

**NEW EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS RESULTS FOR HIGH
 DIMENSIONAL FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS ***

Zoubir Dahmani and Amele Taeb

Abstract. In this paper, using the Caputo fractional derivative approach, we present new results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to n -dimensional nonlinear coupled systems for differential equations. We also discuss some examples to illustrate the main results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The physical laws of dynamics are not always described by ordinary order differential equations. In some cases, their behavior is governed by fractional order differential equations. For more details, we refer the reader to the books of Hilfer in [14] and Podlubny in [28]. Other research works can be found in [2, 4, 7, 9, 20, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32]. Further, many authors have established the existence and uniqueness of solutions for some fractional systems. The reader may refer to the following research papers [1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 33, 34]. These papers treat problems with only one nonlinear term depending on two unknown functions. Other cases, where we have more than one nonlinearity depending on some unknown functions, are more complicated and have not been discussed in the above cited works.

In this paper, we are concerned with the following nonlinear system:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} D^{\alpha_1}x_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^1(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t), D^{\beta_1}x_1(t), D^{\beta_2}x_2(t), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(t)), & t \in J, \\ D^{\alpha_2}x_2(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t), D^{\beta_1}x_1(t), D^{\beta_2}x_2(t), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(t)), & t \in J, \\ \vdots \\ D^{\alpha_n}x_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^n(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t), D^{\beta_1}x_1(t), D^{\beta_2}x_2(t), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(t)), & t \in J, \\ x_k(0) = x'_k(0) = \dots = x_k^{(n-2)}(0) = x_k^{(n-1)}(1) = 0, & k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

Received March 20, 2015

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A34, 34B10.

*The authors were supported in part by ...

where $n - 1 < \alpha_k < n$, $n - 2 < \beta_k < n - 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^* - \{1\}$, $J := [0, 1]$. The derivatives D^{α_k} and D^{β_k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are in the sense of Caputo. The functions $(f_j^k)_{i=1,\dots,m}^{k=1,2,\dots,n} : J \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be specified later.

We present some basic definitions and properties which are used throughout this paper [13, 22, 28].

Definition 1.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \geq 0$ for a continuous function f on $[0, \infty[$ is defined as:

$$(1.2) \quad J^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad J^0 f(t) = f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\alpha-1} dx$.

Definition 1.2. The Caputo derivative of order α for a function $x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is at least n -times differentiable can be defined as:

$$(1.3) \quad D^\alpha x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} x^{(n)}(s) ds = J^{n-\alpha} x^{(n)}(t),$$

for $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

We recall the following lemmas [11, 12, 23, 30]:

Lemma 1.1. Let $\alpha > 0$. The solution to the differential equation $D^\alpha x(t) = 0$, is given by

$$(1.4) \quad x(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

such that $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then

$$(1.5) \quad J^\alpha D^\alpha x(t) = x(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $q > p > 0$, $f \in L^1([a, b])$. Then $D^p J^q f(t) = J^{q-p} f(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$.

Lemma 1.4. Let E be a Banach space. Assume that $T : E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous operator and the set $V := \{x \in E, x = \mu T x, 0 < \mu < 1\}$ is bounded. Then T has a fixed point in E .

We prove the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 1.5. Suppose that $\left(Q_i^k\right)_{i=1,\dots,m}^{k=1,\dots,n} \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, and consider the problem

$$(1.6) \quad D^{\alpha_k} x_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i^k(t), \quad t \in J, \quad n-1 < \alpha_k < n; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

associated with the conditions:

$$(1.7) \quad x_k(0) = x'_k(0) = \dots = x_k^{(n-2)}(0) = x_k^{(n-1)}(1) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, we have

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} x_k(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} Q_i^k(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha_k - n + 1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_k-n} Q_i^k(s) ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Lemma 1.1, Lemma 1.2 and (1.6). So, we can write

$$(1.9) \quad x_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} Q_i^k(s) ds - c_0 - c_1 t - c_2 t^2 - \dots - c_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $n-1 < \alpha_k < n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

