FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ)

Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 40, No 1 (2025), 151-169

https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI240219012H

Original Scientific Paper

APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED WEAK CONTRACTION IN PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Amrish Handa

Department of Mathematics, Govt. P.G. Arts & Science College Ratlam 457001 (M.P.), India

Abstract. The main aim of this research article is to formulate some fixed point and coupled fixed point results under generalized weak contraction on Re —metric spaces. As an application, we obtain the solution for periodic boundary value problems and also give an example to demonstrate the applicability of our results. The obtain results generalize and improve several well-known results in the existing literature. **Keywords**: Fixed point, coincidence point, generalized weak contraction, Re —metric space, ordinary differential equations.

1. Introduction

The classical Banach contraction principle has many inferences and huge applicability in mathematical theory and because of this, Banach contraction principle has been improved and generalized in various metric settings. One such interesting and important setting is to establish fixed point results in metric spaces equipped with an arbitrary binary relation. By using the notions of various kinds of binary relations such as partial order, strict order, near order, tolerance, etc. on metric spaces, many researcher have been doing their research attempting to obtain new extensions of the celebrated Banach contraction principle. Among these extensions, we must quote the one due to Alam and Imdad [3], where some relation theoretic analogues of standard metric notions (such as continuity and completeness) were

Received: February 19, 2024, revised: August 26, 2024, accepted: October 09, 2024

Communicated by Dijana Mosić Corresponding Author: A. Handa

E-mail addresses: amrishhanda83@gmail.com (A. Handa)

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25

© 2025 BY UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ, SERBIA | CREATIVE COMMONS LICENSE: CC BY-NC-ND

used. Further, Ahmadullah et al. [2] extended the above setting for nonlinear contractions and obtained an extension of the Boyd-Wong [5] fixed point theorem to such spaces.

On the other hand, weak contraction was first studied in partially ordered metric spaces by Harjani and Sadarangani [22]. In [7], Choudhury et al. establish some coincidence point results for generalized weak contractions with discontinuous control functions on partially ordered metric spaces. Some of our basic references are ([1], [4], [8]-[12], [14], [16]-[21], [27]).

In this paper, we establish some fixed point and coupled fixed point results under generalized weak contraction on Re—metric spaces. We obtain the solution for periodic boundary value problems and also give an example to show the fruitfulness of our results. Our results generalize and improve the results of Choudhury et al. [7], Ding et al. [13], Harjani and Sadarangani [22] and many other results in the existing literature.

2. Fixed point results

Throughout this article, Re stands for a non-empty binary relation, X for a non-empty set, \mathbb{N}_0 for the set of whole numbers, that is, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and \mathbb{R} for the set of all real numbers. For simplicity, if $\tau \in X$, we denote $\xi(\tau)$ by $\xi\tau$.

Definition 2.1. [25]. Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and Re is a binary relation on X. Then the triple (X, d, Re) is called relational metric space or in brief Re—metric space.

Example 2.1. [2]. Let (X, d) be the usual metric space and let $(x, y) \in \text{Re} \Leftrightarrow x \leq y$, $(x, y) \in \text{Re} \Leftrightarrow x \geq y$ or $(x, y) \in \text{Re} \Leftrightarrow x \perp y$, ..., then (X, d, Re) is an Re-metric space.

Definition 2.2. [3]. Let Re be a binary relation on a non-empty set X and τ , $\eta \in X$. We say that τ and η are Re –comparative if either $(\tau, \eta) \in R$ or $(\eta, \tau) \in R$. We denote it by $[\tau, \eta] \in R$.

Definition 2.3. [3]. Let X be a non-empty set and Re be a binary relation on X. A sequence $\{\tau_n\} \subset X$ is called an Re –preserving if $(\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}) \in \text{Re for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 2.4. [3]. Let X be a non-empty set and ζ be a self-mapping on X. A binary relation Re on X is called ζ -closed if for any τ , $\eta \in X$, $(\tau, \eta) \in \text{Re}$ implies $(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \in \text{Re}$.

Definition 2.5. [2]. An Re –metric space (X, d, Re) be said to be Re –complete if every Re –preserving Cauchy sequence in X converges.

Definition 2.6. [2]. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space and $\tau \in X$. A self-mapping ζ on X is called Re-continuous at τ if for any Re-preserving sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ such that $\tau_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \tau$, we have $\zeta(\tau_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} \zeta(\tau)$. Moreover, ζ is called Re-continuous if it is Re-continuous at each point of X.

Definition 2.7. [23]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Two mappings $\zeta, \xi : X \to X$ are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\zeta \xi \tau_n, \ \xi \zeta \tau_n) = 0,$$

provided that $\{\tau_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta\tau_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}\xi\tau_n\in X.$$

Definition 2.8. [24]. Let X be a non-empty set. Two self-mappings ζ and ξ on X are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, if $\zeta \tau = \xi \tau$ for some $\tau \in X$, then $\zeta \xi \tau = \xi \zeta \tau$.

Definition 2.9. An Re –metric space (X, d, Re) is said to be Re –regular if for every Re –preserving sequence $\{\tau_n\} \subseteq X$ converges to $\tau \in X$, we have $(\tau_n, \tau) \in \text{Re}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 2.10. Let X be a non-empty set and ζ be a self-mapping on X. A binary relation Re on X is called ζ -closed with respect to ξ if for any τ , $\eta \in X$, $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re implies } (\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \in \text{Re}$.

