FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ)

Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 40, No 3 (2025), 683-695

https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI240807047S

Original Scientific Paper

# ON A GENERALIZED VERSION OF m-TOPOLOGY AND U-TOPOLOGY IN THE OVER-RING $C(X)_{\Delta}$ OF C(X) \*

## Rudra Pratap Saha<sup>1</sup> and Ritu Sen<sup>2</sup>

 Presidency University, Department of Mathematics 86/1, College Street, Kolkata-700073, India
Department of Mathematics, 86/1, College Street Kolkata-700073, India

ORCID IDs: Rudra Pratap Saha

Ritu Sen

https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8183-0899https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3804-8355

**Abstract.** In this paper, we generalize the m-topology and the U-topology of C(X) to its over-ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . The generalized versions will be referred to as the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology respectively. We define  $A_I^{\Delta} = \{f \in C(X)_{\Delta} : |f(x)| \leq M$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ , which turns out to be the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. Next we define  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) = \{f \in C(X)_{\Delta} : |fg(x)| \leq M$ , for all  $g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ . This set will be seen to play a key role in determining the connected ideals in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. It is observed that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is a topological ring, whereas  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is not so. Finally, we give several necessary and sufficient conditions for the coincidence of the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

**Keywords**:  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ ,  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology,  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology.

#### 1. Introduction

All topological spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^X$  be the ring of all real-valued functions defined on a nonempty topological space X with pointwise addition and

Received: August 07, 2024, revised: February 11, 2025, accepted: February 17, 2025

Communicated by Dimitris Georgiou

Corresponding Author: R. Sen

E-mail addresses: rudrapratapsaha1997@gmail.com (R. P. Saha), ritu\_sen29@yahoo.co.in, ritu.maths@presiuniv.ac.in (R. Sen)

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C30; Secondary 54C40.

© 2025 BY UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ, SERBIA | CREATIVE COMMONS LICENSE: CC BY-NC-ND

<sup>\*</sup>The first author is supported by CSIR, New Delhi, INDIA. File No. - 08/155(0089)/2020-EMR-I.

multiplication. Also, the collection of all continuous members of  $\mathbb{R}^X$  is denoted by C(X), and the collection of all bounded members of C(X) is denoted by  $C^*(X)$ . In this connection, we refer to the reader [5], where these two rings have been studied extensively. Our interest of study in this paper is an over-ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  of C(X). In [10], we have introduced the ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , which consists of those real-valued functions, whose discontinuity set is a member of  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is a subcollection of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  with the properties: (i) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} \in \Delta$ , (ii) for  $A, B \in \Delta$ ,  $A \cup B \in \Delta$ , (iii) for  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , if  $B \in \Delta$ , then  $A \in \Delta$ . Then  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  becomes a commutative ring with unity. Now for any  $f, g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ ,  $f \vee g = \frac{1}{2}(f+g+|f-g|) \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and  $f \wedge g = \frac{1}{2}(f+g-|f-g|) \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Therefore,  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is actually a lattice-ordered ring and a sublattice of  $\mathbb{R}^X$ . More properties of the ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  have been studied in [9].

The m-topology on C(X) is first introduced in the late 40's in [7] and later the research in this area became active over the last 20 years, for example, the works in [3, 6] and [8]. C(X) endowed with the m-topology is denoted by  $C_m(X)$  which is a Hausdorff topological ring. In [5], further studies have been done regarding the m-topology on C(X). Also, the uniform norm topology on  $C^*(X)$  has been studied and investigated in [5]. A generalization of m-topology on C(X) has been defined and thoroughly studied in [1]. Also in [2], the authors have given a generalization of the U-topology of C(X). In this paper, we introduce the same kind of topologies, i.e. the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology in the setting of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . We here aim to investigate the similarities and dissimilarities between the ring C(X) and its over-ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  via these two topologies.

Let us first recall some necessary definitions and results for a smooth continuation of the paper.

**Definition 1.1.** [10] For a topological space X and a subcollection  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  ( $\equiv$  the power set of X), where  $\Delta$  is closed under forming subsets, finite unions and containing all singletons, we define,

 $C(X)_{\wedge} = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}^X : \text{the set of points of discontinuities of } f \text{ is a member of } \Delta \}.$ 

It can be easily observed that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is a commutative ring with unity (with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication) containing C(X), i.e.  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is a superring or an over-ring of C(X). We note that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is a sublattice of  $\mathbb{R}^{X}$ , in fact,  $(C(X)_{\Delta},+,.,\vee,\wedge)$  is a lattice-ordered ring if for any  $f,g\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ , one defines  $(f\vee g)(x)=f(x)\vee g(x)$  and  $(f\wedge g)(x)=f(x)\wedge g(x)$ ,  $x\in X$ . Also  $f\vee g=\frac{f+g+|f-g|}{2}\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ , for all  $f,g\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . For  $f\in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and f>0, we note that there exists  $h\in C(X)_{\Delta}$  such that  $f=h^{2}$ . Also, whenever  $f\in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and  $f^{r}$  is defined where  $r\in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f^{r}\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Also an element f in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is called a unit if and only if  $Z_{\Delta}(f)=\emptyset$  (see Theorem 2.17 of [10]).