For all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$, we have

$$x_k^{(j)}(0) = -j! c_j.$$

Using Lemma 1.3 and the relation (1.7), we obtain:

$$(1.10) \quad c_j = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha_k-n}}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha_k - n + 1)} Q_i^k(s) ds, & j = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Substituting the values of c_j into (1.9), we obtain (1.8). This ends the proof of the auxiliary result. \square

Now, let us introduce the Banach space:

$S := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_k \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), D^{\beta_k} x_k \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ equipped with the norm

$$(1.11) \quad \|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_S = \max(\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_n\|, \|D^{\beta_1} x_1\|, \|D^{\beta_2} x_2\|, \dots, \|D^{\beta_n} x_n\|),$$

such that,

$$\|x_k\| = \sup_{t \in J} |x_k(t)|, \quad \|D^{\beta_k} x_k\| = \sup_{t \in J} |D^{\beta_k} x_k(t)|, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

2. Main Results

We begin this section by introducing the following hypotheses:

(H₁) : There exist nonnegative constants $(\lambda_i^k)_j$, $i = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad |f_i^k(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) - f_i^k(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n})| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\lambda_i^k)_j |x_j - y_j|.$$

(H₂) : The functions $f_i^k : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

(H₃) : There exist nonnegative constants $(L_i^k)_{i=1, \dots, m}^{k=1, 2, \dots, n}$, such that:
for each $t \in J$ and all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$(2.2) \quad |f_i^k(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n})| \leq L_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, we consider the following quantities:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} O_k &= \frac{\Sigma_k}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)}, \quad O_k^* = \frac{\Sigma_k}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)}; \\ \Sigma_k &= \sum_{i=1}^m ((\lambda_i^k)_1 + (\lambda_i^k)_2 + \dots + (\lambda_i^k)_{2n}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A_k &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ A_k^* &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \beta_k) \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to prove the first main result:

Theorem 2.1. *If the hypothesis (H₁) and the inequality*

$$(2.5) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (O_k, O_k^*) < 1$$

are satisfied, then (1.1) has a unique solution on J.

Proof. Let us define the nonlinear operator $T : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t) := (T_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t), T_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t), \dots, T_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t)), \quad t \in J,$$

such that

$$T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t) =$$

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha_k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} \varphi_i^k(s) ds - \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha_k - n + 1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_k-n} \varphi_i^k(s) ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where,

$$\varphi_i^k(s) = f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1}x_1(s), D^{\beta_2}x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(s)).$$

We show that the operator T is contractive:

Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in S$. Then, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $t \in J$, we have:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & |T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t) - T_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(t)| \leq \\ & \frac{t^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1}x_1(s), D^{\beta_2}x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(s)) \right. \\ & \left. - f_i^k(s, y_1(s), y_2(s), \dots, y_n(s), D^{\beta_1}y_1(s), D^{\beta_2}y_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}y_n(s)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (H_1) , we can write

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - T_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((\lambda_i^k)_1 + (\lambda_i^k)_2 + \dots + (\lambda_i^k)_{2n} \right) \\ & \times \max(\|x_1 - y_1\|, \|x_2 - y_2\|, \dots, \|x_n - y_n\|, \|D^{\beta_1}(x_1 - y_1)\|, \|D^{\beta_2}(x_2 - y_2)\|, \dots, \|D^{\beta_n}(x_n - y_n)\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - T_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)\| \leq \\ & \frac{\sum_k}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} \left\| (x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_n, D^{\beta_1}(x_1 - y_1), D^{\beta_2}(x_2 - y_2), \dots, D^{\beta_n}(x_n - y_n)) \right\|_S. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & |D^{\beta_k} T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t) - D^{\beta_k} T_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)(t)| \leq \\ & \frac{t^{\alpha_k - \beta_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1}x_1(s), D^{\beta_2}x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(s)) \right. \\ & \left. - f_i^k(s, y_1(s), y_2(s), \dots, y_n(s), D^{\beta_1}y_1(s), D^{\beta_2}y_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}y_n(s)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\sum_k}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} \left\| (x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2, \dots, x_n - y_n, D^{\beta_1}(x_1 - y_1), D^{\beta_2}(x_2 - y_2), \dots, D^{\beta_n}(x_n - y_n)) \right\|_S.$$