In [7], Choudhury et al. introduced the following families of functions:

The family Ψ of all functions $\psi:[0,+\infty)\to[0,+\infty)$ which satisfied the following conditions:

- $(i_{\psi}) \psi$ is continuous and non-decreasing,
- $(ii_{\psi}) \ \psi(\tau) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \tau = 0.$

The family Θ of all functions $\theta:[0,+\infty)\to[0,+\infty)$ satisfying

- (i_{θ}) θ is bounded on any bounded interval in $[0, +\infty)$,
- (ii_{θ}) θ is continuous and $\theta(0) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying

- (i) Re is ζ -closed with respect to ξ and $\zeta(X) \subseteq \xi(X)$,
- (ii) there exists $\tau_0 \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau_0, \zeta \tau_0) \in \text{Re}$,
- (iii) there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and φ , $\theta \in \Theta$ such that

$$(2.1) \psi(\tau) \le \varphi(\eta) \Rightarrow \tau \le \eta,$$

for any sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in $[0, +\infty)$ with $\tau_n \to \tau > 0$,

(2.2)
$$\psi(\tau) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(\tau_n) + \underline{\lim} \theta(\tau_n) > 0,$$

and

(2.3)
$$\psi(d(\zeta\tau, \zeta\eta)) < \varphi(d(\xi\tau, \xi\eta)) - \theta(d(\xi\tau, \xi\eta)),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the following conditions holds.

- (a) (X, d, Re) is Re –complete, ζ and ξ are Re –continuous and the pair (ζ, ξ) is compatible,
 - (b) $(\xi(X), d, \text{Re})$ is Re-complete and (X, d, Re) is Re-regular,
- (c) (X, d, Re) is Re complete, ξ is Re continuous and Re is ξ closed, the pair (ζ, ξ) is compatible and (X, d, Re) is Re regular.

Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if

(iv) for each τ , $\eta \in X$ there exists $\gamma \in X$ such that $\zeta \gamma$ is Re –comparative to $\zeta \tau$ and $\zeta \eta$, and also the pair (ζ, ξ) is weakly compatible.

Then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $\tau_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $\zeta(X) \subseteq \xi(X)$, there exists $\tau_1 \in X$ such that $\zeta\tau_0 = \xi\tau_1$. By (ii), we have $(\xi\tau_0, \zeta\tau_0) \in \text{Re}$, that is, $(\xi\tau_0, \xi\tau_1) \in \text{Re}$. Since Re is ζ -closed with respect to ξ , $(\zeta\tau_0, \zeta\tau_1) \in \text{Re}$. Now $\zeta\tau_1 \in \zeta(X) \subseteq \xi(X)$, there exists $\tau_2 \in X$ such that $\zeta\tau_1 = \xi\tau_2$. Then $(\xi\tau_1, \xi\tau_2) \in \text{Re}$. Since Re is ζ -closed with respect to ξ , $(\zeta\tau_1, \zeta\tau_2) \in \text{Re}$. Proceeding in the similar manner, we get a sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $(\xi\tau_n, \xi\tau_{n+1}) \in \text{Re}$ and

(2.4)
$$\xi \tau_{n+1} = \zeta \tau_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus the sequence $\{\xi\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is Re-preserving. Let $\varpi_n=d(\xi\tau_n,\,\xi\tau_{n+1})$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Since $(\xi\tau_{n+1},\,\xi\tau_{n+2})\in\mathrm{Re}$, by using contractive condition (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\psi(d(\xi\tau_{n+1}, \ \xi\tau_{n+2})) = \psi(d(\zeta\tau_n, \ \zeta\tau_{n+1}))
\leq \varphi(d(\xi\tau_n, \ \xi\tau_{n+1})) - \theta(d(\xi\tau_n, \ \xi\tau_{n+1})),$$

which implies that

(2.5)
$$\psi(\varpi_{n+1}) \le \varphi(\varpi_n) - \theta(\varpi_n),$$

which follows, by the fact $\theta \geq 0$, that $\psi(\varpi_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(\varpi_n)$ and so by (2.1) we find that $\varpi_{n+1} \leq \varpi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently $\{\varpi_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and so there exists an $\varpi \geq 0$ such that

(2.6)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varpi_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi \tau_n, \ \xi \tau_{n+1}) = \varpi.$$

Taking limit supremum on both sides of (2.5), using (2.6) and the continuity of ψ , we obtain

$$\psi(\varpi) \leq \overline{\lim} \varphi(\varpi_n) - \lim \theta(\varpi_n) \Rightarrow \psi(\varpi) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(\varpi_n) + \lim \theta(\varpi_n) \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (2.2). Thus

(2.7)
$$\varpi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varpi_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi \tau_n, \ \xi \tau_{n+1}) = 0.$$

One can easily obtain that $\{\xi\tau_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Consequently $\{\xi\tau_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an Re-preserving Cauchy sequence in X. Now, we shall demonstrate that ζ and ξ have a coincidence point between cases (a)-(c).

Suppose that (a) holds, that is, (X, d, Re) is Re—complete, ζ and ξ are Re—continuous and (ζ, ξ) is compatible. Since (X, d, Re) is Re—complete, there exists $\delta \in X$ such that $\{\xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \delta$ and (2.4) implies that $\{\zeta \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \delta$. Since ζ and ξ are Re—continuous, $\{\zeta \xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \zeta \delta$ and $\{\xi \xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi \delta$. Also the fact that the pair (ζ, ξ) is compatible implies

$$d(\xi\delta, \zeta\delta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi\xi\tau_{n+1}, \zeta\xi\tau_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi\zeta\tau_n, \zeta\xi\tau_n) = 0,$$

that is, δ is a coincidence point of ζ and ξ .

Suppose now (b) holds, that is, $(\xi(X), d, \text{Re})$ is Re-complete and (X, d, Re) is regular. As $\{\xi\tau_n\}$ is an Re-preserving Cauchy sequence in Re-complete space $(\xi(X), d)$, so there exists $\eta \in \xi(X)$ such that $\{\xi\tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \eta$. Let $\delta \in X$ be any point such that $\eta = \xi\delta$, then $\{\xi\tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi\delta$. Since (X, d, Re) is Re-regular and $\{\xi\tau_n\}$ is Re-preserving which converges to $\xi\delta$, we obtain that $(\xi\tau_n, \xi\delta) \in \text{Re}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using contractive condition (2.3), we have

$$\psi(d(\xi\tau_{n+1}, \zeta\delta)) = \psi(d(\zeta\tau_n, \zeta\delta)) \le \varphi(d(\xi\tau_n, \xi\delta)) - \theta(d(\xi\tau_n, \xi\delta)).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality and by using (ii_{θ}) of θ , φ and $\{\xi \tau_n\} \xrightarrow{d} \xi \delta$, we get $\psi(d(\xi \delta, \zeta \delta)) = 0$. It follows, from (ii_{ψ}) , that $d(\xi \delta, \zeta \delta) = 0$, that is, δ is a coincidence point of ζ and ξ .

