Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 35, No 1 (2020), 89-99 https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI2001089C

QUASI-CONFORMAL CURVATURE TENSOR OF GENERALIZED SASAKIAN-SPACE-FORMS

Braj B. Chaturvedi and Brijesh K. Gupta

© 2020 by University of Niš, Serbia | Creative Commons License: CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract. The present paper deals with the study of generalized Sasakian-space-forms with the conditions $C^q(\xi, X).S = 0$, $C^q(\xi, X).R = 0$ and $C^q(\xi, X).C^q = 0$, where R, S and C^q denote Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and quasi-conformal curvature tensor of the space-form, respectively. In the end of the paper, we have given some examples to support our results.

Keywords: Quasi-conformal curvature tensor; generalized Sasakian-space-forms; Einstein manifold; Pseudosymmetric manifold.

1. Introduction

An almost contact metric manifold $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be a generalized Sasakian-space-form if the curvature tensor of the manifold has the following form

$$R(X,Y)Z = f_1 \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}$$

$$+ f_2 \{(g(X,\phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y,\phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)\phi Z)\}$$

$$+ f_3 ((\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X$$

$$+ g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi)),$$
(1.1)

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M^{2n+1} . By taking $f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}$ and $f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}$, where c denotes constant ϕ -sectional curvature tensor, we get different kind of generalized Sasakian-space-forms. This idea was introduced by P. Alegre, D. Blair and A. Carriazo [13] in 2004. P. Alegre and Carriazo [15], A. Sarkar, S. K. Hui, etc. [19, 21, 22] studied generalized Sasakian-space-forms by considering the cosymplectic space of Kenmotsu space form as particular types of generalized Sasakian-space-forms. In 2006, U. Kim [22] studied conformally flat generalized Sasakian-space-form and locally symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-form. He proved some geometric properties of generalized Sasakian-space-forms which depends on the nature

Received October 9, 2018; accepted August 22, 2019 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C25; Secondary 53D15

of the functions f_1 , f_2 and f_3 . Also, he proved that if a generalized Sasakian-spaceform $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is locally symmetric then $(f_1 - f_3)$ is constant. In [21] De and Sarkar studied the projective curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-spaceforms and proved that generalized Sasakian-space-forms is projectively flat if and only if $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{1-2n}$. D.G. Prakasha and H. G. Nagaraja [8] studied quasiconformally semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-forms. They proved that a generalized Sasakian-space-forms is quasiconformally semi-symmetric if and only if either space form is quasiconformally flat or $f_1 = f_2$. Recently, Hui and Prakasha [17] have studied C-Bochner curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-forms. S. K. Hui and D. G. Prakasha [17] studied the C-Bochner pseudosymmetric generalized Sasakianspace-forms. The generalized Sasakian-space-forms have also been studied in ([9], [18], [10], [11], [23]) and many others. Throughout their study, C-Bochner curvature tensor B satisfied the conditions $B(\xi, X).S = 0$, $B(\xi, X).R = 0$ and $B(\xi, X).B = 0$, where R and S denoted the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci curvature tensor of the space form respectively. After investigations of the above mentioned developments, we plan to study the quasi-conformal curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-forms.

2. Preliminaries

A Riemannian manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) of dimension (2n+1) is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [7] if there exists a tensior field ϕ of type (1, 1), a vector field ξ (called the structure vector field) and a 1-form η on M such that

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

(2.2)
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

and

$$\eta(\xi) = 1,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M. In an almost contact metric manifold, we have $\phi \xi = 0$ and $\eta o \phi = 0$. Then such type of manifold is called a contact metric manifold if $d\eta = \Phi$, where $\Phi(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y)$ is called the fundamental 2-form of $M^{(2n+1)}$. A contact metric manifold is said to be K-contact manifold if and only if the covarient derivative of ξ satisfies

$$(2.4) \nabla_X \xi = -\phi X,$$

for any vector field X on M.