Using (2.9) and (2.11), we get

$$(2.12) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (O_k, O_k^*) \left\| (x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_n - y_n, D^{\beta_1}(x_1 - y_1), \dots, D^{\beta_n}(x_n - y_n)) \right\|_S, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, by (2.5), we deduce that the operator T is contractive. Therefore, by the Banach fixed point theorem, T has a unique fixed point which is a solution of the system (1.1). \square

We also prove the result:

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that f_i^k , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ satisfy (H_2) and (H_3) . Then the nonlinear fractional system (1.1) has at least one solution on J .*

Proof. The proof will be given in two steps:

A : We show that T is completely continuous:

We begin by proving that T maps bounded sets into bounded sets in S : Let us consider the set $B_\delta := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S; \|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_S \leq \delta, \delta > 0\}$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B_\delta$. Then, for each $t \in J$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and using (H_3) , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \\ & \leq \frac{t^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} \times \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1}x_1(s), D^{\beta_2}x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(s)) \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1}x_1(s), D^{\beta_2}x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n}x_n(s)) \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!\Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k \\ & \leq A_k \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D^{\beta_k} T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \\
\leq & \frac{t^{\alpha_k - \beta_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} \times \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1} x_1(s), D^{\beta_2} x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n} x_n(s)) \right| \\
& + \frac{t^{n-\beta_k-1}}{\Gamma(n - \beta_k) \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} \sup_{s \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^k(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), \dots, x_n(s), D^{\beta_1} x_1(s), D^{\beta_2} x_2(s), \dots, D^{\beta_n} x_n(s)) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \beta_k) \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k \\
\leq & A_k^* \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k \quad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_S \leq \max(A_k, A_k^*) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k < \infty.$$

This means that T maps bounded sets into bounded sets in S .

Thanks to (H_2) , the operator T is continuous on S . On the other hand, for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B_\delta$, we have:

$$\|T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t_2) - T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t_1)\| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2.15) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha_k} + (t_2^{\alpha_k} - t_1^{\alpha_k})) + \frac{1}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} (t_2^{n-1} - t_1^{n-1}) \right) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D^{\beta_k} T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t_2) - D^{\beta_k} T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t_1)\| \leq \\
& (2.16) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha_k - \beta_k} + (t_2^{\alpha_k - \beta_k} - t_1^{\alpha_k - \beta_k})) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \beta_k) \Gamma(\alpha_k + 2 - n)} (t_2^{n - \beta_k - 1} - t_1^{n - \beta_k - 1}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The right-hand sides of (2.15) and (2.16) are independent of $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B_\delta$ and tend to zero as $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus T is equi-continuous. Finally, we can see by the above arguments that T is a completely continuous operator.

B: We consider the set $\Omega := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mu T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), 0 < \mu < 1\}$ and show that is bounded:

Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$, then $x_k(t) = \mu T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t)$. Thus, for each $t \in J$ and corresponding to (2.13) and (2.14), we have:

$$(2.17) \quad \|x_k\| \leq \mu A_k \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k; \quad \|D^{\beta_k} x_k\| \leq A_k^* \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

which implies

$$(2.18) \quad \|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_S \leq \mu \max \left(A_1 \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^1, A_2 \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^2, \dots, A_n \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^n, A_1^* \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^1, A_2^* \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^2, \dots, A_n^* \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^n \right) < \infty.$$

Therefore, Ω is bounded.

Consequently by the steps A, B and using lemma 2.4, we deduce that T has a fixed point which is a solution to (1.1). Theorem 2.2 is thus proved. \square

3. Examples

We present two examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 3.1. We begin with the system:

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{\frac{7}{3}} x_1(t) = \frac{|x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}} x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|}{9\pi^3 (1 + |x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}} x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|)} \\ + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e} \left(\frac{\sin(x_1(t)) + \sin(x_2(t)) + \sin(x_3(t))}{e^{t+1}} + \cos(D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t)) + \cos(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_2(t)) - \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)) \right), \quad t \in]0, 1[, \\ D^{\frac{9}{4}} x_2(t) = \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e^{2t+1}} \left(\sin(D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_2(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)) + \frac{|x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t)|}{\pi + |x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t)|} \right) \\ + \frac{t^2}{16\pi^2 e^{2t+1}} \left(\frac{\sin(x_1(t)) + \cos(x_2(t)) + \cos(x_3(t)) - \cos(D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_2(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t))}{e + \sin(x_1(t)) + \cos(x_2(t)) + \cos(x_3(t)) - \cos(D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_2(t)) + \sin(D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t))} \right), \quad t \in]0, 1[, \\ D^{\frac{8}{3}} x_3(t) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \left(\cos(x_1(t)) + \sin(x_2(t)) + \sin(x_3(t)) + \frac{|D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}} x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|}{1 + |D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}} x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{16\pi(t+e)^2} \left(\sin x_1(t) + \sin D^{\frac{4}{3}} x_1(t) + \sin D^{\frac{3}{2}} x_2(t) + \frac{|x_2(t) + x_3(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|}{3\pi e (1 + |x_2(t) + x_3(t) + D^{\frac{5}{3}} x_3(t)|)} \right), \quad t \in]0, 1[\\ x_k(0) = x'_k(0) = x''_k(1) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \right.$$

For this example, we have:

$$n = 3, m = 2, \alpha_1 = \frac{7}{3}, \alpha_2 = \frac{9}{4}, \alpha_3 = \frac{8}{3}, \beta_1 = \frac{4}{3}, \beta_2 = \frac{3}{2}, \beta_3 = \frac{5}{3}, J = [0, 1].$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.2) \quad f_1^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{|x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6|}{9\pi^3 (1 + |x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6|)},$$

$$(3.3) \quad f_2^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e} \left(\frac{\sin x_1 + \sin x_2 + \sin x_3}{e^{t+1}} + \cos x_4 + \cos x_5 - \sin x_6 \right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad f_1^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e^{2t+1}} \left(\sin x_4 + \sin x_5 + \sin x_6 + \frac{|x_1 + x_2 + x_3|}{\pi + |x_1 + x_2 + x_3|} \right),$$

$$(3.5) \quad f_2^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{t^2}{16\pi^2 e^{t^2+1}} \left(\frac{\sin x_1 + \cos x_2 + \cos x_3 - \cos x_4 + \sin x_5 + \sin x_6}{e + \sin x_1 + \cos x_2 + \cos x_3 - \cos x_4 + \sin x_5 + \sin x_6} \right),$$

$$(3.6) \quad f_1^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \left(\cos x_1 + \sin x_2 + \sin x_3 + \frac{|x_4 + x_5 + x_6|}{(1 + |x_4 + x_5 + x_6|)} \right)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad f_2^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = \frac{1}{16\pi(t+e)^2} \left(\sin x_1 + \sin x_4 + \sin x_5 + \frac{|x_2 + x_3 + x_6|}{3\pi e(1 + |x_2 + x_3 + x_6|)} \right).$$

So, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \in \mathbb{R}^6$, we have:

$$\left| f_1^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_1^1(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{9\pi^3} |x_6 - y_6|,$$

$$\left| f_2^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_2^1(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e^2} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e^2} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e^2} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e} |x_6 - y_6|,$$

$$\left| f_1^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_1^2(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{24\pi^2 e} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{24\pi^2 e} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{24\pi^2 e} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e} |x_6 - y_6|,$$

$$\left| f_2^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_2^2(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |x_6 - y_6|,$$

$$\left| f_1^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_1^3(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{32\pi} |x_6 - y_6|,$$

and

$$\left| f_2^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) - f_2^3(t, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6) \right| \leq$$

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{16\pi e^2} |x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{48\pi^2 e^3} |x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{48\pi^2 e^3} |x_3 - y_3| + \frac{1}{16\pi e^2} |x_4 - y_4| + \frac{1}{16\pi e^2} |x_5 - y_5| + \frac{1}{48\pi^2 e^3} |x_6 - y_6|.$$

