

$SO(2,3)$ NONCOMMUTATIVE GRAVITY MODEL *

Marija Dimitrijević and Voja Radovanović

Abstract. In this paper the noncommutative gravity is treated as a gauge theory of the noncommutative $SO(2,3)_*$ group, while the noncommutativity is canonical. The Seiberg-Witten (SW) map is used to express noncommutative fields in terms of the corresponding commutative fields. The commutative limit of the model is the Einstein-Hilbert action plus the cosmological term and the topological Gauss-Bonnet term. We calculate the second order correction to this model and obtain terms that are zeroth, first, ... and fourth power of the curvature tensor. Finally, we discuss physical consequences of those correction terms in the limit of big cosmological constant.

1. Introduction

Recently, lot of attention was given to the Anti de Sitter (AdS) gauge theory and its application to studies of General Relativity (GR), quantization of gravity, AdS/CFT and its applications [2]. In our previous paper [3], we begun the study of noncommutative (NC) gravity based on the AdS gauge group. We started from the MacDowell-Mansouri action in the commutative space-time and generalized it to the NC MacDowell-Mansouri on the canonically deformed space. In this paper briefly describe the NC $SO(2,3)_*$ gauge theory. More details can be found in [4].

The NC space-time is the canonically deformed space-time with the Moyal-Weyl \star -product given by

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) \star g(x) = e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu}} f(x)g(y)|_{y \rightarrow x}.$$

Here $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a constant antisymmetric matrix and is considered to be a small deformation parameter. Indices μ, ν take values 0, 1, 2, 3 and the four dimensional Minkowski metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. In the next section we shortly describe the commutative $SO(2,3)$ gravity theory. In Section 3 the NC $SO(2,3)_*$

Received June 20, 2014.

UDC 537.6/.8:530.12

*The authors were supported in part by the project 171031 of the Serbian Ministry of Education and Science.

gauge theory via the SW map is introduced. We expand the NC action to the second order in the deformation parameter $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$ and calculate the correction terms to the commutative action. The first order correction vanishes and we confirm the results already present in the literature. Namely, it was shown that if reality of the NC gravity action is imposed, all odd order corrections (in the NC parameter) have to vanish. The first non-vanishing correction is then the second order correction. The correction terms we obtain are of the zeroth, first, \dots and fourth power in the curvature tensor and are written in a manifestly covariant way. The term that is the zeroth power in the curvature tensor renormalizes the cosmological constant, that is we obtain a x -dependent cosmological constant.

2. Commutative gravity as a $SO(2,3)$ gauge theory

We assume that the space-time has the structure of the 4 dimensional Minkowski space M_4 and follow the usual steps for constructing a gauge theory on M_4 taking the $SO(2,3)$ group as the gauge group. The gauge field takes values in the $SO(2,3)$ algebra, $\omega_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{AB}M_{AB}$. Here M_{AB} are the generators of the $SO(2,3)$ group and they fulfill

$$(2.1) \quad [M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = i(\eta_{AD}M_{BC} + \eta_{BC}M_{AD} - \eta_{AC}M_{BD} - \eta_{BD}M_{AC}).$$

The 5D metric is $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -, +)$. Indices A, B, \dots take values 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, while indices a, b, \dots take values 0, 1, 2, 3. A representation of this algebra is given by

$$(2.2) \quad M_{ab} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b] = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ab}, \quad M_{5a} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_a,$$

where γ_a are four dimensional Dirac gamma matrices. Then the gauge potential ω_μ^{AB} decomposes into ω_μ^{ab} and ω_μ^{a5}

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{AB}M_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}\omega_\mu^{ab}\sigma_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\omega_\mu^{a5}\gamma_a.$$

The field strength tensor is defined in the usual way by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu\omega_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu - i[\omega_\mu, \omega_\nu] = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}M_{AB} \\ &= \left(R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \frac{1}{l^2}(e_\mu^a e_\nu^b - e_\mu^b e_\nu^a) \right) \frac{\sigma_{ab}}{4} - F_{\mu\nu}^{a5} \frac{\gamma_a}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \partial_\mu\omega_\nu^{ab} - \partial_\nu\omega_\mu^{ab} + \omega_\mu^{ac}\omega_\nu^{cb} - \omega_\mu^{bc}\omega_\nu^{ca},$$

$$(2.6) \quad lF_{\mu\nu}^{a5} = D_\mu e_\nu^a - D_\nu e_\mu^a = T_{\mu\nu}^a.$$

Equations (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) suggest that one can identify ω_μ^{ab} with the spin connection of the Poincaré gauge theory, ω_μ^{a5} with the vielbeins, $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ with the