The almost contact metric structure of M is said to be normal if

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = -2d\eta(X, Y)\phi,$$

for any vector fields X and Y, where $[\phi, \phi]$ denotes the Nijenhuis torsion of ϕ . A normal contact metric manifold is called Sasakian manifold. An almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if

$$(2.6) \qquad (\nabla_X \phi) Y = g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X,$$

for any vector fields X, Y.

The generalized Sasakian-space-forms $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ satisfies the following relations [13]

(2.7)
$$R(X, Y) \xi = (f_1 - f_3) \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \},$$

(2.8)
$$R(\xi, X) Y = (f_1 - f_3) \{ g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \},$$

$$(2.9)S(X,Y) = (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)g(X,Y) - \{3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3\} \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Replacing Y by ξ in the equation (2.9), we get

(2.10)
$$S(X,\xi) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(X).$$

Replacing X and Y by ξ in the equation (2.9), we get

(2.11)
$$S(\xi, \xi) = 2n(f_1 - f_3),$$

from the equation (2.9), we have

$$(2.12) r = 2n(2n+1)f_1 + 6nf_2 - 4nf_3.$$

Again from (2.9), we have

$$(2.13) QX = (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)X - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)n(X)\xi.$$

Replacing X by ξ in the above equation, we get

$$(2.14) Q\xi = 2n(f_1 - f_3)\xi.$$

In a Riemannian manifold of dimension (2n + 1) the quasi-conformal curvature tensor is defined by [12]

$$C^{q}(X,Y)Z = aR(X,Y)Z + b(S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY) - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left[\frac{a}{2n} + 2b\right] \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\},$$
(2.15)

where a and b are constants such that $a, b \neq 0$, Q is the Ricci operator, i.e., g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y), for all X and Y and r is scalar curvature of the manifold. Using the equations (2.7)-(2.15), we have

$$(2.16) C^{q}(X,Y)\xi = \left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right] \left\{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\right\},\,$$

$$(2.17) \ C^{q}(\xi,Y)Z = \left[\frac{(a + (2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3 + 3f_2)}{2n+1}\right] \left\{\eta(Z)Y - g(Y,Z)\xi\right\},\,$$

and

$$\eta(C^{q}(X,Y)Z) = \left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_{3}+3f_{2})}{2n+1}\right] (g(Z,X)\eta(Y)
- g(Y,Z)\eta(X)).$$

This is required quasi-conformal curvature tensor in generalized Sasakian-spaceforms.

3. Quasi-conformal Pseudosymmetric generalized Sasakian-Space-Forms

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold and let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of (M,g). A Riemannian manifold is called locally symmetric if $\nabla R=0$, where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of (M,g). The locally symmetric manifolds have been studied by different differential geometry through various approaches and they extended semisymmetric manifolds by [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 24], recurrent manifolds by Walker [1], conformally recurrent manifold by Adati and Miyazawa [20]. According to Z. I. Szab'o [24], if the manifold M satisfies the condition

$$(3.1) (R(X,Y).R)(U,V)W = 0, X,Y,U,V,W \in \chi(M)$$

for all vector fields X and Y, then the manifold is called semi-symmetric manifold. For a (0, k)- tensor field T on M, $k \ge 1$ and a symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field A on M the (0, k+2)-tensor fields R.T and Q(A, T) are defined by

$$(R.T)(X_1,....X_k;X,Y) = -T(R(X,Y)X_1,X_2,....X_k) - - T(X_1,....X_{k-1},R(X,Y)X_k),$$

and

$$Q(A,T)(X_1,....X_k;X,Y) = -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2,.....X_k) - - T(X_1,....X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k),$$
(3.3)

where $X \wedge_A Y$ is the endomorphism given by

$$(3.4) (X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y,Z)X - A(X,Z)Y.$$