**Definition 1.2.** [10] For  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ , the set  $f^{-1}(0) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  will be called the zero set of f, to be denoted by  $Z_{\Delta}(f)$ .

We will use the notation  $Z_{\Delta}(C(X)_{\Delta})$  (or,  $Z_{\Delta}(X)$ ) for the collection  $\{Z_{\Delta}(f): f \in C(X)_{\Delta}\}$  of all zero sets in X.

**Remark 1.1.** [10] (i) Unlike C(X),  $Z_{\Delta}(f)$  is not necessarily closed. (ii)  $Z_{\Delta}(f)$  need not be a  $G_{\delta}$ -set as in the case of C(X).

Throughout this paper whenever we speak of an ideal unmodified, we will always mean a proper ideal in the ring under consideration. For an ideal I of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , we shall denote  $\{Z_{\Delta}(f): f \in I\}$  by  $Z_{\Delta}(I)$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition 1.3.} & [10] \text{ An ideal } I \text{ of } C(X)_{\Delta} \text{ is called a } Z_{\Delta}\text{-ideal if } Z_{\Delta}^{-1}Z_{\Delta}(I) = I. \\ \text{Equivalently, } Z_{\Delta}(f) = Z_{\Delta}(g), \text{ for } f \in I \text{ and } g \in C(X)_{\Delta} \text{ implies that } g \in I. \\ \end{array}$ 

For a  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal I of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , we consider  $U_{I}^{\Delta^{+}} = \{u \in C(X)_{\Delta} : u(x) > 0$ , for all  $x \in Z$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)\}$ . For each f in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  and each  $u \in U_{I}^{\Delta^{+}}$ , we set  $m_{I}^{\Delta}(f,u) = \{g \in C(X)_{\Delta} : |f(x) - g(x)| < u(x)$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ . It is routine to check that there exists a unique topology which we call the  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  in which for each  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and each  $u \in U_{I}^{\Delta^{+}}$ ,  $\{m_{I}^{\Delta}(f,u) : f \in C(X)_{\Delta} \text{ and } u \in U_{I}^{\Delta^{+}}\}$  is a base for its open neighborhoods. It can be easily proved that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with this  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology is a topological ring. Similarly, for  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we define  $u_{I}^{\Delta}(f,\epsilon) = \{g \in C(X)_{\Delta} : |f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ . It needs some routine computations to show that  $\{u_{I}^{\Delta}(f,\epsilon) : f \in C(X)_{\Delta}, \epsilon > 0\}$  is an open base for some topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , which we wish to call the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . For any  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ , the family  $\{u_{I}^{\Delta}(f,\epsilon) : \epsilon > 0\}$  turns out to be an open neighborhood base about the point f in this topology. Let  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) = \{f \in C(X)_{\Delta} : \text{given } g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ , there exists M > 0,  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta$  such that  $|f(x).g(x)| \leq M$  for each  $x \in Z \setminus H$ . This set will be seen to play a key role in determining the connected ideals in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology. Next we define  $A_{I}^{\Delta} = \{f \in C(X)_{\Delta} : |f(x)| \leq M$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ , which turns out to be the component of 0 in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology. We utilize this fact to establish that several statements individually are necessary and sufficient for  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology to be a topological ring.

In section 2, we at first investigate the clopen sets of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. As in [1], the map  $\phi_f: \mathbb{R} \to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r) = r.f$  is introduced for any  $f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}$ . Then it has been shown that such  $\phi_f$  is continuous, for any  $f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}$  when  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is equipped with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. With the help of this, we then prove that  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. We next define for any  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $||f||_{\Delta} = \inf\{M: |f(x)| \leq M \text{ for all } x \in Z \setminus H,$  for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta\}$ . It can be easily checked that  $(A_I^{\Delta}, ||.||_{\Delta})$  is a pseudo-normed linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The relative topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$  induced by the

 $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is shown to be stronger than the pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$ . For the coincidence of these two topologies, we then find a set of necessary and sufficient conditions involving  $A_I^{\Delta}$  and  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . Then we have proved that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with this  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is regular but not Hausdorff. Finally, we give a complete description of the connected ideals in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

In section 3, we prove results concerning the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Since the map  $\phi_f:\mathbb{R}\to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r)=r.f$  is proved to be continuous for each  $f\in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}$  in the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is weaker than the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , it follows that the same map is also continuous for each  $f\in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}$ , if  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is equipped with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. It is then proved that  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is the component of 0 in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  equipped with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. Next, an example has been furnished to show that for a free  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal,  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is not a topological ring. Finally it has been proved that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is a topological ring if and only if the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology coincide on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Next endeavour has been made for the coincidence of the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . For this, we define  $U_I^{\Delta}$  =  $\{u\in C(X)_{\Delta}:u(x)>\lambda,$  for all  $x\in Z\setminus H$ , for some  $Z\in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H\in \Delta,\lambda>0\}$ . It has been proved that for any  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal I in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology coincides with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology if and only if  $U_I^{\Delta}$   $\subseteq U_I^{\Delta}$ . Finally, we give several other necessary and sufficient conditions for the coincidence of the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

Throughout the paper, for any literature on topology one may go through [4].

