (3.13)–(3.15), we have by adding and substracting of terms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{t_2-\tau(t_2)}^{t_2} v(s)\,h(\varphi(s))\,ds - \int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_1} v(s)\,h(\varphi(s))\,ds\right| \\
\leq\ & ER\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(s)\,ds + \int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_2-\tau(t_2)} v(s)\,ds\right) \\
\leq\ & ER\left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(s)\,ds + \int_{t_1-\tau(t_1)}^{t_2-\tau(t_2)} v(s)\,ds\right) \\
\leq\ & ERl_3\,|t_2-t_1| + ERl_3\,(1+l_2)\,|t_2-t_1| \\
=\ & (2ERl_3 + ERl_3l_2)\,|t_2-t_1|, \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\alpha(t_2)\,\varphi(t_2-\tau(t_2)) - \alpha(t_1)\,\varphi(t_1-\tau(t_1))| \\
\leq\ & \alpha k\,|(t_2-t_1) - (\tau(t_2)-\tau(t_1))| + Rl_1\,|t_2-t_1| \\
\leq\ & (\alpha k + \alpha k l_2 + Rl_1)\,|t_2-t_1|, \qquad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

where k is the Lipschitz constant of φ. By the hypotheses (3.9) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} p(s)\,h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\,ds\right. \\
&\left.-\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du} p(s)\,h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\,ds\right| \\
\leq\ & \left|\int_0^{t_1} p(s)\,h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\,e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du}\left(e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(u)du}-1\right)ds\right| \\
&+\left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} p(s)\,h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))\,ds\right| \\
\leq\ & \alpha_3 R\left|e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} v(u)du}-1\right|\int_0^{t_1} v(s)\,e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du}ds \\
&+ER\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du}\,|p(s)|\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} p\left(s\right) h\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds\right. \\
&\left.-\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du} p\left(s\right) h\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds\right| \\
\leq\ & \alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du + ER \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} d\left(\int_{t_1}^{s} \left|p\left(r\right)\right| dr\right) ds \\
=\ & \alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du + ER \left[e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} \int_{t_1}^{s} \left|p\left(r\right)\right| dr\right]_{t_1}^{t_2} \\
&+ER \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} \int_{t_1}^{s} \left|p\left(r\right)\right| dr ds \\
\leq\ & \alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du + ER \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left|p\left(s\right)\right| ds \left(1 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} ds\right) \\
\leq\ & \alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du + 2ER \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left|p\left(s\right)\right| ds
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du + 2\alpha_3 R \int_{t_1}^{t_2} v\left(u\right) du \leq 3\alpha_3 R l_3 \left|t_2 - t_1\right|. \tag{3.19}
$$

In the same way, by (3.8), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{t_2} e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} \left[-b\left(s\right) \varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right) + G\left(s, \varphi\left(s\right), \varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right] ds\right. \\
&\left.-\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du} \left[-b\left(s\right) \varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right) + G\left(s, \varphi\left(s\right), \varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right] ds\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq 3R\left(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5\right) l_3 \left|t_2 - t_1\right|, \tag{3.20}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{t_2} v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^{t_2} v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v\left(u\right) h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right) du\right] ds\right. \\
&\left.-\int_0^{t_1} v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^{t_1} v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v\left(u\right) h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right) du\right] ds\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3}{2} R\alpha_2 l_3 \left|t_2 - t_1\right|, \tag{3.21}
$$

Thus, by substituting (3.17)–(3.21) in (3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathcal{A}\varphi\left(t_2\right) - \mathcal{A}\varphi\left(t_1\right)\right| \\
\leq\ & \left(\alpha k + \alpha k l_2 + R l_1\right) \left|t_2 - t_1\right| + \left(2ERl_3 + ERl_3 l_2\right) \left|t_2 - t_1\right| \\
&+3R\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5\right) l_3 \left|t_2 - t_1\right| \\
=\ & K\left|t_2 - t_1\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $K > 0$. This shows that $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ is Lipschitzian if φ is.

Since $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ is Lipschitzian, then $\mathcal{AM}$ is equicontinuous, which implies that the set $\mathcal{AM}$ resides in a compact set in the space $(\mathcal{S}, |\cdot|_w)$.