According to R. Deszcz [16], a Riemannian manifold is said to be pseudosymmetric if

$$(3.5) R.R = L_R Q(g, R),$$

holds on $U_r = \left\{ x \in M | R - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}G \neq 0 \text{ at } x \right\}$, where G is (0,4)-tensor defined by $G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) = g((X_1 \wedge X_2)X_3,X_4)$ and L_R is some smooth function on U_R . A Riemannian manifold M is said to be quasi-conformal pseudosymmetric if

(3.6)
$$R.C^{q} = L_{C^{q}} Q(g, C^{q}),$$

holds on the set $U_{C^q} = \{x \in M : C^q \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_{C^q} is some function on U_{C^q} and C^q is the quasi-conformal curvature tensor.

Let $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be quasi-conformal pseudosymmetric generalized Sasakianspace-form then from the equation (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) (R(X,\xi).C^q)(U,V)W = L_{C^q}[((X \wedge_q \xi).C^q)(U,V)W].$$

Using the equations (3.2) and (3.3) in the equation (3.7), we get

$$R(X,\xi)C^{q}(U,V)W - C^{q}(R(X,\xi)U,V)W - C^{q}(U,R(X,\xi)V)W - C^{q}(U,V)R(X,\xi)W = L_{C^{q}}((X \wedge_{g} \xi)C^{q}(U,V)W - C^{q}((X \wedge_{g} \xi)U,V)W - C^{q}((X \wedge_{g} \xi)U,V)W - C^{q}(U,X \wedge_{g} \xi)W).$$
(3.8)

Again, using the equations (2.7) and (3.4) in (3.8), we conclude the following

$$(f_{1} - f_{3}) (g(\xi, C^{q}(U, V)W)X - g(X, C^{q}(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)C^{q}(X, V)W + g(X, U)C^{q}(\xi, V)W - \eta(V) C^{q}(U, X)W + g(X, V)C^{q}(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)C^{q}(U, V)X + g(X, W)C^{q}(U, V)\xi) = L_{C^{q}} (g(\xi, C^{q}(U, V)W)X - g(X, C^{q}(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)C^{q}(X, V)W + g(X, U)C^{q}(\xi, V)W - \eta(V)C^{q}(U, X)W + g(X, V)C^{q}(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)C^{q}(U, V)X + g(X, W)C^{q}(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)C^{q}(U, V)X + g(X, W)C^{q}(U, V)\xi).$$

$$(3.9)$$

The above expression can be written as

$$(f_{1} - f_{3} - L_{C^{q}}) (g(\xi, C^{q}(U, V)W)X - g(X, C^{q}(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)C^{q}(X, V)W + g(X, U)C^{q}(\xi, V)W - \eta(V)C^{q}(U, X)W + g(X, V)C^{q}(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)C^{q}(U, V)X + g(X, W)C^{q}(U, V)\xi) = 0,$$
(3.10)

which implies either $L_{C^q} = f_1 - f_3$ or

$$(g(\xi, C^{q}(U, V)W)X - g(X, C^{q}(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)C^{q}(X, V)W + g(X, U)C^{q}(\xi, V)W - \eta(V)C^{q}(U, X)W + g(X, V)C^{q}(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)C^{q}(U, V)X + g(X, W)C^{q}(U, V)\xi) = 0.$$
(3.11)

Putting $W = \xi$ in the equation (3.11) and using the equations (2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$C^{q}(U,V)X = \left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right](g(X,U)V)$$
(3.12)
$$- g(X,V)U),$$

contracting V in the above equation, we have

(3.13)
$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right]2ng(U,X)=0,$$

this implies that

(3.14)
$$\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}=0,$$

from the above equation two conditions arise, either

$$(3.15) a = -(2n-1)b$$

or

$$(3.16) f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}.$$

Using the equations (3.15) or (3.16) in (2.16) and (2.17), we get

$$(3.17) C^q(\xi, Y)Z = 0,$$

and

(3.18)
$$C^{q}(X,Y)\xi = 0,$$

this means $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is quasi-conformally flat. Thus, we conclude:

Theorem 3.1. Let $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a (2n+1)-dimensional generalized Sasakian-space-form. If $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is quasi-conformal pseudosymmetric then $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is quasiconformally flat if at least one of the following conditions holds:

$$(i)f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$$
 $(ii)a = -(2n-1)b$, $(iii)L_{C^q} = f_1 - f_3$.