Suppose now that (c) holds, that is, $(X, d, \operatorname{Re})$ is Re –complete, ξ is Re –continuous and Re is ξ –closed, the pair (ζ, ξ) is compatible and $(X, d, \operatorname{Re})$ is Re –regular. Since $(X, d, \operatorname{Re})$ is Re –complete, there exists $\delta \in X$ such that $\{\xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \delta$, then (2.4) follows that $\{\zeta \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \delta$. Since ξ is Re –continuous, $\{\xi \xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi \delta$. Furthermore $\{\xi \xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi \delta$ and the pair (ζ, ξ) is Re –compatible, so we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(\xi\xi\tau_{n+1},\ \zeta\xi\tau_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}d(\xi\zeta\tau_n,\ \zeta\xi\tau_n)=0.$$

These facts together implies that $\{\zeta \xi \tau_n\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi \delta$.

Also, since (X, d, Re) is Re –regular and $\{\xi\tau_n\}$ is Re –preserving which converges to δ , $(\xi\tau_n, \delta) \in \text{Re}$, which, by the fact that Re is ξ –closed, implies $(\xi\xi\tau_n, \xi\delta) \in \text{Re}$. Using the contractive condition (2.3), we get

$$\psi(d(\xi \xi \tau_n, \xi \delta)) < \varphi(d(\xi \xi \tau_n, \xi \delta)) - \theta(d(\xi \xi \tau_n, \xi \delta)).$$

Taking limit $n \to \infty$ and by using (ii_{θ}) of θ , φ and $\{\xi\xi\tau_n\} \xrightarrow{d} \xi\delta$, $\{\zeta\xi\tau_n\} \xrightarrow{d} \xi\delta$, we get $\psi(d(\xi\delta,\zeta\delta)) = 0$. It follows, from (ii_{ψ}) , that $d(\xi\delta,\zeta\delta) = 0$, that is, δ is a coincidence point of ζ and ξ .

Consequently the set of coincidence points of ζ and ξ is non-empty. Let τ and η be two coincidence points of ζ and ξ , that is, $\zeta\tau=\xi\tau$ and $\zeta\eta=\xi\eta$. Now, we claim that $\xi\tau=\xi\eta$. By the assumption, there exists $\alpha\in X$ such that $\zeta\alpha$ is Re-comparative with $\zeta\tau$ and $\zeta\eta$. Put $\alpha_0=\alpha$ and choose $\alpha_1\in X$ so that $\xi\alpha_0=\zeta\alpha_1$. One can inductively define the sequence $\{\xi\alpha_n\}$ where $\xi\alpha_{n+1}=\zeta\alpha_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Hence $\zeta\tau=\xi\tau$ and $\zeta\alpha=\zeta\alpha_0=\xi\alpha_1$ are Re-comparative. Suppose that $(\xi\alpha_1,\xi\tau)\in \mathrm{Re}$. We claim that $(\xi\alpha_n,\xi\tau)\in \mathrm{Re}$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. For this, we shall use mathematical induction. As $(\xi\alpha_1,\xi\tau)\in \mathrm{Re}$ and so our claim is true for n=1.

Now, suppose that $(\xi \alpha_n, \xi \tau) \in \text{Re}$ holds for some n > 1. Since Re is ζ -closed with respect to ξ , we get $(\zeta \alpha_n, \zeta \tau) \in \text{Re}$, that is, $(\xi \alpha_{n+1}, \xi \tau) \in \text{Re}$. Similarly one can show that $(\xi \tau, \xi \alpha_{n+1}) \in \text{Re}$. Thus our claim is proved. Hence $\xi \alpha_n$ and $\xi \tau$ are Re-comparative, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let $\pi_n = d(\xi \tau, \xi \alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $(\xi \alpha_n, \xi \tau) \in \text{Re}$, by using the contractive condition (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\psi(d(\xi\tau, \, \xi\alpha_{n+1})) = \psi(d(\zeta\tau, \, \zeta\alpha_n)) \le \varphi(d(\xi\tau, \, \xi\alpha_n)) - \theta(d(\xi\tau, \, \xi\alpha_n)),$$

which implies that

$$(2.8) \psi(\pi_{n+1}) \le \varphi(\pi_n) - \theta(\pi_n),$$

which, by the fact that $\theta \geq 0$, implies $\psi(\pi_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(\pi_n)$ and so by (2.1), it follows that $\pi_{n+1} \leq \pi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus $\{\pi_n\}$ is a monotone non-increasing sequence. Hence there exists an $\pi \geq 0$ such that

(2.9)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_n = d(\xi \tau, \ \xi \alpha_n) = \pi.$$

Taking limit supremum on both sides of (2.8), using (2.9) and the continuity of ψ , we obtain

$$\psi(\pi) \leq \overline{\lim} \varphi(\pi_n) - \underline{\lim} \theta(\pi_n)$$
, that is, $\psi(\pi) - \overline{\lim} \varphi(\pi_n) + \underline{\lim} \theta(\pi_n) \leq 0$.