Now, we show that $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous in the weighted norm, let $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{M}$ where n is a positive integer such that $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\mathcal{A}\varphi_n(t) - \mathcal{A}\varphi(t)}{w(t)}\right| \\
\leq\ & \left|\frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)}\right| |\varphi_n(t-\tau(t)) - \varphi(t-\tau(t))|_w \\
&+ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s) |h(\varphi_n(s)) - h(\varphi(s))|_w\, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) |h(\varphi_n(u)) - h(\varphi(u))|_w\, duds \\
&+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} |p(s)| |h(\varphi_n(s-\tau(s))) - h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))|_w\, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} |b(s)| |\varphi_n(s-\tau(s)) - \varphi(s-\tau(s))|_w\, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} |G(s,\varphi_n(s),\varphi_n(s-\tau(s))) - G(s,\varphi(s),\varphi(s-\tau(s)))|_w\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |(\mathcal{A}\varphi_n)(t) - (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)| = 0$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous. This complete to prove $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is continuous *and* $\mathcal{AM}$ *is contained in a compact subset of* $\mathcal{M}$. □

Now, we state an important result implying that the mapping H given by (2.4) is a large contraction on the set $\mathcal{M}$. This result was already obtained in [1, Theorem 3.4] and for convenience we present below its proof. We shall assume that

(H1) $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on $[-R, R]$ and differentiable on $(-R, R)$,

(H2) The function h is strictly increasing on $[-R, R]$,

(H3) $\sup_{t\in(-R,R)} h'(t) \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function satisfying (H1)–(H3). Then the mapping* H *in (2.4) is a large contraction on the set* $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\varphi \neq \phi$. Then $\varphi(t) \neq \phi(t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote the set of all such t by $D(\varphi, \phi)$, i.e.,

$$D(\varphi,\phi) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t) \neq \phi(t)\}.$$

For all $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(H\varphi)(t) - (H\phi)(t)| &\leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t) - h(\varphi(t)) + h(\phi(t))| \\ &\leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \left| 1 - \frac{h(\varphi(t)) - h(\phi(t))}{\varphi(t) - \phi(t)} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since h is a strictly increasing function we have

$$\frac{h(\varphi(t)) - h(\phi(t))}{\varphi(t) - \phi(t)} > 0 \text{ for all } t \in D(\varphi, \phi). \tag{3.23}$$

For each fixed $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$ define the interval $I_t \subset [-R, R]$ by

$$I_t = \begin{cases} (\varphi(t), \phi(t)) & \text{if } \varphi(t) < \phi(t), \\ (\phi(t), \varphi(t)) & \text{if } \phi(t) < \varphi(t). \end{cases}$$

The Mean Value Theorem implies that for each fixed $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$ there exists a real number $c_t \in I_t$ such that

$$\frac{h(\varphi(t)) - h(\phi(t))}{\varphi(t) - \phi(t)} = h'(c_t).$$

By (H2) and (H3) we have

$$0 \leq \inf_{s \in (-R,R)} h'(s) \leq \inf_{s \in I_t} h'(s) \leq h'(c_t) \leq \sup_{s \in I_t} h'(s) \leq \sup_{s \in (-R,R)} h'(s) \leq 1. \tag{3.24}$$

Hence, by (3.22)–(3.24) we obtain

$$|(H\varphi)(t) - (H\phi)(t)| \leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \left| 1 - \inf_{s \in (-R,R)} h'(s) \right|, \tag{3.25}$$

for all $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$. This implies a large contraction in the supremum norm. To see this, choose a fixed $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and assume that φ and ϕ are two functions in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$\epsilon \leq \sup_{t \in (-R,R)} |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| = \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

If $|\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for some $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$, then we get by (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$|(H\varphi)(t) - (H\phi)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi - \phi\|. \tag{3.26}$$

Since h is continuous and strictly increasing, the function $h\left(s + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) - h(s)$ attains its minimum on the closed and bounded interval $[-R, R]$. Thus, if $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)|$ for some $t \in D(\varphi, \phi)$, then by (H2) and (H3) we conclude that

$$1 \geq \frac{h(\varphi(t)) - h(\phi(t))}{\varphi(t) - \phi(t)} > \lambda,$$

where

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{2R}\min\left\{h\left(s+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)-h(s) : s\in[-R,R]\right\} > 0.$$