Now we propose:

Theorem 3.2. Let $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a (2n+1)-dimensional generalized Sasakian-space-form. Then $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ satisfies $C^q(\xi, X).S = 0$ if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:

(i)
$$f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$$
, (ii) $a = -(2n-1)b$, (iii) $S(X,U) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(X,U)$.

Proof. If generalized Sasakian-space-form satisfies $C^q(\xi, X).S = 0$. Then from the equation (3.2), we have

(3.19)
$$S(C^{q}(\xi, X)U, \xi) + S(U, C^{q}(\xi, X)\xi) = 0,$$

From the equation (2.10), we have

(3.20)
$$S(C^{q}(\xi, X)U, \xi) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(C^{q}(\xi, X)U).$$

Now with the help of equations (2.17) and (3.20), we can write

$$S(C^{q}(\xi, X)U, \xi) = 2n(f_{1} - f_{3}) \left[\frac{(a + (2n - 1)b)((2n - 1)f_{3} + 3f_{2})}{2n + 1} \right] (\eta(X)\eta(U)$$
(3.21) - $g(X, U)$.

Again in view of the equation (2.17), we have

$$S(C^{q}(\xi, X)\xi, U) = \begin{bmatrix} (a + (2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3 + 3f_2) \\ 2n+1 \end{bmatrix} (S(X, U)$$

$$(3.22) - 2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(X)\eta(U).$$

By using the expressions (3.21) and (3.22) in (3.19), we infer

$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right](S(X,U))$$

$$(3.23) -2n(f_1-f_3)g(X,U)) = 0,$$

which implies that if $C^{q}(\xi, X).S = 0$ then either a = -(2n-1)b or $f_{3} = \frac{3f_{2}}{(1-2n)}$ or $S(X,U) = 2n(f_{1} - f_{3})g(X,U)$.

Conversely, it is clear that if a = -(2n-1)b or $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$ or $S(X,U) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(X,U)$ then from (2.17), we have \square

(3.24)
$$C^{q}(\xi, X).S = 0.$$

Now we take $C^q(\xi, U).R = 0$.

Then from the equation (3.2), we have

(3.25)
$$C^{q}(\xi, U)R(X, Y)Z - R(C^{q}(\xi, U)X, Y)Z - R(X, C^{q}(\xi, U)Y)Z - R(X, Y)C^{q}(\xi, U)Z = 0,$$

which in view of the equation (2.17), we have

$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right] \left(\eta(R(X,Y)Z)U\right)
-g(U,R(X,Y)Z)\xi - \eta(X)R(U,Y)Z
+g(U,X)R(\xi,Y)Z - \eta(Y)R(X,U)Z + g(U,Y)R(X,\xi)Z
-\eta(Z)R(X,Y)U + g(U,Z)R(X,Y)\xi \right) = 0,$$
(3.26)

using $Z = \xi$ and (2.2) in the above equation, we infer

$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right]$$
(3.27)
$$\left((f_1-f_3)(g(U,Y)X-g(U,X)Y)-R(X,Y)U\right)=0,$$

which implies that if $C^q(\xi, X).R = 0$ then either a = -(2n-1)b or $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$ or

$$R(X,Y)U = (f_1 - f_3)(g(U,Y)X - g(U,X)Y).$$

Thus, we conclude:

Theorem 3.3. Let $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a (2n+1)-dimensional generalized Sasakian-space-form. If $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ satisfying $C^q(\xi, U).R = 0$ then at least one of the following necessarily holds:

(i)
$$f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$$
, (ii) $a = -(2n-1)b$,
(iii) $R(X,Y)U = (f_1 - f_3)(g(U,X)Y - g(U,Y)X)$.