2. 
$$m_{_I}^{^{\Delta}}$$
-topology on  $C(X)_{_{\Delta}}$ 

We start this section by investigating the nature of clopen sets of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{_I}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology.

**Theorem 2.1.** The set  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

*Proof.* For  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta} \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $m_I^{\Delta}(f,1) \subseteq C(X)_{\Delta} \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$ . So  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a closed set. Again for  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $m_I^{\Delta}(f,1) \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$  which shows that  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is an open set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** For any  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal  $I, I \subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) \subseteq A_I^{\Delta} \subseteq C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ , the map  $\phi_f : \mathbb{R} \to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r) = r.f$  is continuous, when  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is equipped with the  $m_f^{\Delta}$ -topology.

Proof. Let  $r.f \in m_{_I}^{\Delta}(f,u)$ , where  $u \in U_{_I}^{\Delta^+}$ . Then u(x) > 0, for all  $x \in Z_{\Delta}(h)$ , for some  $Z_{\Delta}(h) \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$ . Consider g = |u| + |h|. Then g(x) > 0 for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . So g is a unit of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Therefore  $\frac{1}{g} \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Since  $f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ , there exists M>0 such that  $|\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}|\leq M$  for all  $x\in Z\setminus H$ , for some  $Z\in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta$ . Let  $s \in (r - \frac{1}{M}, r + \frac{1}{M})$ . Then  $|\phi_f(r) - \phi_f(s)| = |r - s||f| = |r - s|\frac{|f|}{|g|}|g| < 1$ |g|=|u|=u, for all  $x\in (Z_{\Delta}(h)\cap Z)\setminus H$ . So  $\phi_f$  is continuous.  $\square$ 

Using the above theorem we can now have an idea about the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.**  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{\chi}^{\Delta}$ -topology.

*Proof.* It can be easily seen that 
$$I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) = \bigcup_{f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$$
 and  $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof. It can be easily seen that  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) = \bigcup_{f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is a connected ideal of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with respect to the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. Let J be a connected ideal of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  containing  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . If  $f \in J \setminus I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ , then there exists  $g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  such that  $fg \notin A_I^{\Delta}$ . But  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set containing  $\underline{0}$  and as J is an ideal, for G,  $A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $A_I^{\Delta}$ , the union of two parameters. is an ideal,  $fg \in J \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$ . So  $J = (J \cap A_I^{\Delta}) \cup (J \setminus A_I^{\Delta}) =$  the union of two non-empty disjoint open sets in the space J, a contradiction to the fact that J is connected. Hence  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.  $\square$ 

For  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ , we define  $\|f\|_{\Delta} = \inf\{M: |f(x)| \leq M \text{ for all } x \in Z \setminus H \text{, for some } Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta\}$ . It can be easily checked that  $(A_I^{\Delta}, \|.\|_{\Delta})$  is a pseudo-normed linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next for  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ , we define  $B(f, \epsilon) = \{g \in A_I^{\Delta} : ||f - g||_{\Delta} < \epsilon\}$ . Then the family  $\{B(f,\epsilon): f\in A_I^\Delta, \epsilon>0\}$  forms a base of open sets for the pseudonorm topology on  $A_I^\Delta$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** The relative topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$  induced by the  $m_i^{\Delta}$ -topology is stronger than the pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that for  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ ,  $A_I^{\Delta} \cap m_{\tau}^{\Delta}(f, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \subseteq B(f, \epsilon)$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.5.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ .
- (ii)  $I_{\psi_{\wedge}}(X) = C(X)_{\wedge}$ .
- (iii) The pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is identical with the relative  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.
- (iv) The  $m^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is connected.
- (v) The  $m_{\tau}^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is locally connected.

- Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $f, g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Then  $f, g \in A_I^{\Delta}$  also. So there exist  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M_1$ , for all  $x \in Z_1 \setminus H_1$  and  $|g(x)| \leq M_2$ , for all  $x \in Z_2 \setminus H_2$  for some  $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H_1, H_2 \in \Delta$ . This implies that  $|fg(x)| \leq M_1 M_2$ , for all  $x \in (Z_1 \cap Z_2) \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2)$ , where  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H_1 \cup H_2 \in \Delta$ . Hence  $f \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ .
  - $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Follows from Theorem 2.2.
- $(i)\Rightarrow (iii) \text{: From Lemma 2.1 it follows that the pseudo-norm topology on } A_I^\Delta \text{ is weaker than the } m_I^\Delta\text{-topology on } A_I^\Delta. \text{ So it is sufficient to show that the } m_I^\Delta\text{-topology is weaker than the pseudo-norm topology on } A_I^\Delta. \text{ Let } m_I^\Delta(f,u) \text{ be a basic element for } m_I^\Delta\text{-topology on } A_I^\Delta, \text{ where } f \in A_I^\Delta \text{ and } u \in U_I^{\Delta^+}. \text{ Then } u(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in Z_\Delta(g), \text{ for some } Z_\Delta(g) \in Z_\Delta(I), \text{ where } g \in I. \text{ Let } v = |u| + |g|. \text{ Then } v \in C(X)_\Delta \text{ and } v(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in X. \text{ So } v \text{ is a unit of } C(X)_\Delta. \text{ This implies that } \frac{1}{v} \in C(X)_\Delta = A_I^\Delta. \text{ Hence there exists } M > 0 \text{ such that } |\frac{1}{v(x)}| \leq M, \text{ for all } x \in Z_1 \setminus H_1, \text{ for some } Z_1 \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H_1 \in \Delta \text{ which implies that } |v(x)| \geq \frac{1}{M}, \text{ for all } x \in Z_1 \setminus H_1 \text{ for some } Z_1 \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H_1 \in \Delta. \text{ Now consider } B(f,\frac{1}{M}) = \{h \in A_I^\Delta: \|f-h\|_\Delta < \frac{1}{M}\}. \text{ Choose } h \in B(f,\frac{1}{M}). \text{ Then } \|f-h\|_\Delta < \frac{1}{M}. \text{ Therefore there exist } Z_2 \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta \text{ such that } |f(x)-h(x)| < \frac{1}{M}, \text{ for all } x \in Z_2 \setminus H_2. \text{ This implies that } |f(x)-h(x)| < \frac{1}{M} \leq v(x) = |u(x)| = u(x), \text{ for all } x \in Z_\Delta(G) \cap Z_1 \cap Z_2) \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2), \text{ where } Z_\Delta(g) \cap Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H_1 \cup H_2 \in \Delta. \text{ Hence } B(f,\frac{1}{M}) \subseteq m_I^\Delta(f,u). \text{ So the pseudo-norm topology on } A_I^\Delta \text{ is weaker than the } m_A^\Delta \text{-topology on } A_I^\Delta.$
- $\begin{array}{l} (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \text{: Let } A_I^\Delta \subsetneq C(X)_\Delta \text{. Then there exists } f \in C(X)_\Delta \setminus A_I^\Delta \text{ with } f \geq 1 \\ \text{such that for each } Z \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta \text{, there exists } x \in Z \setminus H \text{ with } f(x) > n, \\ \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ We consider } g = \frac{1}{f}. \text{ Then } g \in C(X)_\Delta \text{ with } g \leq 1. \text{ Therefore for each } Z \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta \text{, there exists } x \in Z \setminus H \text{ such that } g(x) < \frac{1}{n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Now } m_I^\Delta(\underline{0},g) \text{ is a neighbourhood of } \underline{0} \text{ in the relative } m_I^\Delta\text{-topology. We will show that there does not exist any } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } B(\underline{0},\epsilon) \subseteq m_I^\Delta(\underline{0},g) \cap A_I^\Delta. \\ \text{Since } \frac{\epsilon}{2} \in B(\underline{0},\epsilon) \text{ but } \frac{\epsilon}{2} \notin m_I^\Delta(\underline{0},g), \ B(\underline{0},\epsilon) \not\subseteq m_I^\Delta(\underline{0},g) \cap A_I^\Delta \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0, \text{ a contradiction. Hence } A_I^\Delta = C(X)_\Delta. \end{array}$ 
  - $(i) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : A pseudo-norm topology is path connected, hence connected.
- $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ : If  $A_I^{\Delta} \neq C(X)_{\Delta}$ , then by Theorem 2.1,  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a proper clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ .
- $\begin{array}{l} (ii) \Rightarrow (v) \colon \text{To show that the $m_I^{^\Delta}$-topology is locally connected, it is sufficient} \\ \text{to show that $m_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)$ is connected. Since $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) = C(X)_{\Delta}$, for any $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ the map $\phi_f : \mathbb{R} \to C(X)_{\Delta}$ defined by $\phi_f(r) = r.f$ is continuous, by Theorem 2.3. Now $m_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon) = \bigcup_{f \in m_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)} \phi_f([-1,1])$ and $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in m_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)} \phi_f([-1,1])$. This implies$
- that  $m_{_I}^\Delta(\underline{0},\epsilon)$  is connected. Hence the  $m_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology is locally connected.
- $(v)\Rightarrow (ii)$ : If possible, let  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)\neq C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Let U be any connected open neighborhood of  $\underline{0}$ . Then  $U\subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  (since  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is a component of  $\underline{0}$  by Theorem 2.4). Again there exists  $u\in U_I^{\Delta^+}$  such that  $m_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},u)\subseteq U\subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)\subsetneq$