Hence, (3.22) implies

$$|(H\varphi)(t)-(H\phi)(t)| \le (1-\lambda)\|\varphi-\phi\|. \tag{3.27}$$

Consequently, combining (3.26) and (3.27) we obtain

$$|(H\varphi)(t)-(H\phi)(t)| \le \delta\|\varphi-\phi\|, \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\delta = \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1-\lambda\right\}.$$

The proof is complete. □

The next result shows the relationship between the mappings H and $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of large contractions, for this assume that

$$\max\{|H(-R)|, |H(R)|\} \le \frac{2R}{J}. \tag{3.29}$$

Choose $\gamma > 0$ small enough such that

$$\left[1+\left|\frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)}\right|+E\int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(u)\,du\right]\gamma e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du}+\frac{R}{J}+\frac{2R}{J}\le R. \tag{3.30}$$

The chosen in the relation (3.30) will be used below in Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.2 to show that if $\epsilon = R$ and if $\|\psi\| < \gamma$, then the solutions satisfies $|x(t,0,\psi)| < \epsilon$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be defined by (3.4), suppose (1.2), (3.15), (H1)–(H3), (3.29) and (3.30) hold. Then $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a large contraction.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be defined by (3.4). Obviously, $\mathcal{B}$ is continuous with the weighted norm. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{B}\varphi(t)| &\le \left|\psi(0)-\frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)}\psi(-\tau(0))-\int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s)h(\psi(s))\,ds\right| e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} \\
&\quad + \int_0^t v(s)e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}|H(\varphi(s))|\,ds \\
&\le \left(1+\frac{c(0)}{1-\tau'(0)}+E\int_{-\tau(0)}^{0} v(s)ds\right)\gamma e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} \\
&\quad + \int_0^t v(s)e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du}\max\{|H(-R)|, |H(R)|\}\,ds \;<\; R,
\end{aligned}$$

and we use a method like in Lemma 3.1, we deduce that, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ the function $\mathcal{B}\varphi$ is Lipschitzian, which implies $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$.

By Theorem 3.1 H is large contraction on $\mathcal{M}$, then for any $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$, with $\varphi \neq \phi$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$, from the proof of that Theorem, we have found a $\delta < 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\mathcal{B}\varphi(t) - \mathcal{B}\phi(t)}{w(t)}\right| &\leq \int_0^t v(s)e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left|H(\varphi(u)) - H(\phi(u))\right|_w du \\ &\leq \delta \left|\varphi - \phi\right|_w .\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume the hypothesis of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 hold. Suppose (3.30) hold. Let $\mathcal{M}$ defined by (3.1). Then the equation (1.1) has a solution in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ is contained in a compact set. Also, from Lemma 3.2, the mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a large contraction. Next, we show that if $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\|\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\phi\| \leq R$. Let $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|\varphi\|, \|\phi\| \leq R$. By (3.5)–(3.12)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\varphi\| &\leq \left[1 + \left|\frac{c(0)}{1 - \tau'(0)}\right| + E\int_{-\tau(0)}^0 v(u)\,du\right]\gamma e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} \\ &\quad + \left[\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5\right]R + \frac{2R}{J} \\ &\leq \left[1 + \left|\frac{c(0)}{1 - \tau'(0)}\right| + E\int_{-\tau(0)}^0 v(u)\,du\right]\gamma e^{-\int_0^t v(u)du} + \frac{R}{J} + \frac{2R}{J} \\ &\leq R.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, all the hypotheses of the Krasnoselskii-Burton's theorem are satisfied. Thus there exists a fixed point $z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $z = \mathcal{A}z + \mathcal{B}z$. By Lemma 2.1 this fixed point is a solution of (1.1). Hence (1.1) is stable. □

Now, for the asymptotic stability, define $\mathcal{M}_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_0 \ : \ &= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} : \varphi \text{ is Lipschitzian, } |\varphi(t)| \leq R,\ t \in [m_0, \infty), \\ &\qquad \varphi(t) = \psi(t) \text{ if } t \in [m_0, 0] \text{ and } |\varphi(t)| \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