This contradicts (2.2). Thus we must have

(2.10)
$$\pi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi \tau, \ \xi \alpha_n) = 0.$$

Similarly, one can obtain that

(2.11)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\xi \eta, \ \xi \alpha_n) = 0.$$

Hence, by (2.10) and (2.11), we get

Since $\xi \tau = \zeta \tau$, therefore by weak compatibility of ξ and ζ , we have $\xi \xi \tau = \xi \zeta \tau = \zeta \xi \tau$. Let $\delta = \xi \tau$, then $\xi \delta = \zeta \delta$, that is, δ is a coincidence point of ζ and ξ . Then from (2.12) with $\eta = \delta$, it follows that $\xi \tau = \xi \delta$, that is, $\delta = \xi \delta = \zeta \delta$. Hence δ is a common fixed point of ζ and ξ . To prove the uniqueness, assume that γ is another common fixed point of ζ and ξ . Then by (2.12) we have $\gamma = \xi \gamma = \xi \delta = \delta$, that is, the common fixed point of ζ and ξ is unique. \square

If we take $\psi = I$ (the identity mapping) and $\theta(t) = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(i) there exists some $\varphi \in \Theta$ such that for any sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in $[0, +\infty)$ with $\tau_n \to \tau > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}\varphi(\tau_n)<\tau,$$

and

$$d(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) < \varphi(d(\xi \tau, \xi \eta)),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the conditions (a) - (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds, then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

If we take $\theta(t) = 0$ and $\varphi(t) = k\psi(t)$ with $0 \le k < 1$ and for all $t \ge 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(i) there exist some $\psi \in \Psi$ and $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\psi(d(\zeta\tau, \zeta\eta)) \le k\psi(d(\xi\tau, \xi\eta)),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the conditions (a) - (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds, then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

Taking $\varphi = \psi$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(i) there exist some $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ such that for any sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in $[0, +\infty)$ with $\tau_n \to \tau > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}\theta(\tau_n) > 0,$$

and

$$\psi(d(\zeta\tau, \zeta\eta)) \le \psi(d(\xi\tau, \xi\eta)) - \theta(d(\xi\tau, \xi\eta)),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the conditions (a) - (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds, then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

If we take $\psi = \varphi = I$ (the identity mappings) in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(i) there exists some $\theta \in \Theta$ such that for any sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in $[0, +\infty)$ with $\tau_n \to \tau > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}\theta(\tau_n) > 0,$$

and

$$d(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \le d(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) - \theta(d(\xi \tau, \xi \eta)),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the conditions (a) - (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds, then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

If we take $\psi = \varphi = I$ (the identity mapping) and $\theta(t) = (1 - k)t$, for all $t \ge 0$, where $0 \le k < 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space, ζ and ξ be two self mappings on X satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and

(i) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \le k d(\xi \tau, \xi \eta),$$

for all τ , $\eta \in X$ such that $(\xi \tau, \xi \eta) \in \text{Re}$. Also assume that one of the conditions (a) - (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Then ζ and ξ have a coincidence point. Moreover, if condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds, then ζ and ξ have a unique common fixed point.

If we take $\xi = I$ (the identity mapping) in Corollary 2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space and ζ be a self mapping on X such that

$$d(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \le k d(\tau, \eta),$$

for all $\tau, \eta \in X$ such that $(\tau, \eta) \in \text{Re}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Also suppose that either

- (a) ζ is Re-continuous or,
- (b) (X, d, Re) is Re-regular.

There exists $\tau_0 \in X$ such that $(\tau_0, \zeta \tau_0) \in \text{Re } \text{and } \text{Re } \text{is } \zeta\text{-closed}$. Then ζ has a fixed point.

Example 2.2. Let X = [0, 1], equipped with the usual metric $d: X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ with the natural ordering of real numbers \leq . Let $\zeta, \xi: X \to X$ be defined as

$$\zeta \tau = \frac{\tau^2}{3}$$
 and $\xi \tau = \tau^2$, for all $\tau \in X$.

Define φ , ψ , θ : $[0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ as follows

$$\psi(t) = t^2, \ \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} [t]^2, \ \text{if } 3 < t < 4, \\ \frac{1}{9} t^2, \ \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9} [t]^2, \ \text{if } 3 < t < 4, \\ 0, \ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ψ , φ and θ have all the properties mentioned in Theorem 2.1. One can easily see that the contractive condition of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied for all τ , $\eta \in X$. Furthermore, all the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 are also satisfied and $\delta = 0$ is a unique fixed point of ζ and ξ .

3. Coupled coincidence point results

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, let X^n be the nth Cartesian product $X \times X \times ... \times X$ (n times).

Definition 3.1. Let Re be a binary relation on X and the product space X^2 associated with the following binary relation: for all $\varepsilon_1 = (\tau_1, \eta_1)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2$, we have

$$(\varepsilon_1, \ \varepsilon_2) \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow (\tau_1, \ \tau_2) \in \text{Re and } (\eta_1, \ \eta_2) \in \text{Re}.$$

Definition 3.2. [1]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $\Delta_n : X^n \times X^n \to [0, +\infty)$, for $A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$, $B = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n) \in X^n$, by

$$\Delta_n(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(a_i, b_i).$$

Then Δ_n is metric on X^n .

Definition 3.3. [15]. Let $F: X^2 \to X$ be a given mapping. An element $(\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ is called a coupled fixed point of F if

$$F(\tau, \eta) = \tau$$
 and $F(\eta, \tau) = \eta$.

Definition 3.4. [26]. Let $F: X^2 \to X$ and $G: X \to X$ be given mappings. An element $(\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings F and G if $F(\tau, \eta) = G\tau$ and $F(\eta, \tau) = G\eta$.

Definition 3.5. [26]. Let $F: X^2 \to X$ and $G: X \to X$ be given mappings. An element $(\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ is called a common coupled fixed point of the mappings F and G if $\tau = F(\tau, \eta) = G\tau$ and $\eta = F(\eta, \tau) = G\eta$.

