

IS3. Therapeutic efficiency of essential oils against *Helicobacter pylori* infections

Neda Mimica-Dukić^{1*}, Nataša Simin¹, Dejan Orčić¹, Marija Lesjak¹,
Petar Knežević², Verica Aleksić-Sabo², Krisztina Buzas³

Keywords: *Helicobacter pylori*, essential oils, linalool, thymol

Helicobacter pylori, a Gram-negative bacterium found in the stomach, is the cause of more than 90% of duodenal and 80% of gastric ulcers, and the major risk factor for gastric carcinoma and primary gastric lymphoma. Antibiotic therapy for treating *H. pylori* infections, the only available in current medical practice, has multiple disadvantages: lack of efficacy, development of resistance, adverse effects, and possible recurrence of the disease. Furthermore, the treatment is often associated with gastrointestinal side effects [1]. Consequently, there is a growing interest in the development of new antimicrobial therapeutic agents, more efficient against *H. pylori*, preferably of natural origin. Good candidates for that purpose are the volatile compounds present in essential oils. Due to the complexity of their composition, bacteria rarely develop resistance toward them [2]. Here, we reported the results of the efficacy of various essential oils, and their mixtures, against *H. pylori*. The highest *in vitro* activity was shown by *Satureja hortensis*, *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* and *O. vulgare* subsp. *hirtum* essential oils. Furthermore, their binary and ternary mixtures exhibited notably higher antimicrobial activities [3]. The activity of the binary mixture of *S. hortensis* and *O. vulgare* subsp. *hirtum* essential oils (2MIX) was confirmed by an *in vivo* study in a mouse model, where changes in *H. pylori* colonization were detected by PCR and histological analyses of gastric samples. Furthermore, 2MIX show neither *in vitro* nor *in vivo* toxicity and do not have any immunomodulatory or allergic effect [4].

References:

- [1] Armuzzi, A. et al., 2001. *Digestion* 63, 1–7.
- [2] Ohno, T. et al., 2003. *Helicobacter* 8, 207–215.
- [3] Lesjak, M. et al., 2016. *Phytother. Res.* 30, 476–484.
- [4] Harmati, M. et al., 2017. *Helicobacter*, 22, e12350.

Acknowledgments: This study was co-financed by the EU, HUSRB/1203/214/230.

¹Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Environmental protection, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Serbia; ²Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Serbia; ³Laboratory of Microscopic Image Analysis and Machine Learning, Institute of Biochemistry, Biological Research Centre, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Temesvari krt. 62., H-6726, Szeged, Hungary.

*Corresponding author: neda.mimica-dukcic@dh.uns.ac.rs