Now we propose:

Theorem 3.4. Let $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a (2n+1)-dimensional generalized Sasakian-space-form. Then $M^{2n+1}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ satisfies $C^q(\xi, X).C^q = 0$ if and only if either $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$ or a = -(2n-1)b.

Proof. If generalized Sasakian-space-form satisfies $C^q(\xi, X).C^q = 0$. Then, from the equation (3.2) we have

$$(3.28) C^{q}(\xi, X)C^{q}(U, V)W - C^{q}(C^{q}(\xi, X)U, V)W - C^{q}(U, C^{q}(\xi, X)V)W - C^{q}(U, V)C^{q}(\xi, X)W = 0,$$

by which in view of the equation (2.16) we get

$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right] \left(\eta(C^q(U,V)W)X -g(X,C^q(U,V)W)\xi - \eta(U)C^q(X,V)W +g(X,U)C^q(V,\xi)W - \eta(V)C^q(U,X)W + g(X,V)C^q(U,\xi)W +g(W,X)C^q(U,V)\xi - \eta(W)C^q(U,V)X\right) = 0.$$
(3.29)

By using $V = \xi$ in the above equation, we infer

$$\left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right]\left((C^q(U,X)W\right) + \left[\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right]\left(g(X,W)U\right) - g(U,W)X\right) = 0,$$
(3.30)

which implies that either a = -(2n-1)b or $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$ or

$$(3.31) \quad C^q(U,X)W = \left(\frac{(a+(2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3+3f_2)}{2n+1}\right)(g(U,W)X - g(X,W)U),$$

contracting U in the above equation, we have

(3.32)
$$\left[\frac{(a + (2n-1)b)((2n-1)f_3 + 3f_2)}{2n+1} \right] 2ng(X,V) = 0,$$

this implies that either a=-(2n-1)b or $f_3=\frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$. Conversely, if $M^{2n+1}(f_1,f_2,f_3)$ satisfies a=-(2n-1)b or $f_3=\frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$, then in view of (2.17) we have $C^q(\xi,X).C^q=0$. \square

4. Examples

Example 4.1. [13] Let $N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be generalized Sasakian-space-forms of dimension 4, then by the warped product $M \times N$ endowed with the almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g_f) , Sasakian space form $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is generalized with

(4.1)
$$f_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 - (f')^2}{f^2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{f^2}, \quad f_3 = \frac{\lambda_1 - (f')^2}{f^2} + \frac{f''}{f},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are constants, f = f(t), $t \in R$ and f' denotes the derivative of f with respect to t.

If we take $\lambda_1 = -\frac{3\lambda_2}{7}$ and $f(t) = e^{Kt}$, K is constant, then $f_1 = -\frac{1}{e^{2Kt}} \left[\frac{3\lambda_2}{7} + K^2 e^{2Kt} \right]$, $f_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{e^{2Kt}}$ and $f_3 = -\frac{1}{e^{2Kt}} \left[\frac{3\lambda_2}{7} \right]$. Hence $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$, if n = 4.

Example 4.2. [14] Let N(c) be a complex space form, and by the warped product $M = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \times_f N$ endowed with the almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g_f) , Sasakian space form $M(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is generalized with functions

(4.2)
$$f_1 = \frac{c - 4(f')^2}{4f^2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{c}{4f^2}, \quad f_3 = \frac{c - 4(f')^2}{4f^2} + \frac{f''}{f},$$

where f = f(t), $t \in R$ and f' denotes the derivative of f with respect to t. If we take c = 0 and $f(t) = e^{Kt}$, K is constant,

then
$$f_1 = -K^2$$
, $f_2 = f_3 = 0$. Hence $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$.

Acknowledgement. The last named author gratefully acknowledges CSIR, New Delhi, India for finacial support to Junior Research Fellowship (JRF).