 $\begin{array}{l} C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}. \ \ \text{Since} \ u \in {U_{\scriptscriptstyle I}^{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}^+, \ u(x) > 0 \ \ \text{for all} \ x \in Z, \ \text{for some} \ Z \in Z_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}(I), \ \text{where} \\ Z = Z_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}(g) \ \ \text{for some} \ g \in I. \ \ \text{Let} \ v = |u| + |g|. \ \ \text{Then} \ v \in C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta} \ \ \text{and} \ v(x) > 0, \\ \text{for all} \ x \in X. \ \ \text{Let} \ f \in C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta} \setminus I_{\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}(X). \ \ \text{Now} \ \frac{f}{1+|f|} v \in m_{\scriptscriptstyle I}^{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}(\underline{0},u) \subseteq U \subseteq I_{\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}(X). \\ \text{Therefore} \ f = \frac{1+|f|}{v}. \frac{f}{1+|f|} v \in I_{\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}(X) \ \ \text{(as} \ I_{\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}(X) \ \ \text{is an ideal)}, \ \ \text{a contradiction}. \\ \text{Hence} \ I_{\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}}(X) = C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle\Delta}. \ \ \Box \end{array}$ 

Regarding the separation axioms of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology, we have the following results.

**Proposition 2.1.**  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is a completely regular space.

Proof. Let for each  $u \in U_{\scriptscriptstyle I}^{^{\Delta^+}}$ ,  $S_u = \{(f,g) \in C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} \times C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta} : \text{there exist } Z \in Z_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta \text{ such that } |f(x) - g(x)| < u(x) \text{ for each } x \in Z \setminus H\}.$  Then the family  $\{S_u : u \in U_{\scriptscriptstyle I}^{^{\Delta^+}}\}$  is a base for some uniformity on  $C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}$ ; furthermore the topology induced by this uniformity is the  $m_{\scriptscriptstyle I_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}$ . Consequently,  $C(X)_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}$  with the  $m_{\scriptscriptstyle I_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}}$ -topology becomes a completely regular space.  $\square$ 

**Remark 2.1.**  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is not a Hausdorff space.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Example 2.1.} \quad \text{Let $X$ be a topological space and $I$ be any $Z_{\Delta}$-ideal of $C(X)_{\Delta}$. Consider $a,b\in X$ with $a\neq b$. Then $\chi_{\{a\}},\chi_{\{b\}}\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ and $\chi_{\{a\}}\neq\chi_{\{b\}}$. Now $\chi_{\{a\}}\in m_I^{\Delta}(\chi_{\{a\}},u)$, for any $u\in U_I^{\Delta^+}$. Since $u\in U_I^{\Delta^+}$, there exists $Z\in Z_{\Delta}(I)$ such that $u(x)>0$, for all $x\in Z$. Hence $|\chi_{\{a\}}(x)-\chi_{\{b\}}(x)|=0< u(x)$, for all $x\in Z\setminus\{a,b\}$, where $Z\in Z_{\Delta}(I)$ and $\{a,b\}\in\Delta$. Therefore, $\chi_{\{b\}}\in m_I^{\Delta}(\chi_{\{a\}},u)$. So $C(X)_{\Delta}$ with the $m_I^{\Delta}$-topology is not a Hausdorff space.$ 

Next we investigate the connected ideals of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $J \subseteq C(X)_{\Delta}$  be an ideal containing a  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal I. Then int  $J = \emptyset$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

Proof. Let  $f\in int\ J$ . Then there exists  $u\in U_I^{\Delta^+}$  such that  $f\in m_I^\Delta(f,u)\subseteq J$ . Since  $u\in U_I^{\Delta^+}$ , there exists  $Z=Z_\Delta(|g|)\in Z_\Delta(I)$  such that u(x)>0, for all  $x\in Z_\Delta(|g|)$  with  $|g|\in I$ . Now  $f+\frac{|u|}{2}\in m_I^\Delta(f,u)\subseteq J$  and  $f\in J$  implies that  $|u|\in J$ . Now consider v=|u|+|g|. Then  $v\in C(X)_\Delta$  as well as  $v\in J$  and v(x)>0 for all  $x\in X$ . Therefore v is a unit of  $C(X)_\Delta$  contained in J, a contradiction. Hence  $int\ J=\emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.3.** The following statements are equivalent for an ideal J of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  equipped with the  $m_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology.

- (i) J is a connected ideal.
- (ii)  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .
- (iii)  $J \subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $f \in J \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$ . Since  $\underline{0} \in J \cap A_I^{\Delta}$ , it follows that  $J \cap A_I^{\Delta}$  and  $J \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$  are two nonempty disjoint open sets in the space J, as by Theorem 2.1  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . This contradicts the fact that J is a connected ideal. Hence  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .

 $(ii)\Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $f\in J$ . Then for all  $g\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ ,  $fg\in J$  (as J is an ideal). Therefore  $fg\in A_I^{\Delta}$ . Thus for all  $g\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ ,  $fg\in A_I^{\Delta}$  implies that  $f\in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . So  $J\subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : For all  $f \in J$ , the map  $\phi_f : \mathbb{R} \to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r) = r.f$  is continuous. Now  $J = \bigcup_{f \in J} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in J} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$ . So J is connected.  $\square$ 

# 3. $u_{\tau}^{\Delta}$ -topology on $C(X)_{\Delta}$

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ , the map  $\phi_f : \mathbb{R} \to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r) = r.f$  is continuous with respect to the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