Definition 3.6. [6]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Mappings $F: X^2 \to X$ and $G: X \to X$ are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(GF(\alpha_n, \beta_n), F(G\alpha_n, G\beta_n)) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(GF(\beta_n, \alpha_n), F(G\beta_n, G\alpha_n)) = 0,$$

whenever $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n, \ \beta_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\alpha_n = \alpha \in X,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\beta_n, \ \alpha_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G\beta_n = \beta \in X.$$

Definition 3.7. [6]. Let X be a non-empty set. Two mappings $G: X \to X$ and $F: X^2 \to X$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coupled coincidence points, that is, if $F(\tau, \eta) = G\tau$ and $F(\eta, \tau) = G\eta$ for some $(\tau, \eta) \in X^2$, then $GF(\tau, \eta) = F(G\tau, G\eta)$ and $GF(\eta, \tau) = F(G\eta, G\tau)$.

Definition 3.8. Let X be a non-empty set and $F: X^2 \to X$ be a mapping. A binary relation Re on X is called F-closed if for any $(\tau_1, \eta_1), (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2, (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Re}$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \text{Re}$ implies $(F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \in \text{Re}$ and $(F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \in \text{Re}$.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a non-empty set, $F: X^2 \to X$ and $G: X \to X$ be given mappings. A binary relation Re on X is called F-closed with respect to G if for any $(\tau_1, \eta_1), (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2, (G\tau_1, G\tau_2) \in \text{Re and } (G\eta_1, G\eta_2) \in \text{Re implies } (F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \in \text{Re and } (F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \in \text{Re}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re –metric space, $F: X^2 \to X$ an $G: X \to X$ be given mappings. Define the mappings Φ_F , $\Phi_G: X^2 \to X^2$, for all $\varepsilon = (\tau, \eta) \in X^2$, by

$$\Phi_F(\varepsilon) = (F(\tau, \eta), F(\eta, \tau)) \text{ and } \Phi_G(\varepsilon) = (G\tau, G\eta).$$

Then

- (1) (X, d, Re) is Re-complete if and only if (X^2, Δ_2, S) is S-complete.
- (2) If (X, d, Re) is Re-regular, then (X^2, Δ_2, S) is also S-regular.
- (3) If F is Re-continuous, then Φ_F is S-continuous.
- (4) Re is F-closed if and only if Φ_F is S-closed.
- (5) Re is F-closed with respect to G if and only if Φ_F is S-closed with respect to Φ_G .
- (6) If there exist two elements τ_0 , $\eta_0 \in X$ with $(G\tau_0, F(\tau_0, \eta_0)) \in \text{Re}$ and $(G\eta_0, F(\eta_0, \tau_0)) \in \text{Re}$, then there exists a point $\varepsilon_0 = (\tau_0, \eta_0) \in X^2$ such that $(\Phi_G(\varepsilon_0), \Phi_F(\varepsilon_0)) \in \mathcal{S}$.
 - (7) If $F(X^2) \subset G(X)$, then $\Phi_F(X^2) \subset \Phi_G(X^2)$.

- (8) If F and G are compatible in (X, d), then Φ_F and Φ_G are also compatible in (X^2, Δ_2) .
- (9) If F and G are weak compatible in X, then Φ_F and Φ_G are also weak compatible in X^2 .
- (10) A point $\varepsilon = (\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ is a coupled coincidence point of F and G if and only if it is a coincidence point of Φ_F and Φ_G .
- (11) A point $\varepsilon = (\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ is a coupled fixed point of F if and only if it is a fixed point of Φ_F .
- *Proof.* Statements (1), (2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) and (11) are obvious.
- (4) Assume that Re is F-closed and let $\varepsilon_1 = (\tau_1, \eta_1)$, $\varepsilon_2 = (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2$ be such that $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Re}$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \text{Re}$. Since Re is F-closed, we have $(F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \in \text{Re}$ and $(F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \in \text{Re}$, which implies that $(\Phi_F(\varepsilon_1), \Phi_F(\varepsilon_2)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence Φ_F is \mathcal{S} -closed.
- (5) Assume that Re is F-closed with respect to G and let $\varepsilon_1 = (\tau_1, \eta_1)$, $\varepsilon_2 = (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2$ be such that $(\Phi_G(\varepsilon_1), \Phi_G(\varepsilon_2)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $(G\tau_1, G\tau_2) \in \text{Re}$ and $(G\eta_1, G\eta_2) \in \text{Re}$. Since Re is F-closed with respect to G, we have $(F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \in \text{Re}$ and $(F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \in \text{Re}$, which implies that $(\Phi_F(\varepsilon_1), \Phi_F(\varepsilon_2)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence Φ_F is \mathcal{S} -closed with respect to Φ_G . \square

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re – metric space, $F: X^2 \to X$ and $G: X \to X$ be two mappings satisfying

- (i) Re is F-closed with respect to G and $F(X^2) \subseteq G(X)$,
- (ii) there exist two elements $\tau_0, \eta_0 \in X$ with

$$(G\tau_0, F(\tau_0, \eta_0)) \in \text{Re } and (G\eta_0, F(\eta_0, \tau_0)) \in \text{Re},$$

(iii) there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and φ , $\theta \in \Theta$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and

$$(3.1) \quad \psi\left(\frac{d(F(\tau_{1}, \eta_{1}), F(\tau_{2}, \eta_{2})) + d(F(\eta_{1}, \tau_{1}), F(\eta_{2}, \tau_{2}))}{2}\right) \\ \leq \quad \varphi\left(\frac{d(G\tau_{1}, G\tau_{2}) + d(G\eta_{1}, G\eta_{2}))}{2}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{d(G\tau_{1}, G\tau_{2}) + d(G\eta_{1}, G\eta_{2}))}{2}\right),$$

for all $\tau_1, \, \eta_1, \, \tau_2, \, \eta_2 \in X$, where $(G\tau_1, \, G\tau_2) \in \operatorname{Re} \, and \, (G\eta_1, \, G\eta_2) \in \operatorname{Re}$.

- (iv) G is Re-continuous and Re is G-closed,
- (v) the pair $\{F, G\}$ is Re-compatible.
- (vi) F is Re-continuous or (X, d, Re) is Re-regular.