curvature tensor and $F_{\mu\nu}^{a5}$ with the torsion. It was shown in the seventies that one can really do such an identification and relate AdS gauge theory with GR. Different ways were discussed in the literature, see [6]. One way is to start from the following action

$$(2.7) \quad S = \frac{il}{64\pi G_N} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \phi,$$

where G_N is the Newton gravitational constant. An additional auxiliary field $\phi = \phi^A \Gamma_A$, $\Gamma_A = (i\gamma_a \gamma_5, \gamma_5)$, transforming in the adjoint representation of $SO(2, 3)$ is introduced. One can show that the action (2.7) is invariant under the $SO(2, 3)$ gauge symmetry. However, if we restrict the field ϕ to be $\phi^a = 0$, $\phi^5 = l$, with an arbitrary constant l then the symmetry of the action is reduced to the $SO(1, 3)$ gauge symmetry. The constraint on the field ϕ can be introduced via a Lagrange multiplier or dynamically [6]. We are not concerned with that problem here. The action obtained after symmetry breaking is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= \frac{il^2}{64\pi G_N} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \int d^4x \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \gamma_5) \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \left[\frac{l^2}{16} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{abcd} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} + eR + 2e\Lambda \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = -3/l^2$ and $e = \det(e_\mu^a)$. This action is written in the first order formalism: the spin connection ω_μ and the vielbeins e_μ^a are independent fields. Varying the action with respect to the spin connection we obtain an equation that relates the spin connection and the vielbeins. After the analysis of the equations of motion, we see that after the symmetry breaking the action (2.8) describes GR with the negative cosmological constant and the topological Gauss-Bonnet term.

3. NC $SO(2, 3)_*$ gauge theory

In order to construct the NC $SO(2, 3)_*$ gauge theory we use the enveloping algebra approach and the Seiberg-Witten (SW) map [5]. The NC action is given by

$$(3.1) \quad S_{NC} = -\frac{il}{16\pi G_N} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \star \hat{F}_{\rho\sigma} \star \hat{\phi}.$$

The \star -product is the Moyal-Weyl \star -product (1.1), fields with a "hat" are NC fields and we will use the SW map to expand them in terms of the corresponding commutative fields. One can show that this action is invariant under the NC $SO(2, 3)$ gauge transformations. In the limit $\theta^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow 0$ the action (3.1) reduces to the commutative action (2.7). The solutions of the SW map for the field strength tensor and the field $\hat{\phi}$ are given in terms of the recursive relations [1]

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n+1)} &= -\frac{1}{4(n+1)} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \left(\{ \hat{\omega}_\kappa \star \partial_\lambda \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} + D_\lambda \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} \} \right)^{(n)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \left(\{ \hat{F}_{\mu\kappa} \star \hat{F}_{\nu\lambda} \} \right)^{(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{\phi}^{(n+1)} = -\frac{1}{4(n+1)}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}\left(\{\hat{\omega}_\kappa \star \partial_\lambda \hat{\phi} + D_\lambda \hat{\phi}\}\right)^{(n)}.$$