All of the calculations in the proof of Theorem 3.2 hold with $w(t) = 1$ when $|\cdot|_w$ is replaced by the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. Now, assume that

$$t - \tau(t) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty \text{ and } \int_0^t v(s)\,ds \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{c(t)}{1 - \tau'(t)} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\frac{p(s)}{v(t)} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\frac{b(t)}{v(t)} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{3.35}$$

$$\frac{g_{\sqrt{2}R}(t)}{v(t)} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty. \tag{3.36}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let (1.2), (3.5)–(3.15) and (3.32)–(3.36) hold. Then, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ maps $\mathcal{M}$ into a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. First, we deduce by the Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ is equicontinuous. Next, we notice that for arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}\varphi(t)| &\leq \alpha(t) R + ER \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(s)ds + ER \int_0^t v(s)e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u)duds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} v(s) \left[\left| \frac{p(s)}{v(s)} \right| ER + \left| \frac{b(s)}{v(s)} \right| R + \frac{g_{\sqrt{2}R}(s)}{v(s)} \right] ds \\
&: = q(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $q(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, which implies that the set $\mathcal{AM}$ resides in a compact set in the space $(\mathcal{S}, \|\cdot\|)$ by Theorem 2.2. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume the hypothesis of Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and 3.2 hold. Suppose (3.30) hold. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ defined by (3.31). Then the equation (1.1) has a solution in $\mathcal{M}_0$.*

Proof. Note that, all of the steps in the proof of Theorem 3.2 hold with $w(t) = 1$ when $|\cdot|_w$ is replaced by the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. It is sufficient to show, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_0$ then $\mathcal{A}\varphi \to 0$ and $\mathcal{B}\varphi \to 0$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ be fixed, we will prove that $|\mathcal{A}\varphi(t)| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}\varphi(t)| &\leq \left| \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)} \varphi(t-\tau(t)) \right| + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u) |h(\varphi(u))| du \\
&\quad + \int_0^t v(s) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v(u) |h(\varphi(u))| du \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} [|p(s) h(\varphi(s-\tau(s)))| + |b(s) \varphi(s-\tau(s))| \\
&\quad + |G(s, \varphi(s), \varphi(s-\tau(s)))|] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

First, we have

$$\left| \frac{c(t)}{1-\tau'(t)} \varphi(t-\tau(t)) \right| \leq \alpha_1 |\varphi(t-\tau(t))| \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v(u) \left| h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right)\right| du \leq ER \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} v\left(u\right) du \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

Second, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Find T such that $\left|\varphi\left(t-\tau\left(t\right)\right)\right|, \left|\varphi\left(t\right)\right| < \epsilon$, for $t \geq T$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v\left(u\right) \left|h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right)\right| du\right] ds \\
= \;& e^{-\int_T^t v(u)du} \int_0^T v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^T v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v\left(u\right) \left|h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right)\right| du\right] ds \\
& + \int_T^t v\left(s\right) e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left[\int_{s-\tau(s)}^{s} v\left(u\right) \left|h\left(\varphi\left(u\right)\right)\right| du\right] ds \\
\leq \;& e^{-\int_T^t v(u)du} \frac{\alpha_2}{2} R + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left(\left|p\left(s\right)\right| \left|h\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right| \right. \\
& \left. + \left|b\left(s\right)\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right| + \left|G\left(s,\varphi\left(s\right),\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right|\right) ds \\
= \;& \int_0^T e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left(\left|p\left(s\right)\right| \left|h\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right| \right. \\
& \left. + \left|b\left(s\right)\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right| + \left|G\left(s,\varphi\left(s\right),\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right|\right) ds \\
& + \int_T^t e^{-\int_s^t v(u)du} \left(\left|p\left(s\right)\right| \left|h\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right| \right. \\
& \left. + \left|b\left(s\right)\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right| + \left|G\left(s,\varphi\left(s\right),\varphi\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right|\right) ds \\
\leq \;& e^{-\int_T^t v(u)du} \left(\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\right) R + \left(\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\right)\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.32) the terms $e^{-\int_T^t v(u)du}\frac{\alpha_2}{2}R$ and $e^{-\int_T^t v(u)du}\left(\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5\right)R$ are, as $t \to \infty$, arbitrarily small. In the same way for $\mathcal{B}\varphi \to 0$. This end the proof. □

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we provided an asymptotic stability theorem with sufficient conditions for nonlinear neutral differential equations. The main tool of this paper is the method of fixed points. However, by introducing a new fixed mapping, we get new stability conditions.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and good advice.

