

PROPERTIES OF A NEW SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTION ASSOCIATED TO RAFID - OPERATOR AND q -DERIVATIVE

Mohammad Hassn Golmohammadi and Shahram Najafzadeh

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Department of Pure Mathematics,
Payame Noor University, P. O. Bax: 19395 - 3697, Tehran, Iran

Abstract. In this article, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions, using the exponent operators of Rafid and q -derivative. The coefficient estimates, extreme points, convex linear combination, radii of starlikeness, convexity and finally integral have been investigated.

Keywords: Rafid - operator, q -derivative, q -integral, univalent function, coefficient bound, convex set, partial sum.

1. Introduction

The theory of univalent functions can be described by using the theory of the q -calculus. In recent years, such q -calculus as the q -integral and q -derivative have been used to construct several subclasses of analytic functions [1, 6, 11, 12]. The theory of q -analysis has motivated the researchers owing to many branches of mathematics and physics. For example, in the areas of special functions, q -difference, q -integral equations, optimal control problems, q -difference, q -integral equations, q -transform analysis and in quantum physics see for instance, [7, 8, 10, 14].

The main subject of the present paper is to introduce and investigate a new subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disk U by using the operators Rafid and q -derivative. Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ in the form of:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_k z^k,$$

Received August 4, 2020; accepted November 26, 2020.

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Hassn Golmohammadi, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Department of Pure Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P. O. Bax: 19395 - 3697, Tehran, Iran | E-mail: golmohamadi@pnu.ac.ir

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D15; Secondary 20F14

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, the q -derivative, $0 < q < 1$, of $f(z)$ is defined by Gasper and Rahman [5].

$$(1.2) \quad D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} & (z \neq 0) \\ f'(0) & (z = 0). \end{cases}$$

where $z \in U$ and $0 < q < 1$.

Let $T(p)$ be the class of all p -valent functions of the form

$$(1.3) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n z^n \quad a_n \geq 0,$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

If $f \in T(p)$ is given by Equation (1.3) and $g \in T(p)$ is given by

$$(1.4) \quad g(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} b_n z^n \quad b_n \geq 0,$$

then the Hadamard product $f * g$ of f and g is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad (f * g)(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

From Equation (1.2) for a function $f(z)$ given by Equation(1.3) we get

$$(1.6) \quad D_q f(z) = [p]_q z^{p-1} - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \quad , \quad z \in U,$$

where

$$[p]_q := \frac{1 - q^p}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{p-1},$$

and

$$[n]_q := \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1}.$$

Also $[p]_q \rightarrow p$ and $[n]_q \rightarrow n$ as $q \rightarrow \bar{1}$. So we conclude that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \bar{1}} D_q f(z) = f'(z) \quad , \quad z \in U,$$

see also [13].

Waggas and Rafid defined the Rafid-operator of a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ by

$$(1.7) \quad R_\mu^\theta(f(z)) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(1-\mu)^{n-1} \Gamma(\theta, n)}{\Gamma(\theta+1)} a_n z^n.$$

See for instance, [2, 3, 4].

By using Rafid and q -derivative operators, we define the $R_\mu^\theta D_q(f(z))$ for a function $f \in T(p)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1. The Rafid-operator of $f \in T(p)$, is denoted by $R_\mu^\theta D_q$ and defined as following:

$$(1.8) \quad R_\mu^\theta D_q(f(z)) = \frac{z}{[p]_q(1-\mu)^{p+\theta+1} \Gamma(p+\theta+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\theta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{1-\mu}\right)} D_q(f(zt)) dt$$

Then it is easy to deduce the series representation of the function $R_\mu^\theta(f(z))$ as following:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} R_\mu^\theta D_q(f(z)) &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{[n]_q(1-\mu)^{n-p} \Gamma(n+\theta+1)}{[p]_q \Gamma(p+\theta+1)} a_n z^n \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) = \frac{[n]_q(1-\mu)^{n-p} \Gamma(n+\theta+1)}{[p]_q \Gamma(p+\theta+1)}.$$

We now define a new subclass $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ of analytic functions of $T(p)$ by using the operators Rafid and q -derivative. Let $f(z) \in T(p)$ is said to be in the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ if and only if it satisfies the inequality:

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))'' + z (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))'}{z (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))' + (1-\lambda)(R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))} - (1-\beta) \right| \leq \alpha.$$

Here, $0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $\beta < 1$.

2. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we suppose throughout this paper that $0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $\beta < 1$. First we state coefficient estimates on the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in T(p)$, then $f(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \leq 1 - 2\lambda.$$

Proof. Suppose $f(z)$ defined by Equation (1.3) and $f(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, then Equation (1.11) holds true, we have

$$\left| \frac{[(2 - \beta)\lambda + 2\beta - 1]z^p}{(2 - \lambda)z^p - (n - \lambda + 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n} - \frac{[n(1 - n) + \beta - 1]\lambda + 1 - (1 + n)\beta \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} n(n - 1)M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n}{(2 - \lambda)z^p - (n - \lambda + 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n} \right| < \alpha.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$ for all z ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{[(2 - \beta)\lambda + 2\beta - 1]z^p}{(2 - \lambda)z^p - (n - \lambda + 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n} - \frac{[n(1 - n) + \beta - 1]\lambda + 1 - (1 + n)\beta \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} n(n - 1)M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n}{(2 - \lambda)z^p - (n - \lambda + 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n} \right\} < \alpha.$$

By letting $z \rightarrow \bar{1}$ through real values, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \leq 1 - 2\lambda.$$

Conversely, let Equation (2.1) holds true, it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} X(f) &= \left| \lambda z^2 (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))'' + z (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))' \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \beta) [z (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))' + (1 - \lambda) (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))] \right| \\ &\quad - \alpha \left| z (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z)))' + (1 - \lambda) (R_\mu^\theta(D_q(f * g)(z))) \right| \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

But for $0 < |z| = r < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(f) &= \left| [(2 - \beta)\lambda [z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} n(n - 1)M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} nM(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \beta) ([z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} nM(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \lambda) [z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} nM(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n]) \right| \\ &\quad - \alpha \left([z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} nM(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \lambda) [z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} nM(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n z^n] \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) |a_n| |b_n| r^n \\ &\quad - (1 - 2\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since the above inequality holds for all r ($0 < r < 1$), by letting $r \rightarrow \bar{1}$ and using Equation(2.1) we obtain $X(f) \leq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.1. *If function $f(z)$ of the form Equation (1.3) belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{\left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n}$$

where

$$M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) = \frac{[n]_q(1 - \mu)^{n-p}\Gamma(n + \theta + 1)}{[p]_q\Gamma(p + \theta + 1)}, \quad n \geq p + 1.$$

With the equality for the function

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{\left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n} z^p$$

Next we obtain extreme points and convex linear combination property for $f(z)$ belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.2. *The function $f(z)$ of the form Equation (1.3) belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ if and only if it can be expressed by*

$$f(z) = \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z), \quad \sigma_n \geq 1, \quad \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1$$

where

$$f_1(z) = z^p,$$

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{\left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n} z^k, \quad (n \geq p + 1).$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ &= \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \sigma_n \left[z^n - \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{\left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n} \right] z^n \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1 - 2\lambda}{\left[(n(n - 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n + 1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n} \right] \sigma_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Theorem 2.1 to conclude that $f(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$. Conversely, if $f(z)$ given by Equation (1.3) belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, by letting

$$\sigma_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \sigma_n,$$

where

$$\sigma_k = \frac{\left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) b_n}{1 - 2\lambda} a_n \quad (n \geq p+1).$$

we conclude the required result. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let for $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $f_t(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_{n,t} z^n$ belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, then $F(z) = \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t f_t(z)$ is also in the same class, where $\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t = 1$. Hence $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ is a convex set.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 for every $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_{n,t} b_n \leq 1 - 2\lambda.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t f_t(z) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t \left(z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,t} z^n \right) \\ &= z^p \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t a_{n,t} \right) z^n \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t a_{n,t} \right) z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] \\ & \times M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_{n,t} b_n \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \sigma_n a_{k,n} \right) \\ = & \sum_{n=1}^m \sigma_n \left(\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] \right. \\ & \left. \times M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_{n,t} b_n \right) \\ \leq & \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t (1 - 2\lambda) = (1 - 2\lambda) \sum_{t=1}^k \sigma_t = 1 - 2\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 2.1 the proof is complete. \square

3. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

In this section we obtain radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, convexity and investigate about partial sum property.

In the proof of next theorem, we need the Alexander’s Theorem. This theorem states that if f is an analytic function in the unit disk and normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, then $f(z)$ is convex if and only if $zf'(z)$ is starlike.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(z)$ of the form Equation (1.3) belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ then*

(i) $f(z)$ is p -valently close-to-convex of order γ in $|z| < R_1$, where $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$R_1 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p - \gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n}{n(1 - 2\lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

(ii) $f(z)$ is p -valently starlike of order γ in $|z| < R_2$, where $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$R_2 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p - \gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n}{(n - \gamma)(1 - 2\lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

(iii) $f(z)$ is p -valently convex of order γ in $|z| < R_3$, where $0 \leq \gamma < p$ and

$$R_3 = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{p(p - \gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha + \beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n}{n(n - \gamma)(1 - 2\lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}.$$

Proof. (i) For close-to-convexity it is enough to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| < p - \gamma,$$

but

$$\left| \frac{zf'}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| = \left| \frac{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} na_n |z|^{n-pz^{p-1}}}{z^{p-1}} \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} na_n |z|^{n-p} \leq p - \gamma,$$

or $\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{n}{p-\gamma} a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq 1$. By using Equation (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{n}{p-\gamma} a_n |z|^{n-p} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{n(1-2\lambda)|z|^{n-p}}{(p-\gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right]} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, it is enough to suppose

$$\begin{aligned} & |z|^{n-p} \\ & \leq \frac{(p-\gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n}{n(1-2\lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the case (i).