Then F and G have a coupled coincidence point. Furthermore, suppose that

(vii) for every (τ, η) , $(\tau^*, \eta^*) \in X^2$, there exists a point $(\tau', \eta') \in X^2$ such that $(F(\tau', \eta'), F(\eta', \tau'))$ is Re-comparative to $(F(\tau, \eta), F(\eta, \tau))$ and $(F(\tau^*, \eta^*), F(\eta^*, \tau^*))$, and also the pair (F, G) is weakly compatible.

Then F and G have a unique coupled common fixed point.

Proof. One can easily obtain that the contractive condition (3.1) means that

$$\begin{split} & \psi(\Delta_2(\Phi_F(\varepsilon_1), \ \Phi_F(\varepsilon_2))) \\ \leq & \varphi(\Delta_2(\Phi_G(\varepsilon_1), \ \Phi_G(\varepsilon_2))) - \theta(\Delta_2(\Phi_G(\varepsilon_1), \ \Phi_G(\varepsilon_2))), \end{split}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = (\tau_1, \eta_1)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = (\tau_2, \eta_2) \in X^2$. It is only require to apply Theorem 2.1 to the mappings $\zeta = \Phi_F$ and $\xi = \Phi_G$ in the relational metric space $(X^2, \Delta_2, \mathcal{S})$ using Lemma 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, d, Re) be an Re-metric space and $F: X^2 \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

- (i) Re is F-closed,
- (ii) there exist two elements τ_0 , $\eta_0 \in X$ with

$$(\tau_0, F(\tau_0, \eta_0)) \in \text{Re } and (\eta_0, F(\eta_0, \tau_0)) \in \text{Re},$$

(iii) there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and φ , $\theta \in \Theta$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and

$$\begin{split} & \psi \left(\frac{d(F(\tau_1,\ \eta_1),\ F(\tau_2,\ \eta_2)) + d(F(\eta_1,\ \tau_1),\ F(\eta_2,\ \tau_2))}{2} \right) \\ \leq & \varphi \left(\frac{d(\tau_1,\ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1,\ \eta_2))}{2} \right) - \theta \left(\frac{d(\tau_1,\ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1,\ \eta_2))}{2} \right), \end{split}$$

for all τ_1 , η_1 , τ_2 , $\eta_2 \in X$, with $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Re } and (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \text{Re }$.

(iv) F is Re – continuous or (X, d, Re) is Re – regular.

Then F has a coupled fixed point.

In a similar way, we may state the results analog of Corollary 2.1, Corollary 2.2, Corollary 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 for Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.

4. Application to ordinary differential equations

In this segment, first we obtain the solution for the following first-order periodic problem:

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) \end{cases}$$

where T > 0 and $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Consider the space $X = C(I, \mathbb{R})$ (I = [0, T]) of all continuous functions from I to \mathbb{R} , which is a complete metric space with respect to the sup metric

$$d(\tau, \ \eta) = \sup_{t \in I} |\tau(t) - \eta(t)|, \text{ for all } \tau, \ \eta \in X.$$

Definition 4.1. A lower solution for (4.1) is a function $a \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$a'(t) < f(t, a(t)) \text{ for } t \in I \text{ and } a(0) = a(T) = 0.$$

Theorem 4.1. Consider problem (4.1) with $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and for $\tau, \eta \in X$ with $\tau \leq \eta$,

$$0 \le f(t, \ \eta) + \lambda \eta - f(t, \ \tau) - \lambda \tau \le \frac{\lambda}{3} (\eta - \tau),$$

Then the existence of a lower solution of (4.1) provides the existence of a solution of (4.1).

Proof. Equation (4.1) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)[f(s, u(s)) + \lambda u(s)]ds,$$

where G(t, s) is the Green function given by

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\lambda(T+s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1}, & 0 \le s < t \le T, \\ \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1}, & 0 \le t < s \le T. \end{cases}$$

Define now the mapping $\zeta: X \to X$ by

$$\zeta(\tau)(t) = \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)[f(s, \tau(s)) + \lambda \tau(s)]ds,$$

and a binary relation

$$\operatorname{Re} = \left\{ (\tau, \ \eta) \in C^1(I, \ \mathbb{R}) \times C^1(I, \ \mathbb{R}) : \tau(t) \leq \eta(t), \text{ for all } t \in I \right\}.$$

For any $(\tau, \eta) \in \text{Re}$, that is, $\tau \leq \eta$, then by using our assumption, we have

$$f(t, \tau) + \lambda \tau \le f(t, \eta) + \lambda \eta.$$

Since G(t, s) > 0, that for $t \in I$, it implies

$$\zeta(\tau)(t) = \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)[f(s, \tau(s)) + \lambda \tau(s)]ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} G(t, s)[f(s, \eta(s)) + \lambda \eta(s)]ds$$

$$= \zeta(\eta)(t).$$

Thus, $(\zeta \tau, \zeta \eta) \in \text{Re}$, that is, Re is ζ -closed. Now, for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \text{Re}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &d(\zeta(\tau),\ \zeta(\eta))\\ &=\sup_{t\in I}|\zeta(\tau)(t)-\zeta(\eta)(t)|\\ &=\sup_{t\in I}\left|\int_0^TG(t,\ s)[f(s,\ \tau(s))+\lambda\tau(s)-f(s,\ \eta(s))-\lambda\eta(s)]ds\right|\\ &\leq\sup_{t\in I}\left|\int_0^TG(t,\ s)\cdot\frac{\lambda}{3}(\tau(s)-\eta(s))ds\right|\\ &\leq\frac{\lambda}{3}d(\tau,\ \eta)\sup_{t\in I}\left|\int_0^TG(t,\ s)ds\right|\\ &\leq\frac{\lambda}{3}d(\tau,\ \eta)\sup_{t\in I}\left|\int_0^t\frac{e^{\lambda(T+s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1}ds+\int_t^T\frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1}ds\right|\\ &\leq\frac{1}{3}d(\tau,\ \eta). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$d(\zeta(\tau), \ \zeta(\eta)) \le \frac{1}{3}d(\tau, \ \eta).$$