Proof. Let  $r.f \in u_I^{\Delta}(f,\epsilon)$ , for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ , there exists M > 0 such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ , for some  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta$ . Now consider the open set  $(r - \frac{\epsilon}{M}, r + \frac{\epsilon}{M})$  containing r. If  $s \in (r - \frac{\epsilon}{M}, r + \frac{\epsilon}{M})$ , then  $|\phi_f(r)(x) - \phi_f(s)(x)| = |rf(x) - sf(x)| = |r - s||f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}M = \epsilon$ , for all  $x \in Z \setminus H$ ,  $Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H \in \Delta$ . Hence  $\phi_f$  is continuous whenever  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.2.**  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with respect to the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

*Proof.* Can be done similarly as in Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with respect to the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

*Proof.* It can be easily seen that  $A_I^{\Delta} = \bigcup_{f \in A_I^{\Delta}} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in A_I^{\Delta}} \phi_f(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $A_I^{\Delta}$ 

is connected (as  $\phi_f$  is continuous for  $f \in A_I^{\Delta}$ ) and also  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with respect to the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. So  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a maximal connected set containing  $\underline{0}$ . Hence  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.  $\square$ 

Since in a topological ring, the component of 0 is an ideal, in view of the following example we can make a remark that  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology is not a topological ring.

**Example 3.1.** Consider  $X=\mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology of reals and  $\Delta=\{A\subseteq X:A \text{ is countable}\}$ . Let  $S=\{\chi_{\{x\}}:x\in X\}$  and I=< S>. Then I is a free  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal generated by S and for any  $g\in I$ ,  $Z_{\Delta}(g)=X\setminus A$ , where A is a finite subset of X (where an ideal I is said to be free if  $\bigcap_{f\in I}Z_{\Delta}(f)=\emptyset$ ). Now we show that  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is not an ideal of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Take the function  $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \neq n \\ n+1, & x = n. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $f\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . But there does not exist any  $Z\in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H\in \Delta$  such that f is bounded on  $Z\setminus H$ . This implies that  $f\notin A_I^{\Delta}$ . Therefore  $\underline{1}\in A_I^{\Delta}$  whereas  $1.f\notin A_I^{\Delta}$ , which implies that  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is not an ideal. Hence  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is not a topological ring.

In fact, we can say that

**Remark 3.1.** For any free  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal  $I, C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology may not be a topological ring.

We next have the nature of quasicomponent of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{_I}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology as follows.

**Theorem 3.3.** The component and the quasicomponent of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{\tau}^{\Delta}$ -topology are identical.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we have that  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. So it is sufficient to prove that the quasicomponent of  $\underline{0}$  is contained in  $A_I^{\Delta}$ . As  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the quasicomponent of a point is the intersection of all clopen sets containing it, it thus follows that the quasi-component of  $\underline{0}$  is contained in  $A_I^{\Delta}$ . Hence the component and the quasicomponent of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology are identical.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.1.** The pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is identical with the relative  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on it.

*Proof.* For  $f \in A_I^\Delta$ , we have that  $A_I^\Delta \cap u_I^\Delta(f,\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \subseteq B(f,\epsilon)$ , for all  $\epsilon>0$ . So the relative topology on  $A_I^\Delta$  induced by the  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology is stronger than the pseudonorm topology on  $A_I^\Delta$ . Again if  $f \notin A_I^\Delta$ , then  $u_I^\Delta(f,\epsilon) \cap A_I^\Delta = \emptyset$ , for any  $\epsilon>0$ . Also for  $f \in A_I^\Delta$ ,  $B(f,\epsilon) \subseteq u_I^\Delta(f,\epsilon) \cap A_I^\Delta$ , for all  $\epsilon>0$ . So the pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^\Delta$  is stronger than the relative  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology on it. Hence the pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^\Delta$  is identical with the relative  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology on  $A_I^\Delta$ .  $\square$ 

Next we investigate about the connectedness of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. Also, we give a complete description of the connected ideals of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology.

**Proposition 3.2.** The  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is connected if and only if  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

*Proof.* Let the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  be connected. As  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology, we must have  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

Conversely, let  $A_I^\Delta=C(X)_\Delta$ . As the  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology on  $A_I^\Delta$  is identical with the pseudo-norm topology on the same and since the pseudo-norm topology is connected, so the  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology on  $C(X)_\Delta$  must be connected.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ , then  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is locally connected.

Proof. Since the pseudo-norm topology on  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is ideantical with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology, it is sufficient to show that  $u_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)$  is a connected open set, for any  $\epsilon>0$ . As  $A_I^{\Delta}=C(X)_{\Delta}$ , by Theorem 3.1, the map  $\phi_f:\mathbb{R}\to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r)=r.f$  is continuous for all  $f\in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Now  $u_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)=\bigcup_{f\in u_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0},\epsilon)}\phi_f([-1,1])$  and

 $\underline{0} \in \bigcap_{f \in u_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0}, \epsilon)} \phi_f([-1, 1]). \text{ This implies that } u_I^{\Delta}(\underline{0}, \epsilon) \text{ is connected. Hence the } u_I^{\Delta}-$ 

topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  is locally connected.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.4.**  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is the maximal connected ideal containing  $\underline{0}$  in the space  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  endowed with the  $u_{\lambda}^{\Delta}$ -topology.