(ii) For starlikeness it is enough to show that $\left| \frac{zf'}{f} - p \right| < p - \gamma$.

But

$$\left| \frac{zf'}{f} - p \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} (n-p)a_n z^n}{z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n z^n} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} (n-p)a_n |z|^{n-p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n |z|^{n-p}} \leq p - \gamma.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} (n-p)a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq (p-\gamma) \left(1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n |z|^{n-p} \right),$$

or

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{n-\gamma}{p-\gamma} a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq 1.$$

Now by Equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{n-\gamma}{p-\gamma} a_n |z|^{n-p} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(n-\gamma)(1-2\lambda)|z|^{n-p}}{(p-\gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right]} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, it is enough to suppose

$$|z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(p-\gamma) \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n}{(n-\gamma)(1-2\lambda)}.$$

Hence we get the required result.

(iii) For convexity, by Alexander's Theorem and by applying an easy calculation, we reach the required result. Hence the result. \square

Theorem 3.2. The class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ is a convex set.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} b_n z^n$, be in the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$. For $t \in (0, 1)$, it is enough to show that the function $h(z) = (1-t)f(z) + tg(z)$ is in the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$. Since $h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} ((1-t)a_n + tb_n)z^n$,

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) ((1-t)a_n + tb_n) b_n \leq (1-2\lambda)$$

and so $h(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$. \square

Corollary 3.1. Let $f_k(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, defined by $f_k(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_{n,k} z^n$ be in the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, then the function $F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k f_k(z)$ is also in $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, where $\sum_{k=1}^m c_k = 1$.

4. Integral operators on $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$

In this section we investigate properties of functions in the class $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, involving the familiar operator $F_c(z)$.

Theorem 4.1. If $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ belongs to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$, then the function $F_c(z)$ defined by $F_c(z) = \frac{c+p}{z^c} \int_0^1 t^c f(tz) dt$, $c \geq 1$, is also in $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$.

Proof. Since $f(z)$ belong to $T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_c(z) &= \frac{p+c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} [z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n t^n] dt, \quad c > 1, \\ &= \frac{p+c}{z^c} \int_0^z [t^{p+c-1} - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n t^{n+c-1}] dt \\ &= \frac{p+c}{z^c} \left[\frac{1}{p+c} t^{p+c} - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n+c} t^{n+c} \right]_0^z \\ &= \frac{p+c}{z^c} \left[\frac{1}{p+c} z^{p+c} - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n+c} z^{n+c} \right] \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{p+c}{n+c} a_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{p+c}{n+c} < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \frac{p+c}{n+c} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{+\infty} \left[(n(n-1) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1)\lambda + (n+1)(\alpha+\beta) - 1 \right] M(n, p, q, \mu, \theta) a_n b_n \\ &\leq (1 - 2\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. \square

Corollary 4.1. *If $f(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$ and $F_c(z)$ is defined as $F_c(z) = c \int_0^1 v^c f(vz) dv$, $c \geq 1$. Then $F_c(z) \in T_{p,q}R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \theta)$.*

REFERENCES

1. E. S. AQLAN: *Some problems connected with geometric function theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, Pune University, 2004.
2. W. G. ATSHAN and R. H. BUTI: *Fractional calculus of a class of univalent functions with negative coefficients defined by Hadamard product with Rafid-operator*, European J. Pure Appl. Math. 4(2) (2011), 162-173.
3. A. H. EL-QADEEM and S. K. AL-GHAZAL: *On subclasse of p -valent functions with negative coefficients defined by using Rafid operator*, Comput. Math. Appl. (2019).

4. A. H. EL-QADEEM and S. K. AL-GHAZAL: *Some aspect of certain two subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by using Rafid operator*, arXiv:1904.07913v1.
5. G. GASPER and M. RAHMAN: *Basic Hypergeometric series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
6. F. H. JACKSON: *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 46 (2) (1909), 253-281.
7. F. H. JACKSON: *On q -definite integrals*, Q. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1910), 193-203.
8. F. H. JACKSON: *q -Difference equations*, Am. J. Math., 32 (1910), 305-314.
9. A. E. LIVINGSTON: *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 99- 107.
10. J. L. LIU: *The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 261 (2001), 441-447.
11. R. J. LIBERA: *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1969), 755-758.
12. Z. S. I. GASPER: *Linear sequential q -difference equations of fractional order*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 12 (2) (2009), 159–178.
13. A. O. MOSTAFA, H. M. AOUF and T. BULBOACA: *Convolution conditions for subelasse of mermorphic functions of complex order associated with basic Bessel functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc 25 (2017), 286-290.
14. T. M. SEUDY and M. K. AOUF: *Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q -derivative operator*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014 (2014), Art. ID 846719, 1-7.