Thus the contractive condition of Corollary 2.6 is satisfied with k = 1/3 < 1. Finally, let $a \in X$ be a lower solution of (4.1). Then

$$a'(s) + \lambda a(s) \le f(s, a(s)) + \lambda a(s), \text{ for } t \in I.$$

Multiplying both sides by G(t, s), we get

$$\int_{0}^{T} a'(s)G(t, s)ds + \lambda \int_{0}^{T} a(t)G(t, s)ds \le \zeta(a)(t), \text{ for } t \in I.$$

Then, for all for $t \in I$, we have

$$\int\limits_0^t a'(s) \frac{e^{\lambda(T+s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1} ds + \int\limits_t^T a'(s) \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)}}{e^{\lambda T}-1} ds + \lambda \int\limits_0^T a(s) G(t,\ s) ds \leq \zeta(a)(t).$$

Using integration by parts and using a(0) = a(T) = 0, for all $t \in I$, we get $a(t) \le \zeta(a)(t)$, that is, $(a, \zeta a) \in \text{Re}$. Thus all the hypothesis of Corollary 2.6 are satisfied. Consequently, ζ has a fixed point $\tau \in X$ which is the solution to (4.1) in $X = C(I, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Now, we study the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following twopoint boundary value problem:

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} -\tau''(t) = h(t, \ \tau(t), \ \tau(t)), \ \tau \in (0, \ +\infty), \ t \in [0, \ 1], \\ \tau(0) = \tau(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $h:[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. The space $X = C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$ (I = [0, 1]) denote the set of all continuous functions from I to \mathbb{R} , which is a complete metric space with respect to the sup metric

$$d(\tau,\ \eta) = \sup_{t\in I} |\tau(t) - \eta(t)|\,, \text{ for all } \tau,\ \eta\in X.$$

Theorem 4.2. Under the assumptions

- (i) $h:[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
- (ii) Suppose that for all $t \in I$, $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$,

$$0 \le h(t, \ \tau_2, \ \eta_2) - h(t, \ \tau_1, \ \eta_1) \le |(\tau_2 - \tau_1) + (\eta_2 - \eta_1)|.$$

(iii) There exists a point $(a, b) \in C^2(I, \mathbb{R}) \times C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

(4.3)
$$\begin{cases} -a''(t) \le h(t, \ a(t), \ b(t)), \ t \in [0, \ 1], \\ -b''(t) \le h(t, \ b(t), \ a(t)), \ t \in [0, \ 1], \\ a(0) = a(1) = b(0) = b(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then (4.2) has one and only one solution in $C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. It is obvious that the solution (in $C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$) of (4.2) is equivalent to the solution (in $C(I, \mathbb{R})$) of the following Hammerstein integral equation:

$$\tau(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)h(s, \tau(s), \tau(s))ds \text{ for } t \in [0, 1],$$

where G(t, s) is the Green function of differential operator $-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ with Dirichlet boundary condition $\tau(0) = \tau(1) = 0$, that is,

(4.4)
$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ s(1-t), & 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $\varphi, \psi, \theta : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ as follows

$$\psi(t) = t^2, \ \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{32} [t]^2, \ \text{if } 3 < t < 4, \\ \frac{1}{64} t^2, \ \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \text{ and } \theta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64} [t]^2, \ \text{if } 3 < t < 4, \\ 0, \ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define now the mapping $F: X^2 \to X$ by

$$F(\tau, \eta)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)h(s, \tau(s), \eta(s))ds, \ t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \tau, \ \eta \in X,$$

and a binary relation

$$Re = \left\{ (\tau, \ \eta) \in C^2(I, \ \mathbb{R}) \times C^2(I, \ \mathbb{R}) : \tau(t) \le \eta(t), \text{ for all } t \in I \right\}.$$

For $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Re}$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \text{Re}$, that is, $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$, then by using our assumption, we have

$$h(t, \tau_1, \eta_1) \le h(t, \tau_2, \eta_2).$$

Since G(t, s) > 0, that for $t \in I$, it implies

$$F(\tau_1, \ \eta_1)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, \ s)h(s, \ \tau_1(s), \ \eta_1(s))ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 G(t, \ s)h(s, \ \tau_2(s), \ \eta_2(s))ds$$

$$= F(\tau_1, \ \eta_1)(t).$$

Thus, $(F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \in \text{Re}$. Similarly, one can obtain that $(F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \in \text{Re}$. Consequently Re is F-closed.

Let $\tau_1, \eta_1, \tau_2, \eta_2 \in X$ such that $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Re}$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \text{Re}$. From (ii), we have

$$\begin{split} &d(F(\tau_1,\ \eta_1),\ F(\tau_2,\ \eta_2))\\ = &\sup_{t\in I} |F(\tau_1,\ \eta_1)(t) - F(\tau_2,\ \eta_2)(t)|\\ = &\sup_{t\in I} \int\limits_0^1 G(t,\ s)[h(s,\ \tau_1(s),\ \eta_1(s)) - h(s,\ \tau_2(s),\ \eta_2(s))]ds\\ \leq &\sup_{t\in I} \int\limits_0^1 G(t,\ s) \cdot |(\tau_1(s) - \tau_2(s)) + (\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s))|\,ds\\ \leq &(d(\tau_1,\ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1,\ \eta_2)) \sup_{t\in I} \int\limits_0^1 G(t,\ s)ds. \end{split}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)ds = -\frac{t^{2}}{2} + \frac{t}{2} \text{ and } \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)ds = \frac{1}{8}.$$

It follows that

$$d(F(\tau_1, \eta_1), F(\tau_2, \eta_2)) \le \frac{1}{8}(d(\tau_1, \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \eta_2)).$$

Similarly

$$d(F(\eta_1, \tau_1), F(\eta_2, \tau_2)) \le \frac{1}{8}(d(\tau_1, \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \eta_2)).$$