Proof. Obviously  $\underline{0} \in I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . Let J be a connected ideal containing  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . Then there exists  $f \in J \setminus I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  such that  $fg \notin A_I^{\Delta}$ , for some  $g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Again  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is a clopen set in the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. But  $fg \in J \setminus A_I^{\Delta}$  and  $\underline{0} \in A_I^{\Delta} \cap J$ . This contradicts the fact that J is connected. Hence  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$  is the maximal connected ideal containing 0.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.4.** For an ideal J in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) J is a connected ideal.
- (ii)  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .
- (iii)  $J \subseteq I_{\psi_{\Lambda}}(X)$ .

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let J be a connected ideal of  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ . Since  $A_I^{\Delta}$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology, this implies that  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .

 $(ii)\Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $J\subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ . As the map  $\phi_f:\mathbb{R}\to C(X)_{\Delta}$  defined by  $\phi_f(r)=r.f$  is continuous in the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology (by Theorem 3.1), for all  $f\in J$  and  $J=\bigcup_{f\in J}\phi_f(\mathbb{R})$ ,

it follows that J is connected.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ . If possible, let there exist  $f \in J \setminus I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . Then there exists  $g \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  such that  $fg \notin A_I^{\Delta}$ . But  $fg \in J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let  $J \subseteq I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X)$ . As  $I_{\psi_{\Delta}}(X) \subseteq A_I^{\Delta} \subseteq C(X)_{\Delta}$ , it follows that  $J \subseteq A_I^{\Delta}$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $J \subseteq C(X)_{\Delta}$  be an ideal containing the  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal I. Then int  $J = \emptyset$  with respect to the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ .

*Proof.* Since the  $u_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{_{\Delta}}$  is weaker than the  $m_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology on the same and by Proposition 2.2,  $int\ J=\emptyset$  with respect to the  $m_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{_{\Delta}}$ ,  $int\ J=\emptyset$  with respect to the  $u_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{_{\Delta}}$  also.  $\square$ 

Now for the coincidence of the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology and the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology on  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , we define  $U_I^{\Delta^{++}} = \{u \in C(X)_{\Delta} : u(x) > \lambda, \text{ for all } x \in Z \setminus H, \text{ for some } Z \in Z_{\Delta}(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta, \lambda > 0\}.$ 

**Proposition 3.6.** For any  $Z_{\Delta}$ -ideal I in  $C(X)_{\Delta}$ , the  $u_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology coincides with the  $m_{I}^{\Delta}$ -topology if and only if  $U_{I}^{\Delta^{+}} \subseteq U_{I}^{\Delta^{++}}$ .

Proof. First let  $U_I^{\Delta^+} \subseteq U_I^{\Delta^{++}}$ . Then it is sufficient to show that the  $m_I^{\Delta}$ -topology is weaker than the  $u_I^{\Delta}$ -topology. Let  $f \in m_I^{\Delta}(f,u)$ , where  $f \in C(X)_{\Delta}$  and  $u \in U_I^{\Delta^+}$ . Since  $U_I^{\Delta^+} \subseteq U_I^{\Delta^{++}}$ , there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $u(x) > \lambda$ , for all  $x \in Z_1 \setminus H_1$ , for some  $Z_1 \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H_1 \in \Delta$ . We now show that  $u_I^{\Delta}(f,\lambda) \subseteq m_I^{\Delta}(f,u)$ . Let  $g \in u_I^{\Delta}(f,\lambda)$ . Then  $|g(x) - f(x)| < \lambda$ , for all  $x \in Z_2 \setminus H_2$ , for some  $Z_2 \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H_2 \in \Delta$ . This implies that  $|g(x) - f(x)| < \lambda < u(x)$ , for all  $x \in (Z_1 \cap Z_2) \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2)$ , where  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in Z_{\Delta}(I)$  and  $H_1 \cup H_2 \in \Delta$ . So  $g \in m_I^{\Delta}(f,u)$ . Hence  $u_I^{\Delta}(f,\lambda) \subseteq m_I^{\Delta}(f,u)$ .

Conversely, let the  $u_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology coincide with the  $m_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology on  $C(X)_\Delta$  and  $u\in U_{_I}^{\Delta^+}$ . Then  $f\in m_{_I}^\Delta(f,u)$ , where  $m_{_I}^\Delta(f,u)$  is an open set in the  $m_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology, for any  $f\in C(X)_\Delta$ . Hence there exists  $\lambda>0$  such that  $u_{_I}^\Delta(f,\lambda)\subseteq m_{_I}^\Delta(f,u)$ . Now  $f+\frac{\lambda}{2}\in u_{_I}^\Delta(f,\lambda)$  implies that  $f+\frac{\lambda}{2}\in m_{_I}^\Delta(f,u)$ . Thus  $u(x)>\frac{\lambda}{2}$ , for all  $x\in Z\setminus H$ , for some  $Z\in Z_\Delta(I)$  and  $H\in \Delta$ , which implies that  $u\in U_{_I}^{\Delta^{++}}$ . Hence  $U_{_I}^{\Delta^{++}}\subseteq U_{_I}^{\Delta^{++}}$ .  $\square$ 