REFERENCES

1. M. Adıvar, M. N. Islam and Y. N. Raffoul, *Separate contraction and existence of periodic solution in totally nonlinear delay differential equations*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 41 (2012), no. 1, 1–13.
2. A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Fixed points and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delays*, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 2062–2070.
3. A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Fixed points and stability in linear neutral differential equations with variable delays*, Opuscula Mathematica Vol 32, No.1 (2012).
4. A. Ardjouni, I. Derrardjia and A. Djoudi, *Stability in totally nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delay*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae Vol. LXXXIII, 1 (2014), pp. 119-134.
5. A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, *Asymptotic stability of nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delays*, Facta Universitatis (NIŠ), Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 30, No 1 (2015), 29–48.
6. E. Biçer, C. Tunç, *On the existence of periodic solutions to non-linear neutral differential equations of first order with multiple delays*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 52 (2015), no. 1, 89–94.
7. T. A. Burton, *Integral equations, implicit functions, and fixed points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(1996), No. 8, 2383-2390.
8. T. A. Burton, *Liapunov functionals, fixed points and stability by Krasnoseskii's theorem*, Nonlinear Stud., 9 (2002), 181–190.
9. T.A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
10. T.A. Burton, *Liapunov functionals, fixed points, and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem*, Nonlinear Stud. 9 (2001), 181–190.
11. T.A. Burton, *Stability by fixed point theory or Liapunov's theory: A comparison*, Fixed Point Theory 4 (2003), 15–32.
12. T.A. Burton, *Fixed points and stability of a nonconvolution equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 3679–3687.
13. T.A. Burton, C. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii-Schaefer type*, Math. Nachr. 189 (1998), 23–31.
14. T.A. Burton, T. Furumochi, *Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and stability*, Nonlinear Anal. 49 (2002), 445–454.
15. T.A. Burton, T. Furumochi, *A note on stability by Schauder's theorem*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 44 (2001), 73–82.
16. T.A. Burton, T. Furumochi, *Fixed points and problems in stability theory*, Dynam. Systems Appl. 10 (2001), 89–116.
17. T.A. Burton, T. Furumochi, *Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and stability*, Nonlinear Anal. 49 (2002), 445–454.
18. T.A. Burton, T. Furumochi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations by fixed point theorems*, Dynam. Systems Appl. 11 (2002), 499–519.
19. H. Deham, A. Djoudi, *Periodic solutions for nonlinear differential equation with functional delay*, Georgian Math. J. 15 (2008) 4, 635–642.

20. H. Deham, A. Djoudi, *Existence of periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delay*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2010 (2010) 127, 1–8.

21. A. Djoudi, R. Khemis, *Fixed point techniques and stability for neutral nonlinear differential equations with unbounded delays*, Georgian Math. J. 13 (2006) 1, 25–34.

22. I. Derrardjia, A. Ardjouni, and A. Djoudi, *Stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem in totally nonlinear neutral differential equation*, Opuscula Math. 33, no. 2 (2013), 255–272.

23. L. Hatvani, *Annulus arguments in the stability theory for functional differential equations*, Differential and Integral Equations 10, 975-1002, (1997).

24. J.K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equation*, Springer, New York, 1077.

25. Z. Lin, W. Wei and J. R. Wang, *Existence and stability results for impulsive integrodifferential equations*, Facta Universitatis (NIŠ), Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 29, No 2 (2014), 119–130.

26. Y. R. Raffoul, *Stability In Neutral Nonlinear Differential Equations With Functional Delays Using Fixed-Point Theory*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 40 (2004) 691-700.

27. D. S. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 66. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1974.

28. C. Tunç, *A note on the stability and boundedness of non-autonomous differential equations of second order with a variable deviating argument*, Afr. Mat. 25 (2014), no. 2, 417–425.

29. B. Zhang, *Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays*, Nonlinear Anal. 63 (2005), 233–242.

Mouataz Billah Mesmouli
Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics
University of Annaba
P.O. Box 12
Annaba 23000, Algeria
mesmoulimouataz@hotmail.com

Abdelouaheb Ardjouni
Faculty of Sciences and Technology
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Souk-Ahras
P.O. Box 1553
Souk-Ahras, 41000, Algeria
abd_ardjouni@yahoo.fr

Ahcene Djoudi
Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics
University of Annaba
P.O. Box 12
Annaba 23000, Algeria
adjoudi@yahoo.com