Combining them, we get

$$\frac{d(F(\tau_1, \ \eta_1), \ F(\tau_2, \ \eta_2)) + d(F(\eta_1, \ \tau_1), \ F(\eta_2, \ \tau_2))}{2} \\ \leq \ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{d(\tau_1, \ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \ \eta_2)}{2} \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} & \psi \left(\frac{d(F(\tau_1, \ \eta_1), \ F(\tau_2, \ \eta_2)) + d(F(\eta_1, \ \tau_1), \ F(\eta_2, \ \tau_2))}{2} \right) \\ = & \left(\frac{d(F(\tau_1, \ \eta_1), \ F(\tau_2, \ \eta_2)) + d(F(\eta_1, \ \tau_1), \ F(\eta_2, \ \tau_2))}{2} \right)^2 \\ \leq & \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{d(\tau_1, \ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \ \eta_2)}{2} \right)^2 \\ \leq & \varphi \left(\frac{d(\tau_1, \ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \ \eta_2)}{2} \right) - \theta \left(\frac{d(\tau_1, \ \tau_2) + d(\eta_1, \ \eta_2)}{2} \right), \end{split}$$

which is the contractive condition of Corollary 3.1. By (iii), there exists a point $(a, b) \in C^2(I, \mathbb{R}) \times C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-a''(s) \le h(s, a(s), b(s)), s \in [0, 1].$$

Multiplying by G(t, s), we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} -a''(s)G(t, s)ds \le F(a, b)(t), t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$-(1-t)\int_{0}^{t} sa''(s)ds - t\int_{t}^{1} (1-s)a''(s)ds \le F(a, b)(t).$$

Using an integration by parts and a(0) = a(1) = 0, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$-(1-t)(ta'(t)-a(t))-t(-(1-t)a'(t)-a(t)) \le F(a, b)(t).$$

Thus, we have

$$a(t) \le F(a, b)(t)$$
, for $t \in [0, 1]$.

This implies that $(a, F(a, b)) \in \text{Re}$. Similarly, one can show that $(b, F(b, a)) \in \text{Re}$. Thus all the hypothesis of Corollary 3.1 are satisfied. Consequently, F has a coupled fixed point $(\tau, \eta) \in X^2$ which is the solution to (4.3) in $X = C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Conclusion 4.1. Using the same technique, one can easily obtain the multidimensional version of Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

- S.A. Al-Mezel, H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar and A. Roldan: Discussion on multidimensional coincidence points via recent publications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. Volume 2014, Article ID 287492.
- 2. M. Ahmadullah, M. Imdad and R. Gubran: Relation-theoretic metrical fixed point theorems under nonlinear contractions, Fixed Point Theory 20 (1) (2019), 3-18.
- 3. A. Alam and M. Imdad: Relation-theoretic contraction principle, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 17 (4) (2015), 693-702.
- 4. T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 65 (7) (2006), 1379-1393.
- D.W. BOYD AND J.S. WONG: On nonlinear contractions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 458-464.
- 6. B. S. CHOUDHURY AND A. KUNDU: (ψ, α, β) -weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (1) (2012), 6-10.
- B. S. CHOUDHURY, N. METIYA AND M. POSTOLACHE: A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, 152.
- 8. B. Deshpande and A. Handa: Coincidence point results for weak $\psi \varphi$ contraction on partially ordered metric spaces with application, Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform. **30 (5)** (2015), 623–648.
- 9. B. Deshpande, A. Handa and C. Kothari: Existence of coincidence point under generalized nonlinear contraction on partially ordered metric spaces, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1) (2016), 35-51.
- 10. B. Deshpande, A. Handa and S.A. Thoker: Existence of coincidence point under generalized nonlinear contraction with applications, East Asian Math. J. **32** (3) (2016), 333-354.
- 11. B. Deshpande and A. Handa: On coincidence point theorem for new contractive condition with application, Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform. **32 (2)** (2017), 209–229.
- 12. B. DESHPANDE, V.N. MISHRA, A. HANDA AND L.N. MISHRA: Coincidence point results for generalized (ψ, θ, φ) -contraction on partially ordered metric spaces, Thai J. Math. **19** (1) (2021), 93-112.
- 13. H.S. Ding, L. Li and S. Radenovic: Coupled coincidence point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012,96.

- 14. I. M. ERHAN, E. KARAPINAR, A. ROLDAN AND N. SHAHZAD: Remarks on coupled coincidence point results for a generalized compatible pair with applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, 207.
- 15. D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham: Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications, Nonlinear Anal. 11 (5), 623–632, 1987.
- A. Handa: Existence of coincidence point under generalized Geraghty-type contraction with application, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 27 (3) (2020), 109-124.
- 17. A. HANDA: Utilizing generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in periodic boundary value problems, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 28 (4) (2021), 297-314.
- 18. A. HANDA: Generalized (ψ, θ, φ) -contraction with applications to ordinary differential equations, Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform. **37** (1) (2022), 169–192.
- 19. A. Handa: Applicability of fixed point results in boundary value problems satisfying generalized Geraghty-type contraction, Thai J. Math. 23 (1) (2023), 201-217.
- A. Handa: Application of generalized weak contraction in integral equation, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 30 (3) (2023), 249-267.
- A. HANDA: Application of contraction mapping principle in periodic boundary value problems, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 30 (3) (2023), 289-307.
- 22. J. HARJANI AND K. SADARANGANI: Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), 3403-3410.
- 23. G. Jungck: Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (4) (1986), 771-779.
- 24. G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades: Fixed point for set-valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (3) (1998), 227-238.
- 25. S. Khalehoghli, H. Rahimi and M.E. Gordji: Fixed point theorems in Re $-metric\ spaces\ with\ applications,$ AIMS Mathematics 5 (4) (2020), 3125–3137
- 26. V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ciric: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (12) (2009), 4341-4349.
- 27. F. Shaddad, M.S.M. Noorani, S.M. Alsulami and H. Akhadkulov: *Coupled point results in partially ordered metric spaces without compatibility*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, 204.