Next we give several other necessary and sufficient conditions for the coincidence of the  $m_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology and the  $u_{_I}^\Delta$ -topology on  $C(X)_\Delta$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The  $u_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology and the  $m_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology coincides on  $C(X)_{_{\Delta}}$ .
- (ii)  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{_{I}}^{^{\Delta}}$ -topology is a topological ring.
- (iii)  $A_I^{\Delta} = C(X)_{\Delta}$ .
- (iv)  $I_{\psi_{\Lambda}}(X) = C(X)_{\Lambda}$ .
- (v)  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $u_{\tau}^{\Delta}$ -topology is connected.
- *Proof.*  $(i)\Rightarrow (ii)$ : Obvious, since  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  with the  $m_{I_{\Delta}}$ -topology is a topological ring.
- $(ii)\Rightarrow (iii)\colon \mathrm{Let}\; C(X)_\Delta$  with the  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology be a topological ring. Then  $A_I^\Delta$  is an ideal of  $C(X)_\Delta$  (as  $A_I^\Delta$  is the component of  $\underline{0}$  in  $C(X)_\Delta$  with the  $u_I^\Delta$ -topology). Also  $\underline{1}\in A_I^\Delta\Rightarrow C(X)_\Delta=A_I^\Delta$ .
  - $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ : Follows from Theorem 2.5.
  - $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (v)$  Follows from Proposition 3.2.
- $(iii) \Rightarrow (i) \text{: To show that the } u_I^\Delta\text{-topology and the } m_I^\Delta\text{-topology coincides on } C(X)_\Delta, \text{ it is sufficient to show that } U_I^{\Delta^+} \subseteq U_I^{\Delta^{++}}. \text{ Now, for all } f \in C(X)_\Delta, f \text{ is bounded on some } Z \setminus H, \text{ where } Z \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta. \text{ Therefore } C(X)_\Delta = \bigcup_{\epsilon>0} u_I^\Delta(\underline{0},\epsilon). \text{ Let } u \in U_I^{\Delta^+}. \text{ Then } u(x) > 0, \text{ for all } x \in Z_\Delta(g), \text{ for some } Z_\Delta(g) \in Z_\Delta(I), \text{ where } g \in I. \text{ We consider } v = u^2 + g^2. \text{ Then } v \in C(X)_\Delta \text{ and } v(x) > 0, \text{ for all } x \in X. \text{ Hence } v \text{ is a unit of } C(X)_\Delta \text{ and thus } \frac{1}{v} \in C(X)_\Delta. \text{ Therefore there exists } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \frac{1}{v} \in u_I^\Delta(\underline{0},\epsilon). \text{ This implies that } \frac{1}{v(x)} < \epsilon, \text{ for all } x \in Z \setminus H, \text{ for some } Z \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta. \text{ Therefore } (u^2 + g^2)(x) > \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \text{ for all } x \in Z \setminus H, \text{ for some } Z \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta. \text{ Thus } u(x) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \text{ for all } x \in (Z \cap Z_\Delta(g)) \setminus H, \text{ where } Z \cap Z_\Delta(g) \in Z_\Delta(I) \text{ and } H \in \Delta. \text{ Hence } u \in U_I^{\Delta^{++}}. \quad \square$

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions, which significantly improved the initial version of the article.

### REFERENCES

- 1. F. Azarpanah, F. Manshoor and R. Mohamadian: Connectedness and compactness in C(X) with the m-topology and generalized m-topology. Topol. Appl. **159** (16) (2012) 3486-3493.
- 2. R. Bharati, A. Debray, S. K. Acharyya and S. Acharyya: A generalization of topology of uniform convergence on C(X). Topol. Appl. **310** (2022) 108041.
- 3. E. K VAN DOUWEN: Nonnormality or hereditary paracompactness of some spaces of real functions. Topol. Appl. 39 (1) (1991) 3–32.

- 4. R. Engelking: General Topology. Sigma series in Pure Mathematics, v. 6, 1989.
- 5. L. GILLMAN and M. JERISON: Rings of Continuous Functions. Springer, London. (1976).
- 6. J. Gomez-Pérez and W.W. McGovern: The m-topology on  $C_m(X)$  revisited. Topol. Appl. 153 (2006) 1838–1848.
- E. HEWITT: Rings of real-valued continuous functions I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (64) (1948) 54–99.
- 8. G. Di Maio, L. Holá, D. Holá and D. McCoy: Topology on the space of continuous functions. Topol. Appl. 86 (1998) 105–122.
- 9. R. P. Saha: More on the rings  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  and  $C^*(X)_{\Delta}$ . (communicated).
- 10. R. Sen and R. P. Saha: On an over-ring  $C(X)_{\Delta}$  of C(X). Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **39 (4)** (2024) 721-744.