We can take:

$$(3.14) \quad (\lambda_1^1)_1 = (\lambda_1^1)_2 = (\lambda_1^1)_3 = (\lambda_1^1)_4 = (\lambda_1^1)_5 = (\lambda_1^1)_6 = \frac{1}{9\pi^3},$$

$$(3.15) \quad (\lambda_2^1)_1 = (\lambda_2^1)_2 = (\lambda_2^1)_3 = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e^2}, \quad (\lambda_2^1)_4 = (\lambda_2^1)_5 = (\lambda_2^1)_6 = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 e},$$

$$(3.16) \quad (\lambda_1^2)_1 = (\lambda_1^2)_2 = (\lambda_1^2)_3 = \frac{1}{24\pi^2 e}, \quad (\lambda_1^2)_4 = (\lambda_1^2)_5 = (\lambda_1^2)_6 = \frac{1}{24\pi^3 e},$$

$$(3.17) \quad (\lambda_2^2)_1 = (\lambda_2^2)_2 = (\lambda_2^2)_3 = (\lambda_2^2)_4 = (\lambda_2^2)_5 = (\lambda_2^2)_6 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2},$$

$$(3.18) \quad (\lambda_1^3)_1 = (\lambda_1^3)_2 = (\lambda_1^3)_3 = (\lambda_1^3)_4 = (\lambda_1^3)_5 = (\lambda_1^3)_6 = \frac{1}{32\pi},$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad (\lambda_2^3)_1 = (\lambda_2^3)_4 = (\lambda_2^3)_5 = \frac{1}{16\pi e^2}, \quad (\lambda_2^3)_2 = (\lambda_2^3)_3 = (\lambda_2^3)_6 = \frac{1}{48\pi^2 e^3}.$$

It follows that:

$$(3.20) \quad \Sigma_1 = 0.023891, \quad \Sigma_2 = 0.044138, \quad \Sigma_3 = 0.068076.$$

Since

$$(3.21) \quad \Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1) = 2.778062, \quad \Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1) = 2.549257, \quad \Gamma(\alpha_3 + 1) = 4.012356,$$

$$(3.22) \quad \Gamma(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 + 1) = 1, \quad \Gamma(\alpha_2 - \beta_2 + 1) = 0.919062, \quad \Gamma(\alpha_3 - \beta_3 + 1) = 1,$$

then it yields that:

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} O_1 &= 0.008599, \quad O_2 = 0.009372, \quad O_3 = 0.005954, \\ O_1^* &= 0.023891, \quad O_2^* = 0.048025, \quad O_3^* = 0.068076, \end{aligned}$$

$$\max(O_1, O_2, O_3, O_1^*, O_2^*, O_3^*) < 1.$$

The condition (2.5) is satisfied. So by Theorem 2.1, we deduce that the system (3.1) has a unique solution on $[0, 1]$.

Example 3.2. To illustrate the second main result, let us consider the system:

$$(3.24) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^{\frac{9}{4}}x_1(t) = \frac{\pi(t+1) \sin(D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t))}{2 - \cos(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t))} \\ \quad + \frac{e^t(t^2+1)}{2\pi + \cos(x_2(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t)) \cos(x_3(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t)) + \sin^2(x_1(t)D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t))}, \quad t \in]0, 1[, \\ D^{\frac{7}{3}}x_2(t) = \frac{e^2 \sin(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t))}{2\pi + \cos(D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t))} \\ \quad + \frac{3t^2 \cos(x_2(t) + x_3(t))}{e^{t^2+1} - \cos(x_1(t) - D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t))}, \quad t \in]0, 1[, \\ D^{\frac{5}{2}}x_3(t) = \frac{\sin(x_3(t))}{2e + \cos(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t))} \\ \quad + \cos(x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t)) \sin(D^{\frac{3}{2}}x_1(t) + D^{\frac{4}{3}}x_2(t) + D^{\frac{5}{4}}x_3(t)), \quad t \in]0, 1[, \\ x_k(0) = x'_k(0) = x''_k(1) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \end{array} \right.$$

We have: $n = 3$, $m = 2$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{9}{4}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{3}{2}$; $\alpha_2 = \frac{7}{3}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{4}{3}$, $\alpha_3 = \frac{5}{2}$, $\beta_3 = \frac{5}{4}$, $J = [0, 1]$.