The action (3.1) we expand in orders of the deformation parameter $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$, using the SW map solutions and expanding the \star -products that appear in the action. The first order correction vanishes, as expected. The second order correction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NC}^{(2)} = & \frac{i l}{64\pi G_N} \frac{1}{8} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \text{Tr} \int d^4 x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left\{ -\frac{1}{8} \{F_{\alpha\beta}, \{F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma}\}\} \{\phi, F_{\kappa\lambda}\} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \{F_{\alpha\beta}, \{F_{\rho\sigma}, \{F_{\kappa\mu}, F_{\lambda\nu}\}\}\} \phi + \frac{1}{4} \{\{F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma}\}, \{F_{\kappa\alpha}, F_{\lambda\beta}\}\} \phi \\ & + \frac{i}{4} \{F_{\alpha\beta}, [D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu}, D_\lambda F_{\rho\sigma}]\} \phi + \frac{i}{2} \{\{D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma}, D_\lambda F_{\alpha\beta}\}\} \phi \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \{F_{\rho\sigma}, \{F_{\alpha\mu}, F_{\beta\nu}\}\} \{\phi, F_{\kappa\lambda}\} + \{F_{\alpha\mu}, F_{\beta\nu}, \{F_{\kappa\rho}, F_{\lambda\sigma}\}\} \phi \\ & + 2\{F_{\rho\sigma}, \{F_{\beta\nu}, \{F_{\kappa\alpha}, F_{\lambda\mu}\}\}\} \phi + i\{F_{\rho\sigma}, [D_\kappa F_{\alpha\mu}, D_\lambda F_{\beta\nu}]\} \phi \\ & + 2i\{\{F_{\beta\nu}, D_\kappa F_{\alpha\mu}\}, D_\lambda F_{\rho\sigma}\} \phi - \frac{1}{4} \{\phi, F_{\kappa\lambda}\} [D_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, D_\beta F_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & + \frac{i}{2} \{D_\kappa D_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, D_\lambda D_\beta F_{\rho\sigma}\} \phi + [\{F_{\kappa\alpha}, D_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}\}, D_\beta F_{\rho\sigma}] \phi \\ & \left. + [\{F_{\lambda\nu}, D_\alpha F_{\kappa\mu}\}, D_\beta F_{\rho\sigma}] \phi + [\{F_{\kappa\mu}, D_\alpha F_{\lambda\nu}\}, D_\beta F_{\rho\sigma}] \phi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This expanded action is manifestly invariant under the commutative $SO(2, 3)$ gauge transformations. This result is guaranteed by the SW map. After the symmetry breaking, which is obtained by taking the field ϕ to be $\phi^a = 0$ and $\phi^5 = l$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NC}^{(2)} = & -\frac{l^2}{64\pi G_N} \theta^{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\kappa\lambda} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{abcd} \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{256} \left(F_{\mu\nu}{}^{cd} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{ab} F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{mn} F_{\kappa\lambda mn} \right. \right. \\ & - 8 F_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{c5} F_{\kappa\lambda}{}^{de} F_{\alpha\beta e}{}^5 + F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ab} F_{\kappa\lambda}{}^{cd} (F_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn} F_{\rho\sigma mn} + 2 F_{\mu\nu}{}^{m5} F_{\rho\sigma m}{}^{5b}) \\ & - \frac{1}{32} \left(F_{\kappa\lambda}{}^{ab} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{cd} F_{\alpha\rho}{}^{mn} F_{\beta\sigma mn} + 2 F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ab} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{cd} F_{\kappa\mu}{}^{m5} F_{\lambda\nu m}{}^5 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + F_{\kappa\mu}{}^{ab} F_{\lambda\nu}{}^{cd} F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{mn} F_{\rho\sigma mn} \right) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{128} \left(F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{ab} F_{\lambda\beta}{}^{cd} (F_{\mu\nu}{}^{mn} F_{\rho\sigma mn} + 2 F_{\mu\nu}{}^{m5} F_{\rho\sigma m}{}^5) \right. \\ & \left. + F_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{cd} (F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{mn} F_{\lambda\beta mn} + 2 F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{m5} F_{\lambda\beta m}{}^5) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{16} F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ab} \left((D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu})^{cm} (D_\lambda F_{\rho\sigma})_m^d + (D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu})^{c5} (D_\lambda F_{\rho\sigma})_5^d \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \left((D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu})^{ab} (D_\lambda F_{\alpha\beta})^{d5} F_{\rho\sigma}^{c5} + (D_\kappa F_{\mu\nu})^{a5} (D_\lambda F_{\alpha\beta})^{b5} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{cd} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{16} F_{\alpha\mu}{}^{ab} F_{\beta\nu}{}^{cd} \left(F_{\kappa\rho}{}^{mn} F_{\lambda\sigma mn} + 2 F_{\kappa\rho}{}^{m5} F_{\lambda\sigma m}{}^5 \right) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{16} \left(F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{ab} F_{\beta\nu}{}^{cd} (F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{mn} F_{\lambda\mu mn} + 2 F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{m5} F_{\lambda\mu m}{}^5) \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{ab}F_{\lambda\mu}{}^{cd}F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{mn}F_{\beta\nu mn} \\
 & -4(F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{ab}F_{\lambda\mu}{}^{c5} + F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{a5}F_{\lambda\mu}{}^{bc})F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{de}F_{\beta\nu e5} \\
 & -\frac{1}{8}F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{ab}\left((D_{\kappa}F_{\alpha\mu})^{cm}(D_{\lambda}F_{\beta\nu})_m^d + (D_{\kappa}F_{\alpha\mu})^{c5}(D_{\lambda}F_{\beta\nu})_5^d\right) \\
 & +\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\kappa\mu}{}^{ab}(D_{\alpha}F_{\lambda\nu})^{c5}(D_{\beta}F_{\rho\sigma})^{d5} + F_{\kappa\mu}{}^{a5}(D_{\alpha}F_{\lambda\nu})^{bc}\right)(D_{\beta}F_{\rho\sigma})^{d5} \\
 & +\frac{1}{8}\left(F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{ab}(D_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu})^{c5} + F_{\kappa\alpha}{}^{a5}(D_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu})^{bc}\right)(D_{\beta}F_{\rho\sigma})^{d5} \\
 (3.4) \quad & -\frac{1}{32}(D_{\kappa}D_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu})^{ab}(D_{\lambda}D_{\beta}F_{\rho\sigma})^{cd}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $D_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}$ is the $SO(2, 3)$ covariant derivative and its components are