REFERENCES

- A. G. WALKER, On Ruse's, spaces of recurrent curvature, Proc. London Math. Soc., 52(1950), 36-64.
- B. B. CHATURVEDI and B. K. GUPTA, Study on seni-symmetric metric space, Novi Sad J. Math. 44(2) (2014), 183-194.
- 3. B. B. CHATURVEDI and B. K. GUPTA, On Bochner Ricci semi-symmetric Hermitian manifold, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae, 87(1) (2018), 25-34.
- 4. B. B. Chaturvedi and B. K. Gupta, Study of a semi-symmetric space with a non-recurrent Torsion tensor, Journal of International Academy of Physical Sciences, 20(3) (2016), 155-163.
- 5. B. B. CHATURVEDI and B. K. GUPTA, Study of conharmonic recurrent symmetric Kaehler manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection, Journal of International Academy of Physical Sciences, 18(1)(2014), 11-18.
- B. K. GUPTA, B. B. CHATURVEDI and M. A. LONE, On Ricci semi-symmetric mixed super quasi-Einstein Hermitian manifold, Differential Geometry-Dynamical Systems, 20 (2018), 72-82.
- D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 509(1976), 148.
- 8. D. G. Prakasha and H. G. Nagaraja, On quasiconformally flat and quasiconformally semi-symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Cubo A Mathematical Journal, 15 (2013), 59-70.
- 9. D. G. Prakasha, On generalized Sasakian-space-forms with Weyl-conformal curvature tensor, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 33 (3) (2012), 223-228.
- 10. D. G. Prakasha and V. Chavan, *E-Bochner curvature tensor on generalized Sasakian space forms*, Comptes Rendus Mathematique, **354** (8) (2016), 835-841.
- D. G. Prakasha and V. Chavan, On weak symmetries of generalized Sasakianspace-forms, Communications in Mathematics and Applications, 5 (3) (2014), 83-89
- K. YANO. and S. SAWAKI, Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, J. Differ. Geom., 2(1968), 161-184.
- 13. P. Alegre, D. E. Blair and A. Carriazo, generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Isr J. Math., 14 (2004), 157-183.
- P. Alegre and A. Carriazo, generalized Sasakian-space-forms and conformal change of metric, Results. Math., 59 (2011), 485-493.
- P. Alegre, and A. Carriazo, Submanifolds of generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Taiwan J. Math., 13 (2009), 923-941.
- 16. R. Deszcz, On pseudosymmetric space, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Se'r. A, 44(1), 1992, 1-34.
- S. K. Hui and D. G. Prakasha, On the C-Bochner Curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. A Phys. Sci., 85(3), (2015), 401-405.
- 18. S. K. Hui, D. G. Prakasha and V. Chavan, On generalized ϕ -recurrent generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 15 (2) (2017), 323-332.

- 19. S. K. Hui and A. Sarkar, On the W₂-curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-form, Mathematica Pannonica, 23(1)(2012), 113-124.
- T. Adati and T. Miyazawa, On a Riemannian space with recurrent conformal curvature, Tensor N. S., 18(1967), 348-354.
- 21. U. C. DE and A. SARKAR, On the projective curvature tensor of generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 33 (2010), 245-252.
- 22. U. K. Kim, Conformally flat generalized Sasakian-space-forms and locally symmetric generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Note Mat., 26(2006), 55-67.
- 23. U. C. DE and A. SARKAR, Some results on generalized Sasakian-space-forms, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 8 (1) (2010), 1-10.
- 24. Z. I. Szab'o, Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying R(X,Y).R=0, J. Diff. Geom., 17(1982), 531-582.

Braj B.Chaturvedi
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya
Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
Pin-495009, India
brajbhushan25@gmail.com

Brijesh K. Gupta
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya
Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
Pin-495009, India
brijeshggv75@gmail.com