Since

$$(3.25) \quad \left| f_1^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = \left| \frac{\pi (t+1) \sin(x+x_5+x_6)}{2 - \cos(x_1+x_2+x_3)} \right| \leq 2\pi,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \left| f_2^1(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = \left| \frac{e^t (t^2 + 1)}{2\pi + \cos(x_2+x_5) \cos(x_3+x_6) + \sin^2(x_1x_4)} \right| \leq \frac{e}{\pi},$$

$$(3.27) \quad \left| f_1^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = \left| \frac{e^2 \sin(x_1+x_2+x_3)}{2\pi + \cos(x_4+x_5+x_6)} \right| \leq \frac{e^2}{2\pi - 1},$$

$$(3.28) \quad \left| f_2^2(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = \left| \frac{3t^2 \cos(x_2+x_3)}{e^{t^2+1} - \cos(x_1-x_4+x_5+x_6)} \right| \leq \frac{3}{e-1},$$

$$\left| f_1^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = \left| \frac{\sin x_3}{2e + \cos(x_1+x_2+x_4+x_5+x_6)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2e-1}$$

and

$$\left| f_2^3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \right| = |\cos(x_1+x_2+x_3) \sin(x_4+x_5+x_6)| \leq 1,$$

then, we can see that the functions $(f_i^k)_{i=1,2}^{k=1,2,3}$ are continuous and bounded on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^6$. So, by Theorem 2.2, the system (3.24) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

REFERENCES

1. A.P. Agrawal, M. Benchohra and B.A. Slimani: *Existence Results For Differential Equations With Fractional Order And Impulses*, Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys., 44, (2008), pp., 1-21.
2. A.P. Agrawal, J.A. Tenreiro Machado, J. Sabatier: *Fractional Derivatives And Their Applications*, Nonlinear Dynamics, Vol. 38, No. 1-4, (2004), pp., 14-24.
3. A. Anber, S. Belarbi, Z. Dahmani: *New Existence And Uniqueness Results For Fractional Differential Equations*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constant, (2013), pp., 33-41.
4. C.T.H. Baker, K. Burrage, N.J. Ford: *Evolutionary Problems*, Journal Of Computational And Applied Mathematics, Vol. 205, No. 2, (2007), pp., 377-427.
5. D. Baleanu, S. Zahra Nazemi and S. Rezapour: *The Existence Of Solution For A n Dimensional System Of Multiterm Fractional Integrodifferential Equations With Antiperiodic Boundary Value Problems*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol (2013), 7 pages.
6. S. Belarbi, Z. Dahmani: *Existence Of Solutions For Multi-Points Fractional Evolution Equations*, Appl. Appl. Math., Vol. 9, (2014), pp., 416-427.
7. M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, S.K. Ntouyas: *Boundary Value Problems For Differential Equations With Fractional Order And Nonlocal Conditions*, Nonlinear Anal., 71, (2009), pp., 2391-2396.
8. M.E. Bengrine, Z. Dahmani: *Boundary Value Problem For Fractional Differential Equations*, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., 5(4), (2012), pp., 7-15.