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu})^{ab} &= \nabla_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} - \frac{1}{l^2}(e_{\alpha}^a T_{\mu\nu}{}^b - e_{\alpha}^b T_{\mu\nu}{}^a) \\
 (D_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu})^{a5} &= \frac{1}{l}(\nabla_{\alpha}T_{\mu\nu}^a + e_{\alpha}^m F_{\mu\nu m}{}^a).
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Discussion

The result (3.4) is very complicated. In order to see the physical consequences of this model we have to make some additional requirements. We will first assume that the torsion in the zeroth order vanishes, $F_{\mu\nu}{}^{a5} = 0$. Since we have no fermionic matter, this assumption is valid. Then we will expand $F_{\mu\nu}{}^{bc}$ in terms of the curvature tensor and the vielbeins, using (2.4). Since the torsion vanishes, the curvature tensor will have the usual symmetry properties: $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = R_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} = -R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}$. Finally, we discuss different scales in the theory. We have three parameters: the cosmological constant $\Lambda = -\frac{3}{l^2}$ (the length parameter l is related with the radius of the AdS space), the NC parameter $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$ and the powers of the curvature tensor (powers of derivatives). Depending on the values of these three parameters we can analyze different limits of the model: big cosmological constant and low energies (lower powers of curvature dominate), or big cosmological constant and high energies (higher powers of curvature dominate) and so on.

Let us assume that we are interested in the limit of the big cosmological constant and low energies. In that case, from (3.4) we include only the term that is zeroth order in the curvature. The resulting action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \left[\frac{l^2}{16} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{abcd} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} + \sqrt{-g}R + 2\sqrt{-g}\Lambda \right] \\
 (4.1) \quad & + \frac{3\theta^{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}}{16\pi G_N l^6} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g_{\alpha\kappa} g_{\beta\lambda}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the equations of motion, we vary the action with respect to the metric

$g_{\rho\sigma}$. The result is

$$(4.2) \quad R_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\sigma}(R + 2\Lambda) + \frac{3}{16}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\sigma}g_{\alpha\kappa}g_{\beta\lambda} + 2g_{\beta\lambda}g_{\alpha\rho}g_{\kappa\sigma}\right) = 0.$$

A simple analysis shows that the flat space $g_{\rho\sigma} = \eta_{\rho\sigma}$ is not a the solution of these equations. Therefore, although in the action (4.1) the cosmological constant is renormalized with the x -dependent term $\theta^{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}g_{\alpha\kappa}g_{\beta\lambda}$, this is not enough to completely cancel its effect and the resulting space-time remains curved. If we are interested in the linearized theory, we would have to expand around the AdS space-time. This problem and further analysis are the subject of the future investigations.

REFERENCES

1. P. ASCHIERI, L. CASTELLANI and M. DIMITRIJEVIĆ, *Noncommutative gravity at second order via Seiberg-Witten map*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 024017 (2013).
2. A. H. CHAMSEDDINE and V. MUKHANOV, *Who Ordered the Anti-de Sitter Tangent Group?*, arXiv:1308.3199; A. H. CHAMSEDDINE and V. MUKHANOV, *Gravity with de Sitter and Unitary Tangent Groups*, JHEP **1003**, 033 (2010); J. W. BARRETT and S. KERR, *Gauge gravity and discrete quantum models*, arXiv:1309.1660.
3. M. DIMITRIJEVIĆ, V. RADOVANOVIĆ and H. ŠTEFANČIĆ, *AdS-inspired noncommutative gravity on the Moyal plane*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 105041 (2012).
4. M. DIMITRIJEVIĆ and V. RADOVANOVIĆ, in preparation.
5. N. SEIBERG and E. WITTEN, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, JHEP **09**, 032 (1999); B. JURČO, S. SCHRAML, P. SCHUPP and J. WESS, *Enveloping algebra valued gauge transformations for non-abelian gauge groups on non-commutative spaces* Eur. Phys. J. **C17**, 521 (2000).
6. K. S. STELLE and P. C. WEST, *Spontaneously broken de Sitter symmetry and the gravitational holonomy group*, Phys. Rev D **21**, 1466 (1980); S. W. MACDOWELL and F. MANSOURI, *Unified geometrical theory of gravity and supergravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 739 (1977); P. K. TOWSEND, *Small-scale structure of spacetime as the origin of the gravitation constant* Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2795 (1977).

Marija Dimitrijević
 Faculty of Physics
 University of Belgrade
 Studentski trg 12-16
 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
 dmarija@ipb.ac.rs

Voja Radovanović
 Faculty of Physics
 University of Belgrade
 Studentski trg 12-16
 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
 rvoja@ipb.ac.rs