FACTA UNIVERSITATIS



Series: **Physics, Chemistry and Technology** Vol. 16, N° 1, Special Issue, 2018, p. 118 49th International Symposium on Essential Oils (ISEO2018) • Book of Abstracts

PP54. Juniper essential-oil composition and bioactivity as a function of species and sex

Ivanka Semerdjieva^{1*}, Valtcho D. Zheljazkov², Tzenka Radoukova³, Miroslava Kacaniova⁴, Vasilena Maneva⁵, Tess Astatkie⁶, Ivayla Dincheva⁷, Vicki Schlegel⁸, Neshka Piperkova¹

Keywords: α-pinene; limonene; oxygenated hydrocarbons, sesquiterpenes

There are 10 species of juniper (Juniperus L., Cupressaceae) in the European flora, six of which (J. communis, J. oxycedrus, J. pygmaea, J. sabina, J. sibirica, and J. excelsa) are found in the Flora of Bulgaria. Of these, J. excelsa is monoecious and the other five species are dioecious. The objective of this study was to compare the essential oil (EO) composition, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and insecticidal activities of the male (M) and female (F) plants from the five dioecious species. A secondary objective was to compare extraction methods; Clevenger vs semi-commercial steam distillation on the EO yield, profile, and bioactivity of the juniper species. The concentration of α -pinene, β-caryophyllene, δ-cadinene, and δ-cadinol was different between the oils of M and F plants within all five species. Male and F plants of J. pygmaea, J. sabina, and J. sibirica had significantly different concentrations of sabinene within the respective species. In some cases, the EO of M and F plants had different antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. The extraction method, Clevenger vs semi-commercial steam distillation was also significant on the antioxidant capacity of the EOs within most juniper species. Overall, the EO obtained from the semi-commercial extraction was more consistent within a species with respect to antioxidant capacity compared with the oils obtained using the Clevenger-type extraction. However, the oils obtained via Clevenger extraction showed greater antioxidant capacity within a species compared with those from semicommercial extraction. In two separate experiments, seven of the juniper oils (J. communis-F, J. communis-M, J. oxycedrus-M, J. pygmaea-F, J. pygmaea-M, J. sibirica-F, and J. sibirica-M) were tested for repellent and insecticidal activities against Rhopalosiphum padi (bird cherry-oat aphid) and Sitobion avenae (English grain aphid). All of the tested oils had significant repellent and insecticidal activities against the two aphid species at concentrations of the EO in the solution at 1%, 2.5%, and 5%. The results suggest that when reporting EO composition, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and insecticidal activity of juniper EO, the sex of the tree and the extraction method needs to be indicated along with the species. The results may benefit industry utilizing juniper leaf oil for new product development.

¹Agricultural University, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria; ²Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA; ³University of Plovdiv Paisii Hilendarski, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria; ⁴Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic; ⁵Institute of Agriculture, Karnobat, Bulgaria; ⁶Dalhousie University, PO Box 550, Truro, NS, Canada B2N 5E3; ⁷AgroBioInstitute, Agricultural Academy, 1164, Sofia, Bulgaria; ⁸University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Food Innovation Center, Lincoln, NE 68588, U.S.A.

^{*}Corresponding author: v_semerdjieva@abv.bg