9. A. Blumen, J. Klafter, R. Hilfer, R. Metzler: *Strange Kinetics*, Chemical Physics, Vol. 284, No.1, (2002), pp., 15-31, 104-301.
10. Z. Cui, P. Yu, Z. Mao: *Existence Of Solutions for Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems Of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations*, Advances In Dynamical Systems And Applications, 7(1), (2012), pp., 31-40.
11. Z. Dahmani, L. Tabharit: *Fractional Order Differential Equations Involving Caputo Derivative, Theory And Applications Of Mathematics & Computer Science*, 4 (1), (2014), pp., 40-55.
12. M. Gaber, M.G. Brikaa: *Existence Results For A Coupled System Of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equation With Three Point Boundary Conditions*, Journal of Fractional Calculus And Applications, Vol. 3 (21), (2012), pp., 1-10.
13. R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi: *Fractional Calculus: Integral And Differential Equations Of Fractional Order*, Fractals And Fractional Calculus In Continuum Mechanics. Springer-Verlag Vienna, (1997), pp., 291-348.
14. R. Hilfer: *Applications Of Fractional Calculus In Physics*, World Scientific, River Edge, New Jersey, (2000).
15. M. Houas, Z. Dahmani: *New Results For A Coupled System Of Fractional Differential Equations*, Ser. Math. Inform., Vol. 28, No. 2, (2013), pp., 133-150.
16. M. Houas, Z. Dahmani: *New Fractional Results For A Boundary Value Problem With Caputo Derivative*, Int. J. open Problems Compt. Math., 6(2), (2013), pp., 30-42.
17. M. Houas, Z. Dahmani, M. Benbachir: *New Results For A Boundary Value Problem For Differential Equations Of Arbitrary Order*, International Journal of Modern Mathematical Sciences, 7(2), (2013), pp., 195-211.
18. M. Houas, Z. Dahmani: *New Results For A Caputo Boundary Value Problem*, American Journal of Computational And Applied Mathematics, 3(3), (2013), pp., 143-161.
19. W.H. Jiang: *Solvability For A Coupled System Of Fractional Differential Equations At Resonance*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 13, (2012), pp., 2285-2292.
20. A.A. Kilbas, S.A. Marzan: *Nonlinear Differential Equation With The Caputo Fraction Derivative In The Space Of Continuously Differentiable Functions*, Differ. Equat., 41(1), (2005), pp., 84-89.
21. M. Li, Y. Liu: *Existence And Uniqueness Of Positive Solutions For A Coupled System Of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations*, Open Journal Of Applied Sciences, 3, (2013), pp., 53-61.
22. F. Mainardi: *Fractional Calculus: Some Basic Problems In Continuum And Statistical Mechanics*, Fractals and Fractional Calculus In Continuum Mechanics, (1999).
23. K.S. Miller, B. Ross: *An Introduction To The Fractional Calculus And Fractional Differential Equations*. Wiley, New York, (1993).
24. K.B. Oldham, J. Spanier: *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, (1974).
25. M.D. Ortigueira, J.A. Tenreiro Machado: *Fractional Signal Processing And Applications*, Signal Processing, Vol. 83, No. 11, (2003), pp., 2285-2480.
26. M.D. Ortigueira, J.A. Tenreiro Machado: *Fractional Calculus Applications In Signals And Systems*, Signal Processing, Vol. 86, No. 10, (2006), pp., 2503-3094.
27. A. Oustaloup, F. Levron, B. Mathieu, F.M. Nanot: *Frequency-Band Complex Noninteger-Differentiator: Characterization And Synthesis*. IEEE Transactions On Circuits And Systems I, 47(1), (2000), pp., 25-39.

28. L. Podlubny: *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, (1999).
29. Y.A. Rossikhin, M.V. Shitikova: *Applications Of Fractional Calculus To Dynamic Problems Of Linear And Nonlinear Hereditary Mechanics Of Solids*, Applied Mechanics Reviews 50, (1997), pp., 15-67.
30. D.R. Smart: *Fixed point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, (1980).
31. L. Sommacal, P. Melchior, A. Oustaloup, J.M. Cabelguen, A.J. Ijspeert: *Fractional Multi-Models Of The Frog Gastrocnemius Muscle*, Journal Of Vibration And Control, 14(9-10), (2008), pp., 1415-1430.
32. J.A. Tenreiro Machado: *Fractional Order Systems*, Nonlinear Dynamics, Vol. 29, No. 1-4, (2002), pp., 13-23.
33. G.T. Wang, B. Ahmad and L. Zhang: *A Coupled System Of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations With Multi Point Fractional Boundary conditions On An Bounded Domain*, App. Anal., Article ID 248709, 2012, (2012), 11 pages.
34. Y.H. Zhang, Z.B. Bai: *Existence Of Solutions For Nonlinear Fractional Three Point Boundary Value Problems At Resonance*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 36, (2011), pp., 417-440.

Zoubir DAHMANI
Laboratory LPAM
Faculty of SEI
UMAB, University of Mostaganem
zzdahmani@yahoo.fr

Amele TAIEB
Faculty of SEI
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
UMAB, University of Mostaganem
taieb5555